Technical Preliminaries to Algebraic Specifications

Grzegorz Bancerek University of Białystok

MML Identifier: ALGSPEC1.

The papers [15], [3], [11], [5], [6], [7], [8], [4], [10], [13], [2], [9], [12], [1], [16], [17], and [14] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

1. Preliminaries

One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) For all functions f, g, h such that $\operatorname{dom} f \cap \operatorname{dom} g \subseteq \operatorname{dom} h$ holds f + g + h = g + f + h.
- (2) For all functions f, g, h such that $f \subseteq g$ and $\operatorname{rng} h \cap \operatorname{dom} g \subseteq \operatorname{dom} f$ holds $g \cdot h = f \cdot h$.
- (3) For all functions f, g, h such that dom $f \subseteq \operatorname{rng} g$ and dom f misses $\operatorname{rng} h$ and g° dom h misses dom f holds $f \cdot (g + \cdot h) = f \cdot g$.
- (4) For all functions f_1 , f_2 , g_1 , g_2 such that $f_1 \approx f_2$ and $g_1 \approx g_2$ holds $f_1 \cdot g_1 \approx f_2 \cdot g_2$.
- (5) Let X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2 be non empty sets, f be a function from X_1 into X_2 , and g be a function from Y_1 into Y_2 . If $f \subseteq g$, then $f^* \subseteq g^*$.
- (6) Let X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2 be non empty sets, f be a function from X_1 into X_2 , and g be a function from Y_1 into Y_2 . If $f \approx g$, then $f^* \approx g^*$.

Let X be a set and let f be a function. The functor X-indexing f yielding a many sorted set indexed by X is defined as follows:

(Def. 1) X-indexing $f = id_X + f \upharpoonright X$.

We now state a number of propositions:

- (7) For every set X and for every function f holds $\operatorname{rng}(X\operatorname{-indexing} f) = (X \setminus \operatorname{dom} f) \cup f^{\circ}X$.
- (8) For every non empty set X and for every function f and for every element x of X holds (X-indexing $f)(x) = (\mathrm{id}_X + f)(x)$.
- (9) For all sets X, x and for every function f such that $x \in X$ holds if $x \in \text{dom } f$, then (X -indexing f)(x) = f(x) and if $x \notin \text{dom } f$, then (X -indexing f)(x) = x.
- (10) For every set X and for every function f such that dom f = X holds X-indexing f = f.
- (11) For every set X and for every function f holds X-indexing (X-indexing f) = X-indexing f.
- (12) For every set X and for every function f holds X-indexing($\operatorname{id}_X + \cdot f$) = X-indexing f.
- (13) For every set X and for every function f such that $f \subseteq id_X$ holds X-indexing $f = id_X$.
- (14) For every set X holds X-indexing $\emptyset = \mathrm{id}_X$.
- (15) For every set X and for every function f holds X-indexing $f \upharpoonright X = X$ -indexing f.
- (16) For every set X and for every function f such that $X \subseteq \text{dom } f$ holds X-indexing $f = f \upharpoonright X$.
- (17) For every function f holds \emptyset -indexing $f = \emptyset$.
- (18) For all sets X, Y and for every function f such that $X \subseteq Y$ holds $(Y \operatorname{indexing} f) \upharpoonright X = X \operatorname{indexing} f$.
- (19) For all sets X, Y and for every function f holds $(X \cup Y)$ -indexing f = (X indexing f) + (Y indexing f).
- (20) For all sets X, Y and for every function f holds X-indexing $f \approx Y$ -indexing f.
- (21) For all sets X, Y and for every function f holds $(X \cup Y)$ -indexing $f = (X \text{indexing } f) \cup (Y \text{indexing } f)$.
- (22) For every non empty set X and for all functions f, g such that rng $g \subseteq X$ holds $(X \text{-indexing } f) \cdot g = (\mathrm{id}_X + \cdot f) \cdot g$.
- (23) For all functions f, g such that dom f misses dom g and rng g misses dom f and for every set X holds $f \cdot (X \operatorname{indexing} g) = f \upharpoonright X$.

Let f be a function. A function is called a rng-retraction of f if:

(Def. 2) dom it = rng f and $f \cdot it = id_{rng} f$.

We now state several propositions:

(24) For every function f and for every rng-retraction g of f holds rng $g \subseteq \text{dom } f$.

- (25) Let f be a function, g be a rng-retraction of f, and x be a set. If $x \in \operatorname{rng} f$, then $g(x) \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and f(g(x)) = x.
- (26) For every function f such that f is one-to-one holds f^{-1} is a rng-retraction of f.
- (27) For every function f such that f is one-to-one and for every rng-retraction g of f holds $g = f^{-1}$.
- (28) Let f_1 , f_2 be functions. Suppose $f_1 \approx f_2$. Let g_1 be a rng-retraction of f_1 and g_2 be a rng-retraction of f_2 . Then $g_1 + g_2$ is a rng-retraction of $f_1 + f_2$.
- (29) Let f_1 , f_2 be functions. Suppose $f_1 \subseteq f_2$. Let g_1 be a rng-retraction of f_1 . Then there exists a rng-retraction g_2 of f_2 such that $g_1 \subseteq g_2$.

