

Lim-Inf Convergence¹

Bartłomiej Skorulski
University of Białystok

Summary. This work continues the formalization of [7]. Theorems from Chapter III, Section 3, pp. 158–159 are proved.

MML Identifier: WAYBEL28.

The articles [5], [6], [10], [1], [15], [11], [17], [16], [12], [14], [8], [3], [4], [9], [2], and [13] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) For every complete lattice L and for every net N in L holds $\inf N \leq \liminf N$.
- (2) Let L be a complete lattice, N be a net in L , and x be an element of L . Suppose that for every subnet M of N holds $x = \liminf M$. Then $x = \liminf N$ and for every subnet M of N holds $x \geq \inf M$.
- (3) Let L be a complete lattice, N be a net in L , and x be an element of L . Suppose $N \in \text{NetUniv}(L)$. Suppose that for every subnet M of N such that $M \in \text{NetUniv}(L)$ holds $x = \liminf M$. Then $x = \liminf N$ and for every subnet M of N such that $M \in \text{NetUniv}(L)$ holds $x \geq \inf M$.

Let N be a non empty relational structure and let f be a map from N into N . We say that f is greater or equal to id if and only if:

(Def. 1) For every element j of the carrier of N holds $j \leq f(j)$.

We now state three propositions:

- (4) For every reflexive non empty relational structure N holds id_N is greater or equal to id .
- (5) Let N be a directed non empty relational structure and x, y be elements of N . Then there exists an element z of N such that $x \leq z$ and $y \leq z$.
- (6) For every directed non empty relational structure N holds there exists a map from N into N which is greater or equal to id .

¹This work has been supported by KBN Grant 8 T11C 018 12.

Let N be a directed non empty relational structure. One can verify that there exists a map from N into N which is greater or equal to id.

Let N be a reflexive non empty relational structure. Observe that there exists a map from N into N which is greater or equal to id.

Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let N be a non empty net structure over L , and let f be a map from N into N . The functor $N \cdot f$ yielding a strict non empty net structure over L is defined by the conditions (Def. 2).

- (Def. 2)(i) The relational structure of $N \cdot f =$ the relational structure of N , and
(ii) the mapping of $N \cdot f =$ (the mapping of N) $\cdot f$.

The following propositions are true:

- (7) Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a non empty net structure over L , and f be a map from N into N . Then the carrier of $N \cdot f =$ the carrier of N .
- (8) Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a non empty net structure over L , and f be a map from N into N . Then $N \cdot f =$ \langle the carrier of N , the internal relation of N , (the mapping of N) $\cdot f$ \rangle .
- (9) Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a transitive directed non empty relational structure, and f be a function from the carrier of N into the carrier of L . Then \langle the carrier of N , the internal relation of N , f \rangle is a net in L .

Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let N be a transitive directed non empty relational structure, and let f be a function from the carrier of N into the carrier of L . Note that \langle the carrier of N , the internal relation of N , f \rangle is transitive directed and non empty.

We now state the proposition

- (10) Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a net in L , and p be a map from N into N . Then $N \cdot p$ is a net in L .

Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let N be a net in L , and let p be a map from N into N . Note that $N \cdot p$ is transitive and directed.

Next we state two propositions:

- (11) Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a net in L , and p be a map from N into N . If $N \in \text{NetUniv}(L)$, then $N \cdot p \in \text{NetUniv}(L)$.
- (12) Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure and N, M be nets in L . Suppose the net structure of $N =$ the net structure of M . Then M is a subnet of N .

Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure and let N be a net in L . Note that there exists a subnet of N which is strict.

The following proposition is true

- (13) Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, N be a net in L , and p be a greater or equal to id map from N into N . Then $N \cdot p$ is a subnet of N .

Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure, let N be a net in L , and let p be a greater or equal to id map from N into N . Then $N \cdot p$ is a strict subnet of N .

One can prove the following two propositions:

- (14) Let L be a complete lattice, N be a net in L , and x be an element of L . Suppose $N \in \text{NetUniv}(L)$. Suppose $x = \liminf N$ and for every subnet M of N such that $M \in \text{NetUniv}(L)$ holds $x \geq \inf M$. Then $x = \liminf N$ and for every greater or equal to id map p from N into N holds $x \geq \inf(N \cdot p)$.
- (15) Let L be a complete lattice, N be a net in L , and x be an element of L . Suppose $x = \liminf N$ and for every greater or equal to id map p from N into N holds $x \geq \inf(N \cdot p)$. Let M be a subnet of N . Then $x = \liminf M$.

