FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Vol. 17, No. 2, Pages 163–171, 2009

Labelled State Transition Systems

Michał Trybulec
YAC Software
Warsaw, Poland

Summary. This article introduces labelled state transition systems, where transitions may be labelled by words from a given alphabet. Reduction relations from [4] are used to define transitions between states, acceptance of words, and reachable states. Deterministic transition systems are also defined.

MML identifier: `REWRITE3`, version: `7.11.02 4.125.1059`

The articles [1], [8], [2], [11], [6], [17], [7], [9], [16], [15], [14], [4], [10], [13], [3], [12], and [5] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. Preliminaries

For simplicity, we adopt the following convention: x, x_1, x_2, y, y_1, y_2, z, z_1, z_2, X, X_1, X_2 are sets, E is a non empty set, e is an element of E, u, v, v_1, v_2, w, w_1, w_2 are elements of E^ω, F, F_1, F_2 are subsets of E^ω, and k, l are natural numbers.

Next we state a number of propositions:

(1) For every finite sequence p such that $k \in \operatorname{dom} p$ holds $(\langle x \rangle \frown p)(k+1) = p(k)$.

(2) For every finite sequence p such that $p \neq \emptyset$ there exists a finite sequence q and there exists x such that $p = q \frown \langle x \rangle$ and $\operatorname{len} p = \operatorname{len} q + 1$.

(3) For every finite sequence p such that $k \in \operatorname{dom} p$ and $k+1 \notin \operatorname{dom} p$ holds $\operatorname{len} p = k$.

(4) Let R be a binary relation, P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. R, and q_1, q_2 be finite sequences. Suppose $P = q_1 \frown q_2$ and $\operatorname{len} q_1 > 0$ and $\operatorname{len} q_2 > 0$. Then q_1 is a reduction sequence w.r.t. R and q_2 is a reduction sequence w.r.t. R.

ISSN 1426–2630(p), 1898-9934(e)

(5) Let R be a binary relation and P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. R. Suppose $\operatorname{len} P > 1$. Then there exists a reduction sequence Q w.r.t. R such that $\langle P(1)\rangle \frown Q = P$ and $\operatorname{len} Q + 1 = \operatorname{len} P$.

(6) Let R be a binary relation and P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. R. Suppose $\operatorname{len} P > 1$. Then there exists a reduction sequence Q w.r.t. R such that $Q \frown \langle P(\operatorname{len} P)\rangle = P$ and $\operatorname{len} Q + 1 = \operatorname{len} P$.

(7) Let R be a binary relation and P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. R. Suppose $\operatorname{len} P > 1$. Then there exists a reduction sequence Q w.r.t. R such that $\operatorname{len} Q + 1 = \operatorname{len} P$ and for every k such that $k \in \operatorname{dom} Q$ holds $Q(k) = P(k + 1)$.

(8) For every binary relation R such that $\langle x, y\rangle$ is a reduction sequence w.r.t. R holds $\langle x, y\rangle \in R$.

(9) If $w = u \frown v$, then $\operatorname{len} u \leq \operatorname{len} w$ and $\operatorname{len} v \leq \operatorname{len} w$.

(10) If $w = u \frown v$ and $u \neq \langle\rangle_E$ and $v \neq \langle\rangle_E$, then $\operatorname{len} u < \operatorname{len} w$ and $\operatorname{len} v < \operatorname{len} w$.

(11) If $w_1 \frown v_1 = w_2 \frown v_2$ and if $\operatorname{len} w_1 = \operatorname{len} w_2$ or $\operatorname{len} v_1 = \operatorname{len} v_2$, then $w_1 = w_2$ and $v_1 = v_2$.

(12) If $w_1 \frown v_1 = w_2 \frown v_2$ and if $\operatorname{len} w_1 \leq \operatorname{len} w_2$ or $\operatorname{len} v_1 \geq \operatorname{len} v_2$, then there exists u such that $w_1 \frown u = w_2$ and $v_1 = u \frown v_2$.

