# On the Characteristic and Weight of a Topological $Space^1$

Grzegorz Bancerek Białystok Technical University

**Summary.** We continue Mizar formalization of General Topology according to the book [13] by Engelking. In the article the formalization of Section 1.1 is completed. Namely, the paper includes the formalization of theorems on correspondence of the basis and basis in a point, definitions of the character of a point and a topological space, a neighborhood system, and the weight of a topological space. The formalization is tested with almost discrete topological spaces with infinity.

MML Identifier: TOPGEN\_2.

The notation and terminology used here are introduced in the following articles: [22], [26], [21], [16], [27], [9], [28], [10], [7], [3], [18], [5], [4], [12], [24], [1], [2], [25], [17], [29], [11], [14], [8], [19], [20], [23], [6], and [15].

## 1. Characteristic of Topological Spaces

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) Let T be a non empty topological space, B be a basis of T, and x be an element of T. Then  $\{U; U \text{ ranges over subsets of } T: x \in U \land U \in B\}$  is a basis of x.
- (2) Let T be a non empty topological space and B be a many sorted set indexed by T. Suppose that for every element x of T holds B(x) is a basis of x. Then  $\bigcup B$  is a basis of T.

Let T be a non empty topological structure and let x be an element of T. The functor  $\operatorname{Chi}(x,T)$  yielding a cardinal number is defined as follows:

C 2005 University of Białystok ISSN 1426-2630

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{This}$  work has been partially supported by the KBN grant 4 T11C 039 24.

(Def. 1) There exists a basis B of x such that  $\operatorname{Chi}(x,T) = \overline{\overline{B}}$  and for every basis B of x holds  $\operatorname{Chi}(x,T) \leq \overline{\overline{B}}$ .

One can prove the following proposition

(3) Let X be a set. Suppose that for every set a such that  $a \in X$  holds a is a cardinal number. Then  $\bigcup X$  is a cardinal number.

Let T be a non empty topological structure. The functor  $\operatorname{Chi} T$  yields a cardinal number and is defined by the conditions (Def. 2).

- (Def. 2)(i) For every point x of T holds  $\operatorname{Chi}(x,T) \leq \operatorname{Chi} T$ , and
  - (ii) for every cardinal number M such that for every point x of T holds  $\operatorname{Chi}(x,T) \leq M$  holds  $\operatorname{Chi} T \leq M$ .

The following three propositions are true:

- (4) For every non empty topological structure T holds  $\operatorname{Chi} T = \bigcup \{ \operatorname{Chi}(x, T) : x \text{ ranges over points of } T \}.$
- (5) For every non empty topological structure T and for every point x of T holds  $\operatorname{Chi}(x,T) \leq \operatorname{Chi} T$ .
- (6) For every non empty topological space T holds T is first-countable iff  $\operatorname{Chi} T \leq \aleph_0$ .

## 2. Neighborhood Systems

Let T be a non empty topological space. A many sorted set indexed by T is said to be a neighborhood system of T if:

(Def. 3) For every element x of T holds it(x) is a basis of x.

Let T be a non empty topological space and let N be a neighborhood system of T. Then  $\bigcup N$  is a basis of T. Let x be a point of T. Then N(x) is a basis of x.

We now state several propositions:

- (7) Let T be a non empty topological space, N be a neighborhood system of T, and x be an element of T. Then N(x) is non empty and for every set U such that  $U \in N(x)$  holds  $x \in U$ .
- (8) Let T be a non empty topological space, x, y be points of T,  $B_1$  be a basis of x,  $B_2$  be a basis of y, and U be a set. If  $x \in U$  and  $U \in B_2$ , then there exists an open subset V of T such that  $V \in B_1$  and  $V \subseteq U$ .
- (9) Let T be a non empty topological space, x be a point of T, B be a basis of x, and  $U_1, U_2$  be sets. If  $U_1 \in B$  and  $U_2 \in B$ , then there exists an open subset V of T such that  $V \in B$  and  $V \subseteq U_1 \cap U_2$ .
- (10) Let T be a non empty topological space, A be a subset of T, and x be an element of T. Then  $x \in \overline{A}$  if and only if for every basis B of x and for every set U such that  $U \in B$  holds U meets A.

164

(11) Let T be a non empty topological space, A be a subset of T, and x be an element of T. Then  $x \in \overline{A}$  if and only if there exists a basis B of x such that for every set U such that  $U \in B$  holds U meets A.

Let T be a topological space. Note that there exists a family of subsets of T which is open and non empty.

## 3. Weights of Topological Spaces

Next we state the proposition

(12) Let T be a non empty topological space and S be an open family of subsets of T. Then there exists an open family G of subsets of T such that  $G \subseteq S$  and  $\bigcup G = \bigcup S$  and  $\overline{\overline{G}} \leq \text{weight } T$ .

Let T be a topological structure. We say that T is finite-weight if and only

(Def. 4) weight T is finite.

if:

Let T be a topological structure. We introduce T is infinite-weight as an antonym of T is finite-weight.