2. Replacement in Signature

Let S be a non empty non void many sorted signature and let f, g be functions. We say that f and g form a replacement in S if and only if the condition (Def. 3) is satisfied.

- (Def. 3) Let o_1, o_2 be operation symbols of S. Suppose (id_{the operation symbols of S+g) $(o_1) = (id_{the operation symbols of } S+g)(o_2)$. Then}
 - (i) $(id_{the \ carrier \ of \ S} + \cdot f) \cdot Arity(o_1) = (id_{the \ carrier \ of \ S} + \cdot f) \cdot Arity(o_2)$, and
 - (ii) $(id_{the \ carrier \ of \ S} + \cdot f)(the \ result \ sort \ of \ o_1) = (id_{the \ carrier \ of \ S} + \cdot f)(the \ result \ sort \ of \ o_2).$

One can prove the following propositions:

- (30) Let S be a non empty non void many sorted signature and f, g be functions. Then f and g form a replacement in S if and only if for all operation symbols o_1 , o_2 of S such that ((the operation symbols of S)-indexing g)(o_1) = ((the operation symbols of S)-indexing g)(o_2) holds ((the carrier of S)-indexing f)· Arity(o_1) = ((the carrier of S)-indexing f) Arity(o_2) and ((the carrier of S)-indexing f)(the result sort of o_1) = ((the carrier of S)-indexing f)(the result sort of o_2).
- (31) Let S be a non empty non void many sorted signature and f, g be functions. Then f and g form a replacement in S if and only if (the carrier of S)-indexing f and (the operation symbols of S)-indexing g form a replacement in S.

In the sequel S, S' denote non void signatures and f, g denote functions. One can prove the following four propositions:

- (32) If f and g form morphism between S and S', then f and g form a replacement in S.
- (33) f and \emptyset form a replacement in S.

- (34) If g is one-to-one and (the operation symbols of S) \cap rng $g \subseteq \text{dom } g$, then f and g form a replacement in S.
- (35) If g is one-to-one and rng g misses the operation symbols of S, then f and g form a replacement in S.

Let X be a set, let Y be a non empty set, let a be a function from Y into X^* , and let r be a function from Y into X. Observe that $\langle X,Y,a,r\rangle$ is non void. Let S be a non empty non void many sorted signature and let f,g be functions. Let us assume that f and g form a replacement in S. The functor S with-replacement (f,g) yields a strict non empty non void many sorted signature and is defined by the conditions (Def. 4).

- (Def. 4)(i) (The carrier of S)-indexing f and (the operation symbols of S)-indexing g form morphism between S and S with-replacement (f, g),
 - (ii) the carrier of S with-replacement $(f,g) = \operatorname{rng}((\text{the carrier of } S) \text{indexing } f)$, and
 - (iii) the operation symbols of S with-replacement $(f, g) = \operatorname{rng}((\text{the operation symbols of } S) \operatorname{indexing } g)$.

The following propositions are true:

- (36) Let S_1 , S_2 be non void signatures, f be a function from the carrier of S_1 into the carrier of S_2 , and g be a function. Suppose f and g form morphism between S_1 and S_2 . Then f^* the arity of $S_1 = (\text{the arity of } S_2) \cdot g$.
- (37) Suppose f and g form a replacement in S. Then (the carrier of S)-indexing f is a function from the carrier of S into the carrier of S with-replacement (f,g).
- (38) Suppose f and g form a replacement in S. Let f' be a function from the carrier of S into the carrier of S with-replacement (f,g). Suppose f' = (the carrier of S)-indexing f. Let g' be a rng-retraction of (the operation symbols of S)-indexing g. Then the arity of S with-replacement $(f,g) = f'^*$ the arity of $S \cdot g'$.
- (39) Suppose f and g form a replacement in S. Let g' be a rng-retraction of (the operation symbols of S)-indexing g. Then the result sort of S with-replacement $(f,g) = ((\text{the carrier of } S) \text{indexing } f) \cdot \text{the result sort of } S \cdot g'$.
- (40) If f and g form morphism between S and S', then S with-replacement (f, g) is a subsignature of S'.
- (41) f and g form a replacement in S if and only if (the carrier of S)-indexing f and (the operation symbols of S)-indexing g form morphism between S and S with-replacement (f,g).
- (42) Suppose dom $f \subseteq$ the carrier of S and dom $g \subseteq$ the operation symbols of S and f and g form a replacement in S. Then $id_{the \ carrier \ of \ S} + \cdot f$ and $id_{the \ operation \ symbols \ of \ S} + \cdot g$ form morphism be-

tween S and S with-replacement(f, g).

- (43) Suppose dom f = the carrier of S and dom g = the operation symbols of S and f and g form a replacement in S. Then f and g form morphism between S and S with-replacement (f,g).
- (44) If f and g form a replacement in S, then S with-replacement ((the carrier of S)-indexing f, g) = S with-replacement (f, g).
- (45) If f and g form a replacement in S, then S with-replacement (f, (the operation symbols of <math>S)-indexing g) = S with-replacement (f, g).