(13) If $w_1 \frown v_1 = w_2 \frown v_2$, then there exists u such that $w_1 \frown u = w_2$ and $v_1 = u \frown v_2$ or there exists u such that $w_2 \frown u = w_1$ and $v_2 = u \frown v_1$.

Let us consider X. We introduce transition-systems over X which are extensions of 1-sorted structure and are systems

⟨ a carrier, a transition ⟩,

where the carrier is a set and the transition is a relation between the carrier$\times$ X and the carrier.

2. Transition Systems over Subsets of E^ω

Let us consider E, F and let $\mathfrak{T}$ be a transition-system over F. We say that $\mathfrak{T}$ is deterministic if and only if the conditions (Def. 1) are satisfied.

(Def. 1)(i) The transition of $\mathfrak{T}$ is a function,

(ii) $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng} \operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$), and

(iii) for every element s of $\mathfrak{T}$ and for all u, v such that $u \neq v$ and $\langle s, u\rangle \in \operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$) and $\langle s, v\rangle \in \operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$) it is not true that there exists w such that $u \frown w = v$ or $v \frown w = u$.

We now state the proposition

(14) For every transition-system $\mathfrak{T}$ over F such that dom (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$) $= \emptyset$ holds $\mathfrak{T}$ is deterministic.

Let us consider E, F. Observe that there exists a transition-system over F which is strict, non empty, finite, and deterministic.

3. Productions

Let us consider X, let $\mathfrak{T}$ be a transition-system over X, and let us consider x, y, z. The predicate $x, y \to_{\mathfrak{T}} z$ is defined by:

(Def. 2) $\langle\langle x, y\rangle, z\rangle \in$ the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$.

We now state several propositions:

(15) Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be a transition-system over X. Suppose $x, y \to_{\mathfrak{T}} z$. Then

(i) $x \in \mathfrak{T}$,

(ii) $y \in X$,

(iii) $z \in \mathfrak{T}$,

(iv) $x \in \operatorname{dom}\operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$),

(v) $y \in \operatorname{rng}\operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$), and

(vi) $z \in \operatorname{rng}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$).

(16) Let $\mathfrak{T}_1$ be a transition-system over X_1 and $\mathfrak{T}_2$ be a transition-system over X_2. Suppose the transition of $\mathfrak{T}_1 =$ the transition of $\mathfrak{T}_2$. If $x, y \to_{\mathfrak{T}_1} z$, then $x, y \to_{\mathfrak{T}_2} z$.

(17) Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be a transition-system over F. Suppose the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$ is a function. If $x, y \to_{\mathfrak{T}} z_1$ and $x, y \to_{\mathfrak{T}} z_2$, then $z_1 = z_2$.

(18) For every deterministic transition-system $\mathfrak{T}$ over F such that $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng}\operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$) holds $x, \langle\rangle_E \not\to_{\mathfrak{T}} y$.

(19) Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be a deterministic transition-system over F. If $u \neq v$ and $x, u \to_{\mathfrak{T}} z_1$ and $x, v \to_{\mathfrak{T}} z_2$, then it is not true that there exists w such that $u \frown w = v$ or $v \frown w = u$.

4. Direct Transitions

Let us consider E, F, let $\mathfrak{T}$ be a transition-system over F, and let us consider x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2. The predicate $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y_1, y_2$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 3) There exist v, w such that $v = y_2$ and $x_1, w \to_{\mathfrak{T}} y_1$ and $x_2 = w \frown v$.

The following propositions are true:

(20) Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be a transition-system over F. Suppose $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y_1, y_2$. Then x_1, $y_1 \in \mathfrak{T}$ and x_2, $y_2 \in E^\omega$ and $x_1 \in \operatorname{dom}\operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$) and $y_1 \in \operatorname{rng}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$).

