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#### Abstract

Summary. Non overwriting program is a program where each variable used in it is written only just one time, but the control variables used for "for-statement" are exceptional. Contrarily, variables are allowed to be read many times. There are other restrictions for the non overwriting program. For statements, only the following are allowed: "substituting-statement", "if-elsestatement", "for-statement" (with break and without break), function (correct one) - "call-statement" and "return-statement". Grammar of non overwriting program is like the one of the C-language. For type of variables, "int", "real", "char" and "float" can be used, and array of them can also be used. For operation, "+", "-" and "*" are used for a type "int"; "+", "-", "*" and "/" are used for a type "float". User can also define structures like in C. Non overwriting program can be translated to (predicative) logic formula in definition part to define functions. If a new function is correctly defined, a corresponding program is correct, if it does not use arrays. If it uses arrays, area check is necessary in the following theorem.

Semantic correctness is shown by some theorems following the definition. These theorems must tie up the result of the program and mathematical concepts introduced before. Correctness is proven function-wise. We must use only correctness-proven functions to define a new function (to write a new program as a form of a function). Here, we present two programs of division function of two natural numbers and of two integers. An algorithm is checked for each case by proving correctness of the definitions. We also perform an area check of the index of arrays used in one of the programs.


MML Identifier: PRGCOR_1.

The articles [6], [3], [2], [7], [5], [8], [1], and [4] provide the terminology and notation for this paper.

One can prove the following propositions:
(1) For all natural numbers $n, m, k$ holds $(n+k)-^{\prime}(m+k)=n-^{\prime} m$.
(2) For all natural numbers $n, k$ such that $k>0$ and $n \bmod 2 \cdot k \geqslant k$ holds $(n \bmod 2 \cdot k)-k=n \bmod k$ and $(n \bmod k)+k=n \bmod 2 \cdot k$.
(3) For all natural numbers $n, k$ such that $k>0$ and $n \bmod 2 \cdot k \geqslant k$ holds $n \div k=(n \div 2 \cdot k) \cdot 2+1$.
(4) For all natural numbers $n, k$ such that $k>0$ and $n \bmod 2 \cdot k<k$ holds $n \bmod 2 \cdot k=n \bmod k$.
(5) For all natural numbers $n, k$ such that $k>0$ and $n \bmod 2 \cdot k<k$ holds $n \div k=(n \div 2 \cdot k) \cdot 2$.

Let $C$ be a set, let $f$ be a partial function from $C$ to $\mathbb{Z}$, and let $x$ be a set. One can verify that $f(x)$ is integer.

