# Boolean Posets, Posets under Inclusion and Products of Relational Structures<sup>1</sup>

Adam Grabowski Warsaw University Białystok Robert Milewski Warsaw University Białystok

**Summary.** In the paper some notions useful in formalization of [11] are introduced, e.g. the definition of the poset of subsets of a set with inclusion as an ordering relation. Using the theory of many sorted sets authors formulate the definition of product of relational structures.

MML Identifier: YELLOW\_1.

The terminology and notation used in this paper are introduced in the following articles: [19], [21], [9], [22], [24], [23], [16], [6], [7], [5], [10], [4], [13], [20], [25], [12], [2], [17], [15], [18], [3], [14], [1], and [8].

## 1. BOOLEAN POSETS AND POSETS UNDER INCLUSION

In this paper X will be a set.

Let L be a lattice. Observe that Poset(L) has l.u.b.'s and g.l.b.'s.

Let L be an upper-bounded lattice. Note that Poset(L) is upper-bounded.

Let L be a lower-bounded lattice. One can check that  $\operatorname{Poset}(L)$  is lower-bounded.

Let L be a complete lattice. One can verify that Poset(L) is complete. Let X be a set. Then  $\subseteq_X$  is an order in X.

Let X be a set. The functor  $\langle X, \subseteq \rangle$  yielding a strict relational structure is defined as follows:

(Def. 1)  $\langle X, \subseteq \rangle = \langle X, \subseteq_X \rangle.$ 

Let X be a set. Observe that  $\langle X, \subseteq \rangle$  is reflexive antisymmetric and transitive. Let X be a non empty set. Observe that  $\langle X, \subseteq \rangle$  is non empty. We now state the proposition

<sup>1</sup>This work was partially supported by Office of Naval Research Grant N00014-95-1-1336.

C 1997 Warsaw University - Białystok ISSN 1426-2630 (1) The carrier of  $\langle X, \subseteq \rangle = X$  and the internal relation of  $\langle X, \subseteq \rangle = \subseteq_X$ .

Let X be a set. The functor  $2_{\subseteq}^X$  yielding a strict relational structure is defined by:

(Def. 2)  $2_{\subset}^X = \text{Poset}(\text{the lattice of subsets of } X).$ 

Let X be a set. Note that  $2_{\subseteq}^X$  is non empty reflexive antisymmetric and transitive.

Let X be a set. Note that  $2 \subseteq^X$  is complete.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- $(2) \quad \text{ For all elements } x, \, y \text{ of } 2^X_\subseteq \text{ holds } x \leq y \text{ iff } x \subseteq y.$
- (3) For every non empty set X and for all elements x, y of  $\langle X, \subseteq \rangle$  holds  $x \leq y$  iff  $x \subseteq y$ .

(4) 
$$2_{\subseteq}^X = \langle 2^X, \subseteq \rangle.$$

- (5) For every subset Y of  $2^X$  holds  $\langle Y, \subseteq \rangle$  is a full relational substructure of  $2^X_{\subset}$ .
- (6) For every non empty set X such that  $\langle X, \subseteq \rangle$  has l.u.b.'s and for all elements x, y of  $\langle X, \subseteq \rangle$  holds  $x \cup y \subseteq x \sqcup y$ .
- (7) For every non empty set X such that  $\langle X, \subseteq \rangle$  has g.l.b.'s and for all elements x, y of  $\langle X, \subseteq \rangle$  holds  $x \sqcap y \subseteq x \cap y$ .
- (8) For every non empty set X and for all elements x, y of  $\langle X, \subseteq \rangle$  such that  $x \cup y \in X$  holds  $x \sqcup y = x \cup y$ .
- (9) For every non empty set X and for all elements x, y of  $\langle X, \subseteq \rangle$  such that  $x \cap y \in X$  holds  $x \cap y = x \cap y$ .
- (10) Let L be a relational structure. Suppose that for all elements x, y of L holds  $x \leq y$  iff  $x \subseteq y$ . Then the internal relation of  $L = \subseteq_{\text{the carrier of } L}$ .
- (11) For every non empty set X such that for all sets x, y such that  $x \in X$  and  $y \in X$  holds  $x \cup y \in X$  holds  $\langle X, \subseteq \rangle$  has l.u.b.'s.
- (12) For every non empty set X such that for all sets x, y such that  $x \in X$  and  $y \in X$  holds  $x \cap y \in X$  holds  $\langle X, \subseteq \rangle$  has g.l.b.'s.
- (13) For every non empty set X such that  $\emptyset \in X$  holds  $\perp_{\langle X, \subset \rangle} = \emptyset$ .
- (14) For every non empty set X such that  $\bigcup X \in X$  holds  $\top_{\langle X, \subset \rangle} = \bigcup X$ .
- (15) For every non empty set X such that  $\langle X, \subseteq \rangle$  is upper-bounded holds  $\bigcup X \in X$ .
- (16) For every non empty set X such that  $\langle X, \subseteq \rangle$  is lower-bounded holds  $\bigcap X \in X$ .
- (17) For all elements x, y of  $2_{\subset}^X$  holds  $x \sqcup y = x \cup y$  and  $x \sqcap y = x \cap y$ .
- (18)  $\perp_{2_{\subset}^{X}} = \emptyset.$
- (19)  $\top_{2_{\subset}^X} = X.$
- (20) For every non empty subset Y of  $2_{\subset}^X$  holds inf  $Y = \bigcap Y$ .
- (21) For every subset Y of  $2_{\subset}^X$  holds  $\sup Y = \bigcup Y$ .