(21) Let $\mathfrak{T}_1$ be a transition-system over F_1 and $\mathfrak{T}_2$ be a transition-system over F_2. Suppose the transition of $\mathfrak{T}_1 =$ the transition of $\mathfrak{T}_2$ and $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}_1} y_1, y_2$. Then $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}_2} y_1, y_2$.

(22) For every transition-system $\mathfrak{T}$ over F such that $x, u \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y, v$ there exists w such that $x, w \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y$ and $u = w \frown v$.

(23) For every transition-system $\mathfrak{T}$ over F holds $x, y \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} z$ iff $x, y \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} z, \langle\rangle_E$.

(24) For every transition-system $\mathfrak{T}$ over F holds $x, v \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y$ iff $x, v \frown w \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y, w$.

(25) For every transition-system $\mathfrak{T}$ over F such that $x, u \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y, v$ holds $x, u \frown w \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y, v \frown w$.

(26) For every transition-system $\mathfrak{T}$ over F such that $x, u \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y, v$ holds $\operatorname{len} u \geq \operatorname{len} v$.

(27) Let $\mathfrak{T}$ be a transition-system over F. Suppose the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$ is a function. If $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y_1, z$ and $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y_2, z$, then $y_1 = y_2$.

(28) For every transition-system $\mathfrak{T}$ over F such that $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng}\operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$) holds $x, z \not\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y, z$.

(29) For every transition-system $\mathfrak{T}$ over F such that $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng}\operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$) holds if $x, u \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y, v$, then $\operatorname{len} u > \operatorname{len} v$.

(30) For every deterministic transition-system $\mathfrak{T}$ over F such that $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y_1, z_1$ and $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y_2, z_2$ holds $y_1 = y_2$ and $z_1 = z_2$.

5. Reduction Relation

In the sequel $\mathfrak{T}$ is a non empty transition-system over F, s, t are elements of $\mathfrak{T}$, and S is a subset of $\mathfrak{T}$.

Let us consider E, F, $\mathfrak{T}$. The functor $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ yielding a binary relation on (the carrier of $\mathfrak{T}$) $\times E^{\omega}$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 4) $\langle\langle x_1, x_2\rangle, \langle y_1, y_2\rangle\rangle \in \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ iff $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y_1, y_2$.

The following propositions are true:

(31) If $\langle x, y\rangle \in \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$, then there exist s, v, t, w such that $x = \langle s, v\rangle$ and $y = \langle t, w\rangle$.

(32) Suppose $\langle\langle x_1, x_2\rangle, \langle y_1, y_2\rangle\rangle \in \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$. Then x_1, $y_1 \in \mathfrak{T}$ and x_2, $y_2 \in E^{\omega}$ and $x_1 \in \operatorname{dom}\operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$) and $y_1 \in \operatorname{rng}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$).

(33) If $x \in \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$, then there exist s, t, v, w such that $x = \langle\langle s, v\rangle, \langle t, w\rangle\rangle$.

(34) Let $\mathfrak{T}_1$ be a non empty transition-system over F_1 and $\mathfrak{T}_2$ be a non empty transition-system over F_2. Suppose the carrier of $\mathfrak{T}_1$ = the carrier of $\mathfrak{T}_2$ and the transition of $\mathfrak{T}_1$ = the transition of $\mathfrak{T}_2$. Then $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}_1} = \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}_2}$.

(35) If $\langle\langle x_1, x_2\rangle, \langle y_1, y_2\rangle\rangle \in \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$, then there exist v, w such that $v = y_2$ and $x_1, w \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y_1$ and $x_2 = w \frown v$.

(36) If $\langle\langle x, u\rangle, \langle y, v\rangle\rangle \in \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$, then there exists w such that $x, w \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y$ and $u = w \frown v$.

(37) $x, y \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} z$ iff $\langle\langle x, y\rangle, \langle z, \langle\rangle_E\rangle\rangle \in \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$.

(38) $x, v \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y$ iff $\langle\langle x, v \frown w\rangle, \langle y, w\rangle\rangle \in \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$.