Let us mention that every topological structure which is finite is also finiteweight and every topological structure which is infinite-weight is also infinite.

Let us note that there exists a topological space which is finite and non empty.

The following propositions are true:

- (13) For every set X holds  $\overline{\overline{\text{SmallestPartition}(X)}} = \overline{\overline{X}}$ .
- (14) Let T be a discrete non empty topological structure. Then SmallestPartition(the carrier of T) is a basis of T and for every basis B of T holds SmallestPartition(the carrier of T)  $\subseteq B$ .
- (15) For every discrete non empty topological structure T holds weight  $T = \overline{\frac{1}{\text{the carrier of }T}}$ .

One can verify that there exists a topological space which is infinite-weight. Next we state several propositions:

- (16) Let T be an infinite-weight topological space and B be a basis of T. Then there exists a basis  $B_1$  of T such that  $B_1 \subseteq B$  and  $\overline{\overline{B_1}}$  = weight T.
- (17) Let T be a finite-weight non empty topological space. Then there exists a basis  $B_0$  of T and there exists a function f from the carrier of T into the topology of T such that  $B_0 = \operatorname{rng} f$  and for every point x of T holds  $x \in f(x)$  and for every open subset U of T such that  $x \in U$  holds  $f(x) \subseteq U$ .
- (18) Let T be a topological space,  $B_0$ , B be bases of T, and f be a function from the carrier of T into the topology of T. Suppose  $B_0 = \operatorname{rng} f$  and for every point x of T holds  $x \in f(x)$  and for every open subset U of T such that  $x \in U$  holds  $f(x) \subseteq U$ . Then  $B_0 \subseteq B$ .

#### GRZEGORZ BANCEREK

- (19) Let T be a topological space,  $B_0$  be a basis of T, and f be a function from the carrier of T into the topology of T. Suppose  $B_0 = \operatorname{rng} f$  and for every point x of T holds  $x \in f(x)$  and for every open subset U of T such that  $x \in U$  holds  $f(x) \subseteq U$ . Then weight  $T = \overline{\overline{B_0}}$ .
- (20) For every non empty topological space T and for every basis B of T there exists a basis  $B_1$  of T such that  $B_1 \subseteq B$  and  $\overline{\overline{B_1}}$  = weight T.
- 4. Example of Almost Discrete Topological Space with Infinity

Let X,  $x_0$  be sets. The functor DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0)$  yielding a strict topological structure is defined by the conditions (Def. 5).

(Def. 5)(i) The carrier of DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0) = X$ , and

(ii) the topology of DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0) = \{U; U \text{ ranges over subsets of } X: x_0 \notin U\} \cup \{F^c; F \text{ ranges over subsets of } X: F \text{ is finite}\}.$ 

Let X,  $x_0$  be sets. Observe that DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0)$  is topological spacelike.

Let X be a non empty set and let  $x_0$  be a set. One can verify that DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0)$  is non empty.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (21) For all sets X,  $x_0$  and for every subset A of DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0)$  holds A is open iff  $x_0 \notin A$  or  $A^c$  is finite.
- (22) For all sets X,  $x_0$  and for every subset A of DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0)$  holds A is closed iff if  $x_0 \in X$ , then  $x_0 \in A$  or A is finite.
- (23) For all sets X,  $x_0$ , x such that  $x \in X$  holds  $\{x\}$  is a closed subset of DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0)$ .
- (24) For all sets X,  $x_0$ , x such that  $x \in X$  and  $x \neq x_0$  holds  $\{x\}$  is an open subset of DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0)$ .
- (25) For all sets X,  $x_0$  such that X is infinite and for every subset U of DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0)$  such that  $U = \{x_0\}$  holds U is not open.
- (26) For all sets X,  $x_0$  and for every subset A of DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0)$  such that A is finite holds  $\overline{A} = A$ .
- (27) Let T be a non empty topological space and A be a subset of T. Suppose A is not closed. Let a be a point of T. If  $A \cup \{a\}$  is closed, then  $\overline{A} = A \cup \{a\}$ .
- (28) For all sets X,  $x_0$  such that  $x_0 \in X$  and for every subset A of DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0)$  such that A is infinite holds  $\overline{A} = A \cup \{x_0\}$ .
- (29) For all sets X,  $x_0$  and for every subset A of DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0)$  such that  $A^c$  is finite holds Int A = A.
- (30) For all sets X,  $x_0$  such that  $x_0 \in X$  and for every subset A of DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0)$  such that  $A^c$  is infinite holds Int  $A = A \setminus \{x_0\}$ .

166

(31) For all sets X,  $x_0$  there exists a basis  $B_0$  of DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0)$  such that  $B_0 = (\text{SmallestPartition}(X) \setminus \{\{x_0\}\}) \cup \{F^c; F \text{ ranges over subsets of } X: F \text{ is finite}\}.$ 

In the sequel Z denotes an infinite set.