Next we state two propositions:
(6) Let $m, n$ be natural numbers. Suppose $m>0$. Then there exists a natural number $i$ such that for every natural number $k_{2}$ such that $k_{2}<i$ holds $m \cdot 2^{k_{2}} \leqslant n$ and $m \cdot 2^{i}>n$.
(7) For every integer $i$ and for every finite sequence $f$ such that $1 \leqslant i$ and $i \leqslant \operatorname{len} f$ holds $i \in \operatorname{dom} f$.
Let $n, m$ be integers. Let us assume that $n \geqslant 0$ and $m>0$. The functor $\operatorname{Idiv} 1 \operatorname{Prg}(n, m)$ yields an integer and is defined by the condition (Def. 1).
(Def. 1) There exist finite sequences $s_{1}, s_{2}, p_{1}$ of elements of $\mathbb{Z}$ such that
(i) $\operatorname{len} s_{1}=n+1$,
(ii) $\operatorname{len} s_{2}=n+1$,
(iii) $\operatorname{len} p_{1}=n+1$,
(iv) if $n<m$, then $\operatorname{Idiv} 1 \operatorname{Prg}(n, m)=0$, and
(v) if $n \nless m$, then $s_{1}(1)=m$ and there exists an integer $i$ such that $1 \leqslant i$ and $i \leqslant n$ and for every integer $k$ such that $1 \leqslant k$ and $k<i$ holds $s_{1}(k+1)=s_{1}(k) \cdot 2$ and $s_{1}(k+1) \ngtr n$ and $s_{1}(i+1)=s_{1}(i) \cdot 2$ and $s_{1}(i+1)>n$ and $p_{1}(i+1)=0$ and $s_{2}(i+1)=n$ and for every integer $j$ such that $1 \leqslant j$ and $j \leqslant i$ holds if $s_{2}((i+1)-(j-1)) \geqslant s_{1}((i+1)-j)$, then $s_{2}((i+1)-j)=s_{2}((i+1)-(j-1))-s_{1}((i+1)-j)$ and $p_{1}((i+1)-j)=$ $p_{1}((i+1)-(j-1)) \cdot 2+1$ and if $s_{2}((i+1)-(j-1)) \ngtr s_{1}((i+1)-j)$, then $s_{2}((i+1)-j)=s_{2}((i+1)-(j-1))$ and $p_{1}((i+1)-j)=p_{1}((i+1)-(j-1)) \cdot 2$ and $\operatorname{Idiv} 1 \operatorname{Prg}(n, m)=p_{1}(1)$.
Next we state four propositions:
(8) Let $n, m$ be integers. Suppose $n \geqslant 0$ and $m>0$. Let $s_{1}, s_{2}, p_{1}$ be finite sequences of elements of $\mathbb{Z}$ and $i$ be an integer. Suppose that
(i) $\operatorname{len} s_{1}=n+1$,
(ii) len $s_{2}=n+1$,
(iii) $\operatorname{len} p_{1}=n+1$, and
(iv) if $n \nless m$, then $s_{1}(1)=m$ and $1 \leqslant i$ and $i \leqslant n$ and for every integer $k$ such that $1 \leqslant k$ and $k<i$ holds $s_{1}(k+1)=s_{1}(k) \cdot 2$ and $s_{1}(k+1) \ngtr n$ and $s_{1}(i+1)=s_{1}(i) \cdot 2$ and $s_{1}(i+1)>n$ and $p_{1}(i+1)=0$ and $s_{2}(i+1)=n$ and for every integer $j$ such that $1 \leqslant j$ and $j \leqslant i$ holds if $s_{2}((i+1)-(j-1)) \geqslant$ $s_{1}((i+1)-j)$, then $s_{2}((i+1)-j)=s_{2}((i+1)-(j-1))-s_{1}((i+1)-j)$ and $p_{1}((i+1)-j)=p_{1}((i+1)-(j-1)) \cdot 2+1$ and if $s_{2}((i+1)-(j-$ $1)) \ngtr s_{1}((i+1)-j)$, then $s_{2}((i+1)-j)=s_{2}((i+1)-(j-1))$ and $p_{1}((i+1)-j)=p_{1}((i+1)-(j-1)) \cdot 2$ and $\operatorname{Idiv} 1 \operatorname{Prg}(n, m)=p_{1}(1)$.
Then
(v) $\operatorname{len} s_{1}=n+1$,
(vi) $\quad$ len $s_{2}=n+1$,
(vii) $\operatorname{len} p_{1}=n+1$,
(viii) if $n<m$, then $\operatorname{Idiv} 1 \operatorname{Prg}(n, m)=0$, and
(ix) if $n \nless m$, then $1 \in \operatorname{dom} s_{1}$ and $s_{1}(1)=m$ and $1 \leqslant i$ and $i \leqslant n$ and for every integer $k$ such that $1 \leqslant k$ and $k<i$ holds $k+1 \in \operatorname{dom} s_{1}$ and $k \in \operatorname{dom} s_{1}$ and $s_{1}(k+1)=s_{1}(k) \cdot 2$ and $s_{1}(k+1) \ngtr n$ and $i+1 \in \operatorname{dom} s_{1}$ and $i \in \operatorname{dom} s_{1}$ and $s_{1}(i+1)=s_{1}(i) \cdot 2$ and $s_{1}(i+1)>n$ and $i+1 \in \operatorname{dom} p_{1}$ and $p_{1}(i+1)=0$ and $i+1 \in \operatorname{dom} s_{2}$ and $s_{2}(i+1)=n$ and for every integer $j$ such that $1 \leqslant j$ and $j \leqslant i$ holds $(i+1)-(j-1) \in \operatorname{dom} s_{2}$ and $(i+1)-j \in \operatorname{dom} s_{1}$ and if $s_{2}((i+1)-(j-1)) \geqslant s_{1}((i+1)-j)$, then $(i+1)-j \in \operatorname{dom} s_{2}$ and $(i+1)-j \in \operatorname{dom} s_{1}$ and $s_{2}((i+1)-j)=s_{2}((i+1)-$ $(j-1))-s_{1}((i+1)-j)$ and $(i+1)-j \in \operatorname{dom} p_{1}$ and $(i+1)-(j-1) \in \operatorname{dom} p_{1}$ and $p_{1}((i+1)-j)=p_{1}((i+1)-(j-1)) \cdot 2+1$ and if $s_{2}((i+1)-(j-1)) \ngtr$ $s_{1}((i+1)-j)$, then $(i+1)-j \in \operatorname{dom} s_{2}$ and $(i+1)-(j-1) \in \operatorname{dom} s_{2}$ and $s_{2}((i+1)-j)=s_{2}((i+1)-(j-1))$ and $(i+1)-j \in \operatorname{dom} p_{1}$ and $(i+1)-(j-1) \in \operatorname{dom} p_{1}$ and $p_{1}((i+1)-j)=p_{1}((i+1)-(j-1)) \cdot 2$ and $1 \in \operatorname{dom} p_{1}$ and $\operatorname{Idiv} 1 \operatorname{Prg}(n, m)=p_{1}(1)$.
(9) For all natural numbers $n$, $m$ such that $m>0$ holds $\operatorname{Idiv} 1 \operatorname{Prg}((n$ qua integer $),(m$ qua integer $))=n \div m$.
(10) For all integers $n, m$ such that $n \geqslant 0$ and $m>0$ holds $\operatorname{Idiv} 1 \operatorname{Prg}(n, m)=$ $n \div m$.
(11) Let $n, m$ be integers and $n_{2}, m_{2}$ be natural numbers. Then
(i) if $m=0$ and $n_{2}=n$ and $m_{2}=m$, then $n \div m=0$ and $n_{2} \div m_{2}=0$,
(ii) if $n \geqslant 0$ and $m>0$ and $n_{2}=n$ and $m_{2}=m$, then $n \div m=n_{2} \div m_{2}$,
(iii) if $n \geqslant 0$ and $m<0$ and $n_{2}=n$ and $m_{2}=-m$, then if $m_{2} \cdot\left(n_{2} \div\right.$ $\left.m_{2}\right)=n_{2}$, then $n \div m=-\left(n_{2} \div m_{2}\right)$ and if $m_{2} \cdot\left(n_{2} \div m_{2}\right) \neq n_{2}$, then $n \div m=-\left(n_{2} \div m_{2}\right)-1$,
(iv) if $n<0$ and $m>0$ and $n_{2}=-n$ and $m_{2}=m$, then if $m_{2} \cdot\left(n_{2} \div\right.$ $\left.m_{2}\right)=n_{2}$, then $n \div m=-\left(n_{2} \div m_{2}\right)$ and if $m_{2} \cdot\left(n_{2} \div m_{2}\right) \neq n_{2}$, then $n \div m=-\left(n_{2} \div m_{2}\right)-1$, and
(v) if $n<0$ and $m<0$ and $n_{2}=-n$ and $m_{2}=-m$, then $n \div m=n_{2} \div m_{2}$.