(39) If $\langle\langle x, u\rangle, \langle y, v\rangle\rangle \in \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$, then $\langle\langle x, u \frown w\rangle, \langle y, v \frown w\rangle\rangle \in \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$.

(40) If $\langle\langle x, u\rangle, \langle y, v\rangle\rangle \in \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$, then $\operatorname{len} u \geq \operatorname{len} v$.

(41) If the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$ is a function, then if $\langle x, \langle y_1, z\rangle\rangle, \langle x, \langle y_2, z\rangle\rangle \in \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$, then $y_1 = y_2$.

(42) If $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng} \operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$), then if $\langle\langle x, u\rangle, \langle y, v\rangle\rangle \in \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$, then $\operatorname{len} u > \operatorname{len} v$.

(43) If $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng} \operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$), then $\langle\langle x, z\rangle, \langle y, z\rangle\rangle \notin \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$.

(44) If $\mathfrak{T}$ is deterministic, then if $\langle x, y_1\rangle, \langle x, y_2\rangle \in \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$, then $y_1 = y_2$.

(45) If $\mathfrak{T}$ is deterministic, then if $\langle x, \langle y_1, z_1\rangle\rangle, \langle x, \langle y_2, z_2\rangle\rangle \in \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$, then $y_1 = y_2$ and $z_1 = z_2$.

(46) If $\mathfrak{T}$ is deterministic, then $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ is function-like.

6. Reduction Sequences

Let us consider x, E. The functor $\dim_2(x, E)$ yields an element of E^ω and is defined as follows:

(Def. 5) $\dim_2(x, E) = \begin{cases} x_{\mathbf{2}}, & \text{if there exist } y, u \text{ such that } x = \langle y, u\rangle, \\ \emptyset, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Next we state a number of propositions:

(47) Let P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ and given k. If $k, k+1 \in \operatorname{dom} P$, then there exist s, v, t, w such that $P(k) = \langle s, v\rangle$ and $P(k+1) = \langle t, w\rangle$.

(48) Let P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ and given k. If $k, k+1 \in \operatorname{dom} P$, then $P(k) = \langle P(k)_{\mathbf{1}}, P(k)_{\mathbf{2}}\rangle$ and $P(k+1) = \langle P(k+1)_{\mathbf{1}}, P(k+1)_{\mathbf{2}}\rangle$.

(49) Let P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ and given k. Suppose $k, k+1 \in \operatorname{dom} P$. Then

(i) $P(k)_{\mathbf{1}} \in \mathfrak{T}$,

(ii) $P(k)_{\mathbf{2}} \in E^\omega$,

(iii) $P(k+1)_{\mathbf{1}} \in \mathfrak{T}$,

(iv) $P(k+1)_{\mathbf{2}} \in E^\omega$,

(v) $P(k)_{\mathbf{1}} \in \operatorname{dom} \operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$), and

(vi) $P(k+1)_{\mathbf{1}} \in \operatorname{rng}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$).

(50) Let $\mathfrak{T}_1$ be a non empty transition-system over F_1 and $\mathfrak{T}_2$ be a non empty transition-system over F_2. Suppose the carrier of $\mathfrak{T}_1$ = the carrier of $\mathfrak{T}_2$ and the transition of $\mathfrak{T}_1$ = the transition of $\mathfrak{T}_2$. Then every reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}_1}$ is a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}_2}$.

(51) Let P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$. If there exist x, u such that $P(1) = \langle x, u\rangle$, then for every k such that $k \in \operatorname{dom} P$ holds $\dim_2(P(k), E) = P(k)_{\mathbf{2}}$.

(52) Let P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$. If $P(\operatorname{len} P) = \langle y, w\rangle$, then for every k such that $k \in \operatorname{dom} P$ there exists u such that $P(k)_{\mathbf{2}} = u \frown w$.

(53) For every reduction sequence P w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ such that $P(1) = \langle x, v \rangle$ and $P(\operatorname{len} P) = \langle y, w \rangle$ there exists u such that $v = u ^\frown w$.