The following proposition is true

(32)  $\overline{\operatorname{Fin} Z} = \overline{Z}$ . In the sequel *F* is a subset of *Z*.

In the sequer r is a subset of Z.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (33)  $\overline{\{F^{c}:F \text{ is finite}\}} = \overline{\overline{Z}}.$
- (34) Let X be an infinite set,  $x_0$  be a set, and  $B_0$  be a basis of DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0)$ . If  $B_0 = (\text{SmallestPartition}(X) \setminus \{\{x_0\}\}) \cup \{F^c; F$  ranges over subsets of X: F is finite}, then  $\overline{\overline{B_0}} = \overline{\overline{X}}$ .
- (35) For every infinite set X and for every set  $x_0$  and for every basis B of DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0)$  holds  $\overline{\overline{X}} \leq \overline{\overline{B}}$ .
- (36) For every infinite set X and for every set  $x_0$  holds weight DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0) = \overline{\overline{X}}$ .
- (37) Let X be a non empty set and  $x_0$  be a set. Then there exists a prebasis  $B_0$  of DiscrWithInfin $(X, x_0)$  such that  $B_0 = (\text{SmallestPartition}(X) \setminus \{\{x_0\}\}) \cup \{\{x\}^c : x \text{ ranges over elements of } X\}.$

#### 5. Exercises

Next we state four propositions:

- (38) Let T be a topological space, F be a family of subsets of T, and I be a non empty family of subsets of F. Suppose that for every set G such that  $G \in I$  holds  $F \setminus G$  is finite. Then  $\overline{\bigcup F} = \bigcup \operatorname{clf} F \cup \bigcap \{\overline{\bigcup G}; G \text{ ranges over families of subsets of } T: G \in I\}.$
- (39) Let T be a topological space and F be a family of subsets of T. Then  $\overline{\bigcup F} = \bigcup \operatorname{clf} F \cup \bigcap \{\overline{\bigcup G}; G \text{ ranges over families of subsets of } T: G \subseteq F \land F \setminus G \text{ is finite}\}.$
- (40) Let X be a set and O be a family of subsets of  $2^X$ . Suppose that for every family o of subsets of X such that  $o \in O$  holds  $\langle X, o \rangle$  is a topological space. Then there exists a family B of subsets of X such that
  - (i) B = Intersect(O),
- (ii)  $\langle X, B \rangle$  is a topological space,
- (iii) for every family o of subsets of X such that  $o \in O$  holds  $\langle X, o \rangle$  is a topological extension of  $\langle X, B \rangle$ , and
- (iv) for every topological space T such that the carrier of T = X and for every family o of subsets of X such that  $o \in O$  holds  $\langle X, o \rangle$  is a topological extension of T holds  $\langle X, B \rangle$  is a topological extension of T.

#### GRZEGORZ BANCEREK

- (41) Let X be a set and O be a family of subsets of  $2^X$ . Then there exists a family B of subsets of X such that
  - (i)  $B = \text{UniCl}(\text{FinMeetCl}(\bigcup O)),$
- (ii)  $\langle X, B \rangle$  is a topological space,
- (iii) for every family o of subsets of X such that  $o \in O$  holds  $\langle X, B \rangle$  is a topological extension of  $\langle X, o \rangle$ , and
- (iv) for every topological space T such that the carrier of T = X and for every family o of subsets of X such that  $o \in O$  holds T is a topological extension of  $\langle X, o \rangle$  holds T is a topological extension of  $\langle X, B \rangle$ .

#### References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Curried and uncurried functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):537–541, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
  [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Minimal signature for partial algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 5(3):405–414, 1996.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bases and refinements of topologies. Formalized Mathematics, 7(1):35–43, 1998.
- [7] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [8] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 2(4):481– 485, 1991.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55– 65, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [11] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):257-261, 1990.
- [12] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [13] Ryszard Engelking. General Topology, volume 60 of Monografie Matematyczne. PWN Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1977.
- [14] Zbigniew Karno. The lattice of domains of an extremally disconnected space. Formalized Mathematics, 3(2):143–149, 1992.
- [15] Robert Milewski. Bases of continuous lattices. Formalized Mathematics, 7(2):285–294, 1998.
- [16] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [17] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
  [18] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction.
- *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):441–444, 1990.
- [19] Alexander Yu. Shibakov and Andrzej Trybulec. The Cantor set. Formalized Mathematics, 5(2):233–236, 1996.
- [20] Bartłomiej Skorulski. First-countable, sequential, and Frechet spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 7(1):81–86, 1998.
- [21] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):115-122, 1990.
- [22] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [23] Andrzej Trybulec. Baire spaces, Sober spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 6(2):289–294, 1997.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec and Agata Darmochwał. Boolean domains. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):187–190, 1990.
- [25] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):821-827, 1990.

168

- [26] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
  [27] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73-83, 1990.
- [28] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
  [29] Mirosław Wysocki and Agata Darmochwał. Subsets of topological spaces. Formalized
- Mathematics, 1(1):231–237, 1990.

Received December 10, 2004