## The Nagata-Smirnov Theorem. Part I<sup>1</sup>

## Karol Pąk University of Białystok

**Summary.** In this paper we define a discrete subset family of a topological space and basis sigma locally finite and sigma discrete. First, we prove an auxiliary fact for discrete family and sigma locally finite and sigma discrete basis. We also show the necessary condition for the Nagata Smirnov theorem: every metrizable space is  $T_3$  and has a sigma locally finite basis. Also, we define a sufficient condition for a  $T_3$  topological space to be  $T_4$ . We introduce the concept of pseudo metric.

MML Identifier: NAGATA\_1.

The terminology and notation used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [9], [27], [28], [32], [20], [5], [12], [8], [21], [15], [2], [17], [14], [18], [19], [6], [10], [11], [24], [23], [4], [33], [1], [3], [25], [16], [26], [7], [13], [29], [31], [34], [30], and [22].

In this paper T,  $T_1$  denote non empty topological spaces and  $P_1$  denotes a non empty metric structure.

Let T be a topological space and let F be a family of subsets of T. We say that F is discrete if and only if the condition (Def. 1) is satisfied.

(Def. 1) Let p be a point of T. Then there exists an open subset O of T such that  $p \in O$  and for all subsets A, B of T such that  $A \in F$  and  $B \in F$  holds if O meets A and O meets B, then A = B.

Let T be a non empty topological space. Note that there exists a family of subsets of T which is discrete.

Let us consider T. One can check that there exists a family of subsets of T which is empty and discrete.

 $<sup>^1{\</sup>rm This}$  work has been partially supported by the CALCULEMUS grant HPRN-CT-2000-00102 and KBN grant 4 T11C 039 24.

For simplicity, we adopt the following convention: F, G, H denote families of subsets of T, A, B denote subsets of T, O, U denote open subsets of T, p denotes a point of T, and x, X denote sets.

The following propositions are true:

- (1) For every F such that there exists A such that  $F = \{A\}$  holds F is discrete.
- (2) For all F, G such that  $F \subseteq G$  and G is discrete holds F is discrete.
- (3) For all F, G such that F is discrete holds  $F \cap G$  is discrete.
- (4) For all F, G such that F is discrete holds  $F \setminus G$  is discrete.
- (5) For all F, G, H such that F is discrete and G is discrete and  $F \cap G = H$  holds H is discrete.
- (6) For all F, A, B such that F is discrete and  $A \in F$  and  $B \in F$  holds A = B or A misses B.
- (7) If F is discrete, then for every p there exists O such that  $p \in O$  and  $\{O\} \cap F \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  is trivial.
- (8) F is discrete if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
- (i) for every p there exists O such that  $p\in O$  and  $\{O\}\cap\!\!\!\!\!\cap F\setminus\{\emptyset\}$  is trivial, and
- (ii) for all A, B such that  $A \in F$  and  $B \in F$  holds A = B or A misses B.

Let us consider T and let F be a discrete family of subsets of T. Observe that clf F is discrete.

Next we state three propositions:

- (9) For every F such that F is discrete and for all A, B such that  $A \in F$  and  $B \in F$  holds  $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$ .
- (10) For every F such that F is discrete holds  $\overline{\bigcup F} = \bigcup \operatorname{clf} F$ .
- (11) For every F such that F is discrete holds F is locally finite.

Let T be a topological space. A family sequence of T is a function from  $\mathbb N$  into  $2^{2^{\operatorname{the\ carrier\ of\ }T}}$ .

In the sequel  $U_1$  denotes a family sequence of T, r denotes a real number, n denotes a natural number, and f denotes a function.

Let us consider T,  $U_1$ , n. Then  $U_1(n)$  is a family of subsets of T.

Let us consider T,  $U_1$ . Then  $\bigcup U_1$  is a family of subsets of T.

Let T be a non empty topological space and let  $U_1$  be a family sequence of T. We say that  $U_1$  is sigma-discrete if and only if:

(Def. 2) For every natural number n holds  $U_1(n)$  is discrete.

Let T be a non empty topological space. Note that there exists a family sequence of T which is sigma-discrete.

Let T be a non empty topological space and let  $U_1$  be a family sequence of T. We say that  $U_1$  is sigma-locally-finite if and only if:

(Def. 3) For every natural number n holds  $U_1(n)$  is locally finite.

Let us consider T and let F be a family of subsets of T. We say that F is sigma-discrete if and only if:

(Def. 4) There exists a sigma-discrete family sequence f of T such that  $F = \bigcup f$ . Let X be a set. We introduce X is uncountable as an antonym of X is countable.