(54) Let P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$. If $P(1) = \langle x, u \rangle$ and $P(\operatorname{len} P) = \langle y, u \rangle$, then for every k such that $k \in \operatorname{dom} P$ holds $P(k)_{\mathbf{2}} = u$.

(55) Let P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ and given k. Suppose $k, k+1 \in \operatorname{dom} P$. Then there exist v, w such that $v = P(k+1)_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $P(k)_{\mathbf{1}}, w \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} P(k+1)_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $P(k)_{\mathbf{2}} = w ^\frown v$.

(56) Let P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ and given k. Suppose $k, k+1 \in \operatorname{dom} P$ and $P(k) = \langle x, u \rangle$ and $P(k+1) = \langle y, v \rangle$. Then there exists w such that $x, w \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y$ and $u = w ^\frown v$.

(57) $x, y \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} z$ iff $\langle \langle x, y \rangle, \langle z, \langle\rangle_E \rangle \rangle$ is a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$.

(58) $x, v \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y$ iff $\langle \langle x, v ^\frown w \rangle, \langle y, w \rangle \rangle$ is a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$.

(59) For every reduction sequence P w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ such that $P(1) = \langle x, v \rangle$ and $P(\operatorname{len} P) = \langle y, w \rangle$ holds $\operatorname{len} v \geq \operatorname{len} w$.

(60) Suppose $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng} \operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$). Let P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$. If $P(1) = \langle x, u \rangle$ and $P(\operatorname{len} P) = \langle y, u \rangle$, then $\operatorname{len} P = 1$ and $x = y$.

(61) Suppose $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng} \operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$). Let P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$. If $P(1)_{\mathbf{2}} = P(\operatorname{len} P)_{\mathbf{2}}$, then $\operatorname{len} P = 1$.

(62) Suppose $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng} \operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$). Let P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$. If $P(1) = \langle x, u \rangle$ and $P(\operatorname{len} P) = \langle y, \langle\rangle_E \rangle$, then $\operatorname{len} P \leq \operatorname{len} u + 1$.

(63) Suppose $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng} \operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$). Let P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$. If $P(1) = \langle x, \langle e \rangle \rangle$ and $P(\operatorname{len} P) = \langle y, \langle\rangle_E \rangle$, then $\operatorname{len} P = 2$.

(64) Suppose $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng} \operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$). Let P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$. If $P(1) = \langle x, v \rangle$ and $P(\operatorname{len} P) = \langle y, w \rangle$, then $\operatorname{len} v > \operatorname{len} w$ or $\operatorname{len} P = 1$ and $x = y$ and $v = w$.

(65) Suppose $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng} \operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$). Let P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ and given k. If $k, k+1 \in \operatorname{dom} P$, then $P(k)_{\mathbf{2}} \neq P(k+1)_{\mathbf{2}}$.

(66) Suppose $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng} \operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$). Let P be a reduction sequence w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ and given k, l. If $k, l \in \operatorname{dom} P$ and $k < l$, then $P(k)_{\mathbf{2}} \neq P(l)_{\mathbf{2}}$.

(67) Suppose $\mathfrak{T}$ is deterministic. Let P, Q be reduction sequences w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$. If $P(1) = Q(1)$, then for every k such that $k \in \operatorname{dom} P$ and $k \in \operatorname{dom} Q$ holds $P(k) = Q(k)$.

(68) If $\mathfrak{T}$ is deterministic, then for all reduction sequences P, Q w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ such that $P(1) = Q(1)$ and $\operatorname{len} P = \operatorname{len} Q$ holds $P = Q$.

(69) Suppose $\mathfrak{T}$ is deterministic. Let P, Q be reduction sequences w.r.t. $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$. If $P(1) = Q(1)$ and $P(\operatorname{len} P)_{\mathbf{2}} = Q(\operatorname{len} Q)_{\mathbf{2}}$, then $P = Q$.

