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Summary. The Marriage Theorem, as credited to Philip Hall [7], gives the necessary and sufficient condition allowing us to select a distinct element from each of a finite collection $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ of $n$ finite subsets. This selection, called a set of different representatives (SDR), exists if and only if the marriage condition (or Hall condition) is satisfied:

$$
\forall_{J \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}}\left|\bigcup_{i \in J} A_{i}\right| \geqslant|J| .
$$

The proof which is given in this article (according to Richard Rado, 1967) is based on the lemma that for finite sequences with non-trivial elements which satisfy Hall property there exists a reduction (see Def. 5) such that Hall property again holds (see Th. 29 for details).

MML Identifier: HALLMAR1.

The notation and terminology used here are introduced in the following papers: [9], [5], [10], [11], [4], [8], [2], [6], [1], and [3].

## 1. Preliminaries

One can prove the following proposition
(1) For all finite sets $X, Y$ holds $\operatorname{card}(X \cup Y)+\operatorname{card}(X \cap Y)=\operatorname{card} X+\operatorname{card} Y$.

In this article we present several logical schemes. The scheme Regr11 deals with a natural number $\mathcal{A}$ and a unary predicate $\mathcal{P}$, and states that:

For every natural number $k$ such that $1 \leqslant k$ and $k \leqslant \mathcal{A}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k]$

[^0]provided the parameters meet the following conditions:

- $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{A}]$ and $\mathcal{A} \geqslant 2$, and
- For every natural number $k$ such that $1 \leqslant k$ and $k<\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{P}[k+1]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k]$.
The scheme Regr2 concerns a unary predicate $\mathcal{P}$, and states that: $\mathcal{P}[1]$
provided the parameters meet the following requirements:
- There exists a natural number $n$ such that $n>1$ and $\mathcal{P}[n]$, and
- For every natural number $k$ such that $k \geqslant 1$ and $\mathcal{P}[k+1]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k]$.
Let $F$ be a non empty set. One can check that there exists a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$ which is non empty and non-empty.

We now state the proposition
(2) Let $F$ be a non empty set, $f$ be a non-empty finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and $i$ be a natural number. If $i \in \operatorname{dom} f$, then $f(i) \neq \emptyset$.
Let $F$ be a finite set, let $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and let $i$ be a natural number. Note that $A(i)$ is finite.

## 2. Union of Finite Sequences

Let $F$ be a set, let $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and let $J$ be a set. The functor $\bigcup_{J} A$ yields a set and is defined as follows:
(Def. 1) For every set $x$ holds $x \in \bigcup_{J} A$ iff there exists a set $j$ such that $j \in J$ and $j \in \operatorname{dom} A$ and $x \in A(j)$.
Next we state two propositions:
(3) For every set $F$ and for every finite sequence $A$ of elements of $2^{F}$ and for every set $J$ holds $\bigcup_{J} A \subseteq F$.
(4) Let $F$ be a finite set, $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and $J, K$ be sets. If $J \subseteq K$, then $\bigcup_{J} A \subseteq \bigcup_{K} A$.
Let $F$ be a finite set, let $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and let $J$ be a set. One can verify that $\bigcup_{J} A$ is finite.

The following propositions are true:
(5) Let $F$ be a finite set, $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and $i$ be a natural number. If $i \in \operatorname{dom} A$, then $\bigcup_{\{i\}} A=A(i)$.
(6) Let $F$ be a finite set, $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and $i, j$ be natural numbers. If $i \in \operatorname{dom} A$ and $j \in \operatorname{dom} A$, then $\bigcup_{\{i, j\}} A=A(i) \cup A(j)$.
(7) Let $J$ be a set, $F$ be a finite set, $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and $i$ be a natural number. If $i \in J$ and $i \in \operatorname{dom} A$, then $A(i) \subseteq \bigcup_{J} A$.
(8) Let $J$ be a set, $F$ be a finite set, $i$ be a natural number, and $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$. If $i \in J$ and $i \in \operatorname{dom} A$, then $\bigcup_{J} A=$ $\bigcup_{J \backslash\{i\}} A \cup A(i)$.
(9) Let $J_{1}, J_{2}$ be sets, $F$ be a finite set, $i$ be a natural number, and $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$. If $i \in \operatorname{dom} A$, then $\bigcup_{\{i\} \cup J_{1} \cup J_{2}} A=$ $A(i) \cup \bigcup_{J_{1} \cup J_{2}} A$.
(10) Let $F$ be a finite set, $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}, i$ be a natural number, and $x, y$ be sets. If $x \neq y$ and $x \in A(i)$ and $y \in A(i)$, then $(A(i) \backslash\{x\}) \cup(A(i) \backslash\{y\})=A(i)$.