One can verify that every set which is uncountable is also non empty.

Let T be a non empty topological space. One can check that there exists a family sequence of T which is sigma-locally-finite.

Next we state two propositions:

- (12) For every  $U_1$  such that  $U_1$  is sigma-discrete holds  $U_1$  is sigma-locally-finite.
- (13) Let A be an uncountable set. Then there exists a family F of subsets of  $\{[A, A]\}_{\text{top}}$  such that F is locally finite and F is not sigma-discrete.

Let T be a non empty topological space and let  $U_1$  be a family sequence of T. We say that  $U_1$  is Basis-sigma-discrete if and only if:

(Def. 5)  $U_1$  is sigma-discrete and  $\bigcup U_1$  is a basis of T.

Let T be a non empty topological space and let  $U_1$  be a family sequence of T. We say that  $U_1$  is Basis-sigma-locally finite if and only if:

(Def. 6)  $U_1$  is sigma-locally-finite and  $\bigcup U_1$  is a basis of T.

The following propositions are true:

- (14) Let r be a real number. Suppose  $P_1$  is a non empty metric space. Let x be an element of  $P_1$ . Then  $\Omega_{(P_1)} \setminus \overline{\text{Ball}}(x,r) \in \text{the open set family of } P_1$ .
- (15) For every T such that T is metrizable holds T is a  $T_3$  space and a  $T_1$  space.
- (16) For every T such that T is metrizable holds there exists a family sequence of T which is Basis-sigma-locally finite.
- (17) For every function U from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^{\text{the carrier of }T}$  such that for every n holds U(n) is open holds  $\bigcup U$  is open.
- (18) Suppose that for all A, U such that A is closed and U is open and  $A \subseteq U$  there exists a function W from  $\mathbb{N}$  into  $2^{\text{the carrier of }T}$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup W$  and  $\bigcup W \subseteq U$  and for every n holds  $\overline{W(n)} \subseteq U$  and W(n) is open. Then T is a  $T_4$  space.
- (19) Let given T. Suppose T is a  $T_3$  space. Let  $B_1$  be a family sequence of T. Suppose  $\bigcup B_1$  is a basis of T. Let U be a subset of T and p be a point of T. Suppose U is open and  $p \in U$ . Then there exists a subset O of T such that  $p \in O$  and  $\overline{O} \subseteq U$  and  $O \in \bigcup B_1$ .
- (20) For every T such that T is a  $T_3$  space and a  $T_1$  space and there exists a family sequence of T which is Basis-sigma-locally finite holds T is a  $T_4$

space.

Let us consider T and let F, G be real maps of T. The functor F+G yielding a real map of T is defined as follows:

(Def. 7) For every element t of T holds (F+G)(t) = F(t) + G(t).

Next we state four propositions:

- (21) Let f be a real map of T. Suppose f is continuous. Let F be a real map of [T, T]. Suppose that for all elements x, y of the carrier of T holds  $F(\langle x, y \rangle) = |f(x) f(y)|$ . Then F is continuous.
- (22) For all real maps F, G of T such that F is continuous and G is continuous holds F + G is continuous.
- (23) Let  $A_1$  be a binary operation on  $\mathbb{R}^{\text{the carrier of }T}$ . Suppose that for all real maps  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  of T holds  $A_1(f_1, f_2) = f_1 + f_2$ . Then  $A_1$  is commutative and associative and has a unity.
- (24) Let  $A_1$  be a binary operation on  $\mathbb{R}^{\text{the carrier of }T}$ . Suppose that for all real maps  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  of T holds  $A_1(f_1, f_2) = f_1 + f_2$ . Let  $m'_1$  be an element of  $\mathbb{R}^{\text{the carrier of }T}$ . If  $m'_1$  is a unity w.r.t.  $A_1$ , then  $m'_1$  is continuous.

Let T,  $T_1$  be non empty topological spaces, let  $S_1$  be a function from the carrier of T into  $2^{\text{the carrier of }T}$ , and let  $F_1$  be a function from the carrier of T into (the carrier of  $T_1$ )<sup>the carrier of T</sup>. The functor  $F_1 \approx S_1$  yields a map from T into  $T_1$  and is defined by:

(Def. 8) For every point p of T holds  $(F_1 \approx S_1)(p) = F_1(p)(p)$ .