7. Reductions

The following propositions are true:

(70) If $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ reduces $\langle x, v\rangle$ to $\langle y, w\rangle$, then there exists u such that $v = u \frown w$.

(71) If $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ reduces $\langle x, u\rangle$ to $\langle y, v\rangle$, then $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ reduces $\langle x, u \frown w\rangle$ to $\langle y, v \frown w\rangle$.

(72) If $x, y \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} z$, then $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ reduces $\langle x, y\rangle$ to $\langle z, \langle\rangle_E\rangle$.

(73) If $x, v \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y$, then $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ reduces $\langle x, v \frown w\rangle$ to $\langle y, w\rangle$.

(74) If $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y_1, y_2$, then $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ reduces $\langle x_1, x_2\rangle$ to $\langle y_1, y_2\rangle$.

(75) If $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ reduces $\langle x, v\rangle$ to $\langle y, w\rangle$, then $\operatorname{len} v \geq \operatorname{len} w$.

(76) If $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ reduces $\langle x, w\rangle$ to $\langle y, v \frown w\rangle$, then $v = \langle\rangle_E$.

(77) If $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng}\operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$), then if $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ reduces $\langle x, v\rangle$ to $\langle y, w\rangle$, then $\operatorname{len} v > \operatorname{len} w$ or $x = y$ and $v = w$.

(78) If $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng}\operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$), then if $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ reduces $\langle x, u\rangle$ to $\langle y, u\rangle$, then $x = y$.

(79) If $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng}\operatorname{dom}$ (the transition of $\mathfrak{T}$), then if $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ reduces $\langle x, \langle e\rangle\rangle$ to $\langle y, \langle\rangle_E\rangle$, then $\langle\langle x, \langle e\rangle\rangle, \langle y, \langle\rangle_E\rangle\rangle \in \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$.

(80) If $\mathfrak{T}$ is deterministic, then if $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ reduces x to $\langle y_1, z\rangle$ and $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ reduces x to $\langle y_2, z\rangle$, then $y_1 = y_2$.

8. Transitions

Let us consider E, F, $\mathfrak{T}$, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2. The predicate $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y_1, y_2$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 6) $\Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}}$ reduces $\langle x_1, x_2\rangle$ to $\langle y_1, y_2\rangle$.

We now state a number of propositions:

(81) Let $\mathfrak{T}_1$ be a non empty transition-system over F_1 and $\mathfrak{T}_2$ be a non empty transition-system over F_2. Suppose the carrier of $\mathfrak{T}_1$ = the carrier of $\mathfrak{T}_2$ and the transition of $\mathfrak{T}_1$ = the transition of $\mathfrak{T}_2$. If $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}_1} y_1, y_2$, then $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}_2} y_1, y_2$.

(82) $x, y \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} x, y$.

(83) If $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y_1, y_2$ and $y_1, y_2 \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} z_1, z_2$, then $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} z_1, z_2$.

(84) If $x, y \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} z$, then $x, y \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} z, \langle\rangle_E$.

(85) If $x, v \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y$, then $x, v \frown w \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y, w$.

(86) If $x, u \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y, v$, then $x, u \frown w \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y, v \frown w$.

(87) If $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y_1, y_2$, then $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y_1, y_2$.

(88) If $x, v \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y, w$, then there exists u such that $v = u \frown w$.

(89) If $x, v \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y, w$, then $\operatorname{len} w \leq \operatorname{len} v$.

(90) If $x, w \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y, v \frown w$, then $v = \langle\rangle_E$.

(91) If $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng}\operatorname{dom}(\text{the transition of } \mathfrak{T})$, then $x, u \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y, u$ iff $x = y$.

(92) If $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng}\operatorname{dom}(\text{the transition of } \mathfrak{T})$, then if $x, \langle e\rangle \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y, \langle\rangle_E$, then $x, \langle e\rangle \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y, \langle\rangle_E$.

(93) If $\mathfrak{T}$ is deterministic, then if $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y_1, z$ and $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y_2, z$, then $y_1 = y_2$.