## 3. Cut Operation for Finite Sequences

Let $F$ be a finite set, let $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, let $i$ be a natural number, and let $x$ be a set. The functor $\operatorname{Cut}(A, i, x)$ yielding a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$ is defined by the conditions (Def. 2).
(Def. 2)(i) $\quad \operatorname{dom} \operatorname{Cut}(A, i, x)=\operatorname{dom} A$, and
(ii) for every natural number $k$ such that $k \in \operatorname{dom} \operatorname{Cut}(A, i, x)$ holds if $i=k$, then $(\operatorname{Cut}(A, i, x))(k)=A(k) \backslash\{x\}$ and if $i \neq k$, then $(\operatorname{Cut}(A, i, x))(k)=$ $A(k)$.
The following propositions are true:
(11) Let $F$ be a finite set, $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}, i$ be a natural number, and $x$ be a set. If $i \in \operatorname{dom} A$ and $x \in A(i)$, then $\operatorname{card}(\operatorname{Cut}(A, i, x))(i)=\operatorname{card} A(i)-1$.
(12) Let $F$ be a finite set, $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}, i$ be a natural number, and $x, J$ be sets. Then $\bigcup_{J \backslash\{i\}} \operatorname{Cut}(A, i, x)=\bigcup_{J \backslash\{i\}} A$.
(13) Let $F$ be a finite set, $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}, i$ be a natural number, and $x, J$ be sets. If $i \notin J$, then $\bigcup_{J} A=\bigcup_{J} \operatorname{Cut}(A, i, x)$.
(14) Let $F$ be a finite set, $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}, i$ be a natural number, and $x, J$ be sets. If $i \in \operatorname{dom~} \operatorname{Cut}(A, i, x)$ and $J \subseteq$ $\operatorname{dom} \operatorname{Cut}(A, i, x)$ and $i \in J$, then $\bigcup_{J} \operatorname{Cut}(A, i, x)=\bigcup_{J \backslash\{i\}} A \cup(A(i) \backslash\{x\})$.

## 4. System of Different Representatives and Hall Property

Let $F$ be a finite set, let $X$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and let $A$ be a set. We say that $A$ is a system of different representatives of $X$ if and only if the condition (Def. 3) is satisfied.
(Def. 3) There exists a finite sequence $f$ of elements of $F$ such that $f=A$ and $\operatorname{dom} X=\operatorname{dom} f$ and for every natural number $i$ such that $i \in \operatorname{dom} f$ holds $f(i) \in X(i)$ and $f$ is one-to-one.

Let $F$ be a finite set and let $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$. We say that $A$ satisfies Hall condition if and only if:
(Def. 4) For every finite set $J$ such that $J \subseteq \operatorname{dom} A$ holds card $J \leqslant \operatorname{card} \bigcup_{J} A$.
Next we state four propositions:
(15) Let $F$ be a finite set and $A$ be a non empty finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$. If $A$ satisfies Hall condition, then $A$ is non-empty.
(16) Let $F$ be a finite set, $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and $i$ be a natural number. If $i \in \operatorname{dom} A$ and $A$ satisfies Hall condition, then $\operatorname{card} A(i) \geqslant 1$.
(17) Let $F$ be a non empty finite set and $A$ be a non empty finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$. Suppose for every natural number $i$ such that $i \in \operatorname{dom} A$ holds card $A(i)=1$ and $A$ satisfies Hall condition. Then there exists a set which is a system of different representatives of $A$.
(18) Let $F$ be a finite set and $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$ such that there exists a set which is a system of different representatives of $A$. Then $A$ satisfies Hall condition.

