

# Correctness of Binary Counter Circuits

Yuguang Yang  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

Katsumi Wasaki  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

Yasushi Fuwa  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

Yatsuka Nakamura  
Shinshu University  
Nagano

**Summary.** This article introduces the verification of the correctness for the operations and the specification of the 3-bit counter. Both cases: without reset input and with reset input are considered. The proof was proposed by Y. Nakamura in [1].

MML Identifier: GATE\_2.

The paper [1] provides the terminology and notation for this paper.

In this paper  $a, b, c, d$  denote sets.

Next we state four propositions:

- (1) Let  $s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6, s_7, n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_7, q_1, q_2, q_3, n_8, n_9, n_{10}$  be sets such that  $\text{NE } s_0 \text{ iff } \text{NE AND3}(\text{NOT1 } q_3, \text{NOT1 } q_2, \text{NOT1 } q_1)$  and  $\text{NE } s_1 \text{ iff } \text{NE AND3}(\text{NOT1 } q_3, \text{NOT1 } q_2, q_1)$  and  $\text{NE } s_2 \text{ iff } \text{NE AND3}(\text{NOT1 } q_3, q_2, \text{NOT1 } q_1)$  and  $\text{NE } s_3 \text{ iff } \text{NE AND3}(\text{NOT1 } q_3, q_2, q_1)$  and  $\text{NE } s_4 \text{ iff } \text{NE AND3}(q_3, \text{NOT1 } q_2, \text{NOT1 } q_1)$  and  $\text{NE } s_5 \text{ iff } \text{NE AND3}(q_3, \text{NOT1 } q_2, q_1)$  and  $\text{NE } s_6 \text{ iff } \text{NE AND3}(q_3, q_2, \text{NOT1 } q_1)$  and  $\text{NE } s_7 \text{ iff } \text{NE AND3}(q_3, q_2, q_1)$  and  $\text{NE } n_0 \text{ iff } \text{NE AND3}(\text{NOT1 } n_{10}, \text{NOT1 } n_9, \text{NOT1 } n_8)$  and  $\text{NE } n_1 \text{ iff } \text{NE AND3}(\text{NOT1 } n_{10}, \text{NOT1 } n_9, n_8)$  and  $\text{NE } n_2 \text{ iff } \text{NE AND3}(\text{NOT1 } n_{10}, n_9, \text{NOT1 } n_8)$  and  $\text{NE } n_3 \text{ iff } \text{NE AND3}(\text{NOT1 } n_{10}, n_9, n_8)$  and  $\text{NE } n_4 \text{ iff } \text{NE AND3}(n_{10}, \text{NOT1 } n_9, \text{NOT1 } n_8)$  and  $\text{NE } n_5 \text{ iff } \text{NE AND3}(n_{10}, \text{NOT1 } n_9, n_8)$  and  $\text{NE } n_6 \text{ iff } \text{NE AND3}(n_{10}, n_9, \text{NOT1 } n_8)$  and  $\text{NE } n_7 \text{ iff } \text{NE AND3}(n_{10}, n_9, n_8)$  and  $\text{NE } n_8 \text{ iff } \text{NE NOT1 } q_1$  and  $\text{NE } n_9 \text{ iff } \text{NE XOR2}(q_1, q_2)$  and  $\text{NE } n_{10} \text{ iff } \text{NE OR2}(\text{AND2}(q_3, \text{NOT1 } q_1), \text{AND2}(q_1, \text{XOR2}(q_2, q_3)))$ . Then