9. Acceptance of Words

Let us consider E, F, $\mathfrak{T}$, x_1, x_2, y. The predicate $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 7) $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y, \langle\rangle_E$.

We now state several propositions:

(94) Let $\mathfrak{T}_1$ be a non empty transition-system over F_1 and $\mathfrak{T}_2$ be a non empty transition-system over F_2. Suppose the carrier of $\mathfrak{T}_1 =$ the carrier of $\mathfrak{T}_2$ and the transition of $\mathfrak{T}_1 =$ the transition of $\mathfrak{T}_2$. If $x, y \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}_1} z$, then $x, y \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}_2} z$.

(95) $x, \langle\rangle_E \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} x$.

(96) If $x, u \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y$, then $x, u \frown v \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y, v$.

(97) If $x, y \rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} z$, then $x, y \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} z$.

(98) If $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y, \langle\rangle_E$, then $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y$.

(99) If $x, u \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y$ and $y, v \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} z$, then $x, u \frown v \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} z$.

(100) If $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng}\operatorname{dom}(\text{the transition of } \mathfrak{T})$, then $x, \langle\rangle_E \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y$ iff $x = y$.

(101) If $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng}\operatorname{dom}(\text{the transition of } \mathfrak{T})$, then if $x, \langle e\rangle \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y$, then $x, \langle e\rangle \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{T}} y, \langle\rangle_E$.

(102) If $\mathfrak{T}$ is deterministic, then if $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y_1$ and $x_1, x_2 \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} y_2$, then $y_1 = y_2$.

10. Reachable States

Let us consider E, F, $\mathfrak{T}$, x, X. The functor $x\text{-succ}_{\mathfrak{T}}(X)$ yields a subset of $\mathfrak{T}$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 8) $x\text{-succ}_{\mathfrak{T}}(X) = \{s : \bigvee_t (t \in X \ \wedge\ t, x \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} s)\}$.

The following propositions are true:

(103) $s \in x\text{-succ}_{\mathfrak{T}}(X)$ iff there exists t such that $t \in X$ and $t, x \Rightarrow^*_{\mathfrak{T}} s$.

(104) If $\langle\rangle_E \notin \operatorname{rng}\operatorname{dom}(\text{the transition of } \mathfrak{T})$, then $\langle\rangle_E\text{-succ}_{\mathfrak{T}}(S) = S$.

(105) Let $\mathfrak{T}_1$ be a non empty transition-system over F_1 and $\mathfrak{T}_2$ be a non empty transition-system over F_2. Suppose the carrier of $\mathfrak{T}_1 =$ the carrier of $\mathfrak{T}_2$ and the transition of $\mathfrak{T}_1 =$ the transition of $\mathfrak{T}_2$. Then $x\text{-succ}_{\mathfrak{T}_1}(X) = x\text{-succ}_{\mathfrak{T}_2}(X)$.

References

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**2**):377–382, 1990.
[2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):41–46, 1990.
[3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):91–96, 1990.
[4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Reduction relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(**4**):469–478, 1996.
[5] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):107–114, 1990.
[6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):55–65, 1990.
[7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):153–164, 1990.
[8] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):47–53, 1990.
[9] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):165–167, 1990.
[10] Karol Pąk. The Catalan numbers. Part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 14(**4**):153–159, 2006, doi:10.2478/v10037-006-0019-7.
[11] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):115–122, 1990.
[12] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):97–105, 1990.
[13] Michał Trybulec. Formal languages – concatenation and closure. *Formalized Mathematics*, 15(**1**):11–15, 2007, doi:10.2478/v10037-007-0002-y.
[14] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):67–71, 1990.
[15] Tetsuya Tsunetou, Grzegorz Bancerek, and Yatsuka Nakamura. Zero-based finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(**4**):825–829, 2001.
[16] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):73–83, 1990.
[17] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):181–186, 1990.

Received May 5, 2009