## 5. Reductions and Singlifications of Finite Sequences

Let $F$ be a set, let $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and let $i$ be a natural number. A finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$ is said to be a reduction of $A$ at $i$-th position if:
(Def. 5) domit $=\operatorname{dom} A$ and for every natural number $j$ such that $j \in \operatorname{dom} A$ and $j \neq i$ holds $A(j)=\operatorname{it}(j)$ and $\operatorname{it}(i) \subseteq A(i)$.
Let $F$ be a set and let $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$. A finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$ is said to be a reduction of $A$ if:
(Def. 6) $\quad \operatorname{dom}$ it $=\operatorname{dom} A$ and for every natural number $i$ such that $i \in \operatorname{dom} A$ holds $\operatorname{it}(i) \subseteq A(i)$.
Let $F$ be a set, let $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and let $i$ be a natural number. Let us assume that $i \in \operatorname{dom} A$ and $A(i) \neq \emptyset$. A reduction of $A$ is called a singlification of $A$ at $i$-th position if:
(Def. 7) $\overline{\overline{\mathrm{it}(i)}}=1$.
One can prove the following propositions:
(19) Let $F$ be a finite set, $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and $i$ be a natural number. Then every reduction of $A$ at $i$-th position is a reduction of $A$.
(20) Let $F$ be a finite set, $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, $i$ be a natural number, and $x$ be a set. If $i \in \operatorname{dom} A$ and $x \in A(i)$, then $\operatorname{Cut}(A, i, x)$ is a reduction of $A$ at $i$-th position.
(21) Let $F$ be a finite set, $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}, i$ be a natural number, and $x$ be a set. If $i \in \operatorname{dom} A$ and $x \in A(i)$, then $\operatorname{Cut}(A, i, x)$ is a reduction of $A$.
(22) Let $F$ be a finite set, $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and $B$ be a reduction of $A$. Then every reduction of $B$ is a reduction of $A$.
(23) Let $F$ be a non empty finite set, $A$ be a non-empty finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}, i$ be a natural number, and $B$ be a singlification of $A$ at $i$-th position. If $i \in \operatorname{dom} A$, then $B(i) \neq \emptyset$.
(24) Let $F$ be a non empty finite set, $A$ be a non-empty finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}, i, j$ be natural numbers, $B$ be a singlification of $A$ at $i$-th position, and $C$ be a singlification of $B$ at $j$-th position. Suppose $i \in \operatorname{dom} A$ and $j \in \operatorname{dom} A$ and $C(i) \neq \emptyset$ and $B(j) \neq \emptyset$. Then $C$ is a singlification of $A$ at $j$-th position and a singlification of $A$ at $i$-th position.
(25) Let $F$ be a set, $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and $i$ be a natural number. Then $A$ is a reduction of $A$ at $i$-th position.
(26) For every set $F$ holds every finite sequence $A$ of elements of $2^{F}$ is a reduction of $A$.
Let $F$ be a non empty set and let $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$. Let us assume that $A$ is non-empty. A reduction of $A$ is called a singlification of $A$ if:
(Def. 8) For every natural number $i$ such that $i \in \operatorname{dom} A$ holds $\overline{\overline{\mathrm{it}(i)}}=1$.
We now state the proposition
(27) Let $F$ be a non empty finite set, $A$ be a non empty non-empty finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and $f$ be a function. Then $f$ is a singlification of $A$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $\operatorname{dom} f=\operatorname{dom} A$, and
(ii) for every natural number $i$ such that $i \in \operatorname{dom} A$ holds $f$ is a singlification of $A$ at $i$-th position.
Let $F$ be a non empty finite set, let $A$ be a non empty finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and let $k$ be a natural number. Note that every singlification of $A$ at $k$-th position is non empty.

Let $F$ be a non empty finite set and let $A$ be a non empty finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$. One can check that every singlification of $A$ is non empty.

## 6. Rado's Proof of the Hall Marriage Theorem

One can prove the following propositions:
(28) Let $F$ be a non empty finite set, $A$ be a non empty finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}, X$ be a set, and $B$ be a reduction of $A$. Suppose $X$ is a
system of different representatives of $B$. Then $X$ is a system of different representatives of $A$.
(29) Let $F$ be a finite set and $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$. Suppose $A$ satisfies Hall condition. Let $i$ be a natural number. If card $A(i) \geqslant 2$, then there exists a set $x$ such that $x \in A(i)$ and $\operatorname{Cut}(A, i, x)$ satisfies Hall condition.
(30) Let $F$ be a finite set, $A$ be a finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$, and $i$ be a natural number. If $i \in \operatorname{dom} A$ and $A$ satisfies Hall condition, then there exists a singlification of $A$ at $i$-th position which satisfies Hall condition.
(31) Let $F$ be a non empty finite set and $A$ be a non empty finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$. If $A$ satisfies Hall condition, then there exists a singlification of $A$ which satisfies Hall condition.
(32) Let $F$ be a non empty finite set and $A$ be a non empty finite sequence of elements of $2^{F}$. Then there exists a set which is a system of different representatives of $A$ if and only if $A$ satisfies Hall condition.
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