Let $n, m$ be integers. The functor $\operatorname{Idiv} \operatorname{Prg}(n, m)$ yields an integer and is defined by the condition (Def. 2).
(Def. 2) There exists an integer $i$ such that
(i) if $m=0$, then $\operatorname{IdivPrg}(n, m)=0$, and
(ii) if $m \neq 0$, then if $n \geqslant 0$ and $m>0$, then $\operatorname{IdivPrg}(n, m)=\operatorname{Idiv} 1 \operatorname{Prg}(n, m)$ and if $n \ngtr 0$ or $m \ngtr 0$, then if $n \geqslant 0$ and $m<0$, then $i=\operatorname{Idiv1} \operatorname{Prg}(n,-m)$ and if $(-m) \cdot i=n$, then $\operatorname{IdivPrg}(n, m)=-i$ and if $(-m) \cdot i \neq n$, then $\operatorname{IdivPrg}(n, m)=-i-1$ and if $n \ngtr 0$ or $m \nless 0$, then if $n<0$ and $m>0$, then $i=\operatorname{Idiv} 1 \operatorname{Prg}(-n, m)$ and if $m \cdot i=-n$, then $\operatorname{Idiv} \operatorname{Prg}(n, m)=-i$ and if $m \cdot i \neq-n$, then $\operatorname{Idiv} \operatorname{Prg}(n, m)=-i-1$ and if $n \nless 0$ or $m \ngtr 0$, then $\operatorname{Idiv} \operatorname{Prg}(n, m)=\operatorname{Idiv} 1 \operatorname{Prg}(-n,-m)$.
The following proposition is true
(12) For all integers $n, m$ holds $\operatorname{Idiv} \operatorname{Prg}(n, m)=n \div m$.
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