Let L be a non empty relational structure. The \liminf convergence of L is a convergence class of L and is defined by the condition (Def. 3).

- (Def. 3) Let N be a net in L . Suppose $N \in \text{NetUniv}(L)$. Let x be an element of the carrier of L . Then $\langle N, x \rangle \in$ the \liminf convergence of L if and only if for every subnet M of N holds $x = \liminf M$.

We now state two propositions:

- (16) Let L be a complete lattice, N be a net in L , and x be an element of L . Suppose $N \in \text{NetUniv}(L)$. Then $\langle N, x \rangle \in$ the \liminf convergence of L if and only if for every subnet M of N such that $M \in \text{NetUniv}(L)$ holds $x = \liminf M$.
- (17) Let L be a non empty relational structure, N be a constant net in L , and M be a subnet of N . Then M is constant and the value of $N =$ the value of M .

Let L be a non empty relational structure. The functor $\xi(L)$ yielding a family of subsets of L is defined as follows:

- (Def. 4) $\xi(L) =$ the topology of $\text{ConvergenceSpace}(\text{the } \liminf \text{ convergence of } L)$.

The following propositions are true:

- (18) For every complete lattice L holds the \liminf convergence of L has (CONSTANTS) property.
- (19) For every non empty relational structure L holds the \liminf convergence of L has (SUBNETS) property.
- (20) For every continuous complete lattice L holds the \liminf convergence of L has (DIVERGENCE) property.
- (21) Let L be a non empty relational structure and N, x be sets. If $\langle N, x \rangle \in$ the \liminf convergence of L , then $N \in \text{NetUniv}(L)$.
- (22) Let L be a non empty 1-sorted structure and C_1, C_2 be convergence classes of L . If $C_1 \subseteq C_2$, then the topology of $\text{ConvergenceSpace}(C_2) \subseteq$ the topology of $\text{ConvergenceSpace}(C_1)$.

- (23) Let L be a non empty reflexive relational structure. Then the \liminf convergence of $L \subseteq$ the Scott convergence of L .
- (24) For all sets X, Y such that $X \subseteq Y$ holds $X \in$ the universe of Y .
- (25) Let L be a non empty transitive reflexive relational structure and D be a directed non empty subset of L . Then $\text{NetStr}(D) \in \text{NetUniv}(L)$.
- (26) For every complete lattice L and for every directed non empty subset D of L and for every subnet M of $\text{NetStr}(D)$ holds $\liminf M = \sup D$.
- (27) Let L be a non empty complete lattice and D be a directed non empty subset of L . Then $\langle \text{NetStr}(D), \sup D \rangle \in$ the \liminf convergence of L .
- (28) For every complete lattice L and for every subset U_1 of L such that $U_1 \in \xi(L)$ holds U_1 is property(S).
- (29) For every non empty reflexive relational structure L and for every subset A of L such that $A \in \sigma(L)$ holds $A \in \xi(L)$.
- (30) For every complete lattice L and for every subset A of L such that A is upper holds if $A \in \xi(L)$, then $A \in \sigma(L)$.
- (31) Let L be a complete lattice and A be a subset of L . Suppose A is lower. Then $-A \in \xi(L)$ if and only if A is closed under directed sups.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(5):719–725, 1991.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):81–91, 1997.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Directed sets, nets, ideals, filters, and maps. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):93–107, 1997.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. The “way-below” relation. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(1):169–176, 1997.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [7] G. Gierz, K.H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J.D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D.S. Scott. *A Compendium of Continuous Lattices*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980.
- [8] Adam Grabowski. Scott-continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 7(1):13–18, 1998.
- [9] Artur Korniłowicz. On the topological properties of meet-continuous lattices. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):269–277, 1997.
- [10] Michał Muzalewski. Categories of groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(4):563–571, 1991.
- [11] Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [12] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [13] Andrzej Trybulec. Moore-Smith convergence. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):213–225, 1997.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Scott topology. *Formalized Mathematics*, 6(2):311–319, 1997.
- [15] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):313–319, 1990.
- [16] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [17] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received January 6, 2000