The following propositions are true:

- (25) Let  $A_1$  be a binary operation on  $\mathbb{R}^{\text{the carrier of }T}$ . Suppose that for all real maps  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  of T holds  $A_1(f_1, f_2) = f_1 + f_2$ . Let F be a finite sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{R}^{\text{the carrier of }T}$ . Suppose that for every n such that  $0 \neq n$  and  $n \leq \text{len } F$  holds F(n) is a continuous real map of T. Then  $A_1 \odot F$  is a continuous real map of T.
- (26) Let F be a function from the carrier of T into (the carrier of  $T_1$ )<sup>the carrier of T</sup>. Suppose that for every point p of T holds F(p) is a continuous map from T into  $T_1$ . Let S be a function from the carrier of T into  $2^{\text{the carrier of }T}$ . Suppose that for every point p of T holds  $p \in S(p)$  and S(p) is open and for all points p, q of T such that  $p \in S(q)$  holds F(p)(p) = F(q)(p). Then  $F \approx S$  is continuous.

In the sequel m denotes a function from [the carrier of T, the carrier of T:] into  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Let us consider X, r and let f be a function from X into  $\mathbb{R}$ . The functor  $\min(r, f)$  yielding a function from X into  $\mathbb{R}$  is defined as follows:

(Def. 9) For every x such that  $x \in X$  holds  $(\min(r, f))(x) = \min(r, f(x))$ . One can prove the following proposition (27) For every real number r and for every real map f of T such that f is continuous holds  $\min(r, f)$  is continuous.

Let X be a set and let f be a function from [X, X] into  $\mathbb{R}$ . We say that f is a pseudometric of if and only if:

(Def. 10) f is Reflexive, symmetric, and triangle.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (28) Let f be a function from [X, X] into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then f is a pseudometric of if and only if for all elements a, b, c of X holds f(a, a) = 0 and  $f(a, c) \leq f(a, b) + f(c, b)$ .
- (29) For every function f from [X, X] into  $\mathbb{R}$  such that f is a pseudometric of and for all elements x, y of X holds  $f(x, y) \ge 0$ .
- (30) For all r, m such that r > 0 and m is a pseudometric of holds  $\min(r, m)$  is a pseudometric of.
- (31) For all r, m such that r > 0 and m is a metric of the carrier of T holds  $\min(r, m)$  is a metric of the carrier of T.

## References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Countable sets and Hessenberg's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):65–69, 1991.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [5] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):433–439, 1990.
- Józef Białas and Yatsuka Nakamura. Dyadic numbers and T<sub>4</sub> topological spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 5(3):361–366, 1996.
- [7] Józef Białas and Yatsuka Nakamura. The Urysohn lemma. Formalized Mathematics, 9(3):631–636, 2001.
- [8] Leszek Borys. Paracompact and metrizable spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 2(4):481–485, 1991.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [10] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [11] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [12] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [13] Czesław Byliński and Piotr Rudnicki. Bounding boxes for compact sets in E<sup>2</sup>. Formalized Mathematics, 6(3):427–440, 1997.
- [14] Agata Darmochwał. Compact spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):383–386, 1990.
- [15] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [16] Agata Darmochwał and Yatsuka Nakamura. Metric spaces as topological spaces fundamental concepts. Formalized Mathematics, 2(4):605–608, 1991.
- [17] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [18] Stanisława Kanas, Adam Lecko, and Mariusz Startek. Metric spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):607–610, 1990.
- [19] Andrzej Nędzusiak.  $\sigma$ -fields and probability. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):401–407, 1990.
- [20] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):147-152, 1990.

- [21] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [22] Jan Popiolek. Some properties of functions modul and signum. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):263–264, 1990.
- [23] Alexander Yu. Shibakov and Andrzej Trybulec. The Cantor set. Formalized Mathematics, 5(2):233–236, 1996.
- [24] Andrzej Trybulec. Subsets of complex numbers. To appear in Formalized Mathematics.
- [25] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [26] Andrzej Trybulec. Function domains and Frænkel operator. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):495–500, 1990.
- [27] Andrzej Trybulec. Semilattice operations on finite subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):369–376, 1990.
- [28] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):9–11,
- [29] Andrzej Trybulec. A Borsuk theorem on homotopy types. Formalized Mathematics, 2(4):535–545, 1991.
- [30] Andrzej Trybulec and Czesław Byliński. Some properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):445–449, 1990.
- [31] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Binary operations on finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):979–981, 1990.
- [32] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [33] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73-83, 1990.
- [34] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received May 31, 2004