3. Signature Extensions

Let S be a signature. A signature is called an extension of S if: (Def. 5) S is a subsignature of it.

The following propositions are true:

- (46) For all signatures S, E holds S is a subsignature of E iff E is an extension of S.
- (47) Every signature S is an extension of S.
- (48) For every signature S_1 and for every extension S_2 of S_1 holds every extension of S_2 is an extension of S_1 .
- (49) For all non empty signatures S_1 , S_2 such that $S_1 \approx S_2$ holds $S_1 + S_2$ is an extension of S_1 .
- (50) For all non empty signatures S_1 , S_2 holds $S_1 + S_2$ is an extension of S_2 .
- (51) Let S_1 , S_2 , S be non empty many sorted signatures and f_1 , g_1 , f_2 , g_2 be functions. Suppose $f_1 \approx f_2$ and f_1 and g_1 form morphism between S_1 and S and
- (52) Let S_1 , S_2 , E be non empty signatures. Then E is an extension of S_1 and an extension of S_2 if and only if $S_1 \approx S_2$ and E is an extension of $S_1 + \cdot S_2$.

Let S be a non empty signature. One can check that every extension of S is non empty.

Let S be a non void signature. One can verify that every extension of S is non void.

One can prove the following proposition

(53) For all signatures S, T such that S is empty holds T is an extension of S.

Let S be a signature. One can check that there exists an extension of S which is non empty, non void, and strict.

The following three propositions are true:

- (54) Let S be a non void signature and E be an extension of S. Suppose f and g form a replacement in E. Then f and g form a replacement in S.
- (55) Let S be a non void signature and E be an extension of S. Suppose f and g form a replacement in E. Then E with-replacement (f,g) is an extension of S with-replacement (f,g).
- (56) Let S_1 , S_2 be non void signatures. Suppose $S_1 \approx S_2$. Let f, g be functions. If f and g form a replacement in $S_1 + \cdot S_2$, then $(S_1 + \cdot S_2)$ with-replacement $(f, g) = (S_1 \text{ with-replacement}(f, g)) + \cdot (S_2 \text{ with-replacement}(f, g))$.

4. Algebras

Algebra is defined by:

(Def. 6) There exists a non void signature S such that it is a feasible algebra over S.

Let S be a signature. An algebra is called an algebra of S if:

(Def. 7) There exists a non void extension E of S such that it is a feasible algebra over E.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (57) For every non void signature S holds every feasible algebra over S is an algebra of S.
- (58) For every signature S and for every extension E of S holds every algebra of E is an algebra of S.
- (59) Let S be a signature, E be a non empty signature, and A be an algebra over E. Suppose A is an algebra of S. Then the carrier of $S \subseteq$ the carrier of E and the operation symbols of $S \subseteq$ the operation symbols of E.
- (60) Let S be a non void signature, E be a non empty signature, and A be an algebra over E. Suppose A is an algebra of S. Let o be an operation symbol of S. Then (the characteristics of A)(o) is a function from (the sorts of A)#(Arity(o)) into (the sorts of A)(the result sort of o).
- (61) Let S be a non empty signature, A be an algebra of S, and E be a non empty many sorted signature. If A is an algebra over E, then A is an algebra over E+S.
- (62) Let S_1 , S_2 be non empty signatures and A be an algebra over S_1 . Suppose A is an algebra over S_2 . Then the carrier of S_1 = the carrier of S_2 and the operation symbols of S_1 = the operation symbols of S_2 .
- (63) For every non void signature S and for every non-empty disjoint algebra A over S holds the sorts of A are one-to-one.

- (64) Let S be a non void signature, A be a disjoint algebra over S, and C_1 , C_2 be components of the sorts of A. Then $C_1 = C_2$ or C_1 misses C_2 .
- (65) Let S, S' be non void signatures and A be a non-empty disjoint algebra over S. Suppose A is an algebra over S'. Then the many sorted signature of S = the many sorted signature of S'.
- (66) Let S' be a non-void signature and A be a non-empty disjoint algebra over S. If A is an algebra of S', then S is an extension of S'.

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Minimal signature for partial algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 5(3):405–414, 1996.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Translations, endomorphisms, and stable equational theories. Formalized Mathematics, 5(4):553–564, 1996.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. Algebra of morphisms. Formalized Mathematics, 6(2):303-310, 1997.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Institution of many sorted algebras. Part I: Signature reduct of an algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 6(2):279–287, 1997.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):529-536, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164,
- [8] Czesław Byliński. The modification of a function by a function and the iteration of the composition of a function. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):521–527, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [10] Patricia L. Carlson and Grzegorz Bancerek. Context-free grammar part 1. Formalized Mathematics, 2(5):683-687, 1991.
- [11] Yatsuka Nakamura and Grzegorz Bancerek. Combining of circuits. Formalized Mathematics, 5(2):283–295, 1996.
- [12] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [13] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted algebras. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1):37-42, 1996.
- [14] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [15] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Święczkowska. Boolean properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [16] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [17] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186,

Received September 7, 1999