- (22) For every non empty topological space T and for every subset X of (the topology of  $T, \subseteq$ ) holds sup  $X = \bigcup X$ .
- (23) For every non empty topological space T holds  $\perp_{\text{(the topology of } T, \subseteq)} = \emptyset$ .
- (24) For every non empty topological space T holds  $\top_{\langle \text{the topology of } T, \subseteq \rangle} =$ the carrier of T.

Let T be a non empty topological space. Observe that (the topology of T,  $\subseteq$ ) is complete and non trivial.

We now state the proposition

(25) Let T be a topological space and let F be a family of subsets of T. Then F is open if and only if F is a subset of  $\langle$  the topology of  $T, \subseteq \rangle$ .

### 2. PRODUCTS OF RELATIONAL STRUCTURES

Let R be a binary relation. We say that R is relational structure yielding if and only if:

(Def. 3) For every set v such that  $v \in \operatorname{rng} R$  holds v is a relational structure.

One can check that every function which is relational structure yielding is also 1-sorted yielding.

Let I be a set. One can verify that there exists a many sorted set indexed by I which is relational structure yielding.

Let J be a non empty set, let A be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by J, and let j be an element of J. Then A(j) is a relational structure.

Let I be a set and let J be a relational structure yielding many sorted set indexed by I. The functor  $\prod J$  yields a strict relational structure and is defined by the conditions (Def. 4).

(Def. 4) (i) The carrier of  $\prod J = \prod \text{support } J$ , and

(ii) for all elements x, y of the carrier of  $\prod J$  such that  $x \in \prod$  support J holds  $x \leq y$  iff there exist functions f, g such that f = x and g = y and for every set i such that  $i \in I$  there exists a relational structure R and there exist elements  $x_1, y_1$  of R such that R = J(i) and  $x_1 = f(i)$  and  $y_1 = g(i)$  and  $x_1 \leq y_1$ .

Let X be a set and let L be a relational structure. One can verify that  $X \longmapsto L$  is relational structure yielding.

Let I be a set and let T be a relational structure. The functor  $T^{I}$  yielding a strict relational structure is defined by:

(Def. 5)  $T^I = \prod (I \longmapsto T).$ 

Next we state three propositions:

- (26) For every relational structure yielding many sorted set J indexed by  $\emptyset$  holds  $\prod J = \langle \{\emptyset\}, \triangle_{\{\emptyset\}} \rangle$ .
- (27) For every relational structure Y holds  $Y^{\emptyset} = \langle \{\emptyset\}, \triangle_{\{\emptyset\}} \rangle$ .

(28) For every set X and for every relational structure Y holds (the carrier of  $Y)^X$  = the carrier of  $Y^X$ .

Let X be a set and let Y be a non empty relational structure. Note that  $Y^X$  is non empty.

Let X be a set and let Y be a reflexive non empty relational structure. Observe that  $Y^X$  is reflexive.

Let Y be a non empty relational structure. Observe that  $Y^{\emptyset}$  is trivial.

Let Y be a non empty reflexive relational structure. Note that  $Y^{\emptyset}$  is antisymmetric and has g.l.b.'s and l.u.b.'s.

Let X be a set and let Y be a transitive non empty relational structure. Note that  $Y^X$  is transitive.

Let X be a set and let Y be an antisymmetric non empty relational structure. Note that  $Y^X$  is antisymmetric.

Let X be a non empty set and let Y be a non empty antisymmetric relational structure with g.l.b.'s. Observe that  $Y^X$  has g.l.b.'s.

Let X be a non empty set and let Y be a non empty antisymmetric relational structure with l.u.b.'s. Observe that  $Y^X$  has l.u.b.'s.

Let S, T be relational structures. The functor MonMaps(S, T) yielding a strict full relational substructure of  $T^{\text{the carrier of } S}$  is defined by the condition (Def. 6).

(Def. 6) Let f be a map from S into T. Then  $f \in$  the carrier of MonMaps(S, T) if and only if  $f \in$  (the carrier of T)<sup>the carrier of S</sup> and f is monotone.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Professor Andrzej Trybulec for his help in formulating mizared definition of the product.

#### References

- Grzegorz Bancerek. Bounds in posets and relational substructures. Formalized Mathematics, 6(1):81–91, 1997.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Complete lattices. Formalized Mathematics, 2(5):719–725, 1991.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Zermelo theorem and axiom of choice. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):265–267, 1990.
- Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [6] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):433–439, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55-65, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [10] Agata Darmochwał. Families of subsets, subspaces and mappings in topological spaces. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):257–261, 1990.
- [11] G. Gierz, K.H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J.D. Lawson, M. Mislove, and D.S. Scott. A Compendium of Continuous Lattices. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1980.

- [12] Adam Grabowski. On the category of posets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(4):501–505, 1996.
- [13] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Relations of tolerance. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):105–109, 1991.
- [14] Beata Madras. Product of family of universal algebras. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):103–108, 1993.
- [15] Yatsuka Nakamura, Piotr Rudnicki, Andrzej Trybulec, and Pauline N. Kawamoto. Preliminaries to circuits, I. Formalized Mathematics, 5(2):167–172, 1996.
- [16] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- Beata Padlewska and Agata Darmochwał. Topological spaces and continuous functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):223–230, 1990.
- [18] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [19] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [20] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Partially ordered sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):313–319, 1990.
- [21] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Święczkowska. Boolean properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [22] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [23] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.
- [24] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):85–89, 1990.
- [25] Stanisław Żukowski. Introduction to lattice theory. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):215– 222, 1990.

Received September 20, 1996