- (i) NE  $n_1$  iff NE  $s_0$ ,
  - (ii) NE  $n_2$  iff NE  $s_1$ ,
  - (iii) NE  $n_3$  iff NE  $s_2$ ,
  - (iv) NE  $n_4$  iff NE  $s_3$ ,
  - (v) NE  $n_5$  iff NE  $s_4$ ,
  - (vi) NE  $n_6$  iff NE  $s_5$ ,
  - (vii) NE  $n_7$  iff NE  $s_6$ , and
  - (viii) NE  $n_0$  iff NE  $s_7$ .
- (2) NE AND3(AND2( $a, d$ ), AND2( $b, d$ ), AND2( $c, d$ ))  
iff NE AND2(AND3( $a, b, c$ ),  $d$ ).
- (3)(i) Not NE AND2( $a, b$ ) iff NE OR2(NOT1  $a$ , NOT1  $b$ ),
  - (ii) NE OR2( $a, b$ ) and NE OR2( $c, b$ ) iff NE OR2(AND2( $a, c$ ),  $b$ ),
  - (iii) NE OR2( $a, b$ ) and NE OR2( $c, b$ ) and NE OR2( $d, b$ ) iff NE OR2(AND3( $a, c, d$ )),  $b$ ), and
  - (iv) if NE OR2( $a, b$ ) and NE  $a$  iff NE  $c$ , then NE OR2( $c, b$ ).
- (4) Let  $s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6, s_7, n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6, n_7, q_1, q_2, q_3, n_8, n_9, n_{10}, R$  be sets such that NE  $s_0$  iff NE AND3(NOT1  $q_3$ , NOT1  $q_2$ , NOT1  $q_1$ ) and NE  $s_1$  iff NE AND3(NOT1  $q_3$ , NOT1  $q_2$ ,  $q_1$ ) and NE  $s_2$  iff NE AND3(NOT1  $q_3$ ,  $q_2$ , NOT1  $q_1$ ) and NE  $s_3$  iff NE AND3(NOT1  $q_3$ ,  $q_2$ ,  $q_1$ ) and NE  $s_4$  iff NE AND3( $q_3$ , NOT1  $q_2$ , NOT1  $q_1$ ) and NE  $s_5$  iff NE AND3( $q_3$ , NOT1  $q_2$ ,  $q_1$ ) and NE  $s_6$  iff NE AND3( $q_3$ ,  $q_2$ , NOT1  $q_1$ ) and NE  $s_7$  iff NE AND3( $q_3$ ,  $q_2$ ,  $q_1$ ) and NE  $n_0$  iff NE AND3(NOT1  $n_{10}$ , NOT1  $n_9$ , NOT1  $n_8$ ) and NE  $n_1$  iff NE AND3(NOT1  $n_{10}$ , NOT1  $n_9$ ,  $n_8$ ) and NE  $n_2$  iff NE AND3(NOT1  $n_{10}$ ,  $n_9$ , NOT1  $n_8$ ) and NE  $n_3$  iff NE AND3(NOT1  $n_{10}$ ,  $n_9$ ,  $n_8$ ) and NE  $n_4$  iff NE AND3( $n_{10}$ , NOT1  $n_9$ , NOT1  $n_8$ ) and NE  $n_5$  iff NE AND3( $n_{10}$ , NOT1  $n_9$ ,  $n_8$ ) and NE  $n_6$  iff NE AND3( $n_{10}$ ,  $n_9$ , NOT1  $n_8$ ) and NE  $n_7$  iff NE AND3( $n_{10}$ ,  $n_9$ ,  $n_8$ ) and NE  $n_8$  iff NE AND2(NOT1  $q_1$ ,  $R$ ) and NE  $n_9$  iff NE AND2(XOR2( $q_1, q_2$ ),  $R$ ) and NE  $n_{10}$  iff NE AND2(OR2(AND2( $q_3$ , NOT1  $q_1$ ), AND2( $q_1, XOR2(q_2, q_3)$ )),  $R$ ). Then
- (i) NE  $n_1$  iff NE AND2( $s_0, R$ ),
  - (ii) NE  $n_2$  iff NE AND2( $s_1, R$ ),
  - (iii) NE  $n_3$  iff NE AND2( $s_2, R$ ),
  - (iv) NE  $n_4$  iff NE AND2( $s_3, R$ ),
  - (v) NE  $n_5$  iff NE AND2( $s_4, R$ ),
  - (vi) NE  $n_6$  iff NE AND2( $s_5, R$ ),
  - (vii) NE  $n_7$  iff NE AND2( $s_6, R$ ), and
  - (viii) NE  $n_0$  iff NE OR2( $s_7, NOT1 R$ ).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Yatsuka Nakamura. Logic gates and logical equivalence of adders. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):35–45, 1999.

*Received March 13, 1999*

---