The Steinitz Theorem and the Dimension of a Vector Space

Mariusz Żynel Warsaw University Białystok

Summary. The main purpose of the paper is to define the dimension of an abstract vector space. The dimension of a finite-dimensional vector space is, by the most common definition, the number of vectors in a basis. Obviously, each basis contains the same number of vectors. We prove the Steinitz Theorem together with Exchange Lemma in the second section. The Steinitz Theorem says that each linearly-independent subset of a vector space has cardinality less than any subset that generates the space, moreover it can be extended to a basis. Further we review some of the standard facts involving the dimension of a vector space. Additionally, in the last section, we introduce two notions: the family of subspaces of a fixed dimension and the pencil of subspaces. Both of them can be applied in the algebraic representation of several geometries.

MML Identifier: VECTSP_9.

The terminology and notation used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [13], [23], [12], [8], [2], [6], [24], [4], [5], [22], [1], [7], [3], [17], [19], [9], [21], [15], [10], [20], [16], [18], [14], and [11].

1. Preliminaries

For simplicity we follow the rules: G_1 is a field, V is a vector space over G_1 , W is a subspace of V, x is arbitrary, and n is a natural number.

Let S be a non empty 1-sorted structure. Observe that there exists a subset of S which is non empty.

One can prove the following proposition

(1) For every finite set X such that $n \leq \overline{X}$ there exists a finite subset A of X such that $\overline{\overline{A}} = n$.

C 1996 Warsaw University - Białystok ISSN 1426-2630 In the sequel f, g will be functions.

We now state a number of propositions:

- (2) For every f such that f is one-to-one holds if $x \in \operatorname{rng} f$, then $\overline{f^{-1}\{x\}} = 1$.
- (3) For every f such that $x \notin \operatorname{rng} f$ holds $\overline{f^{-1}\{x\}} = 0$.
- (4) For all f, g such that rng $f = \operatorname{rng} g$ and f is one-to-one and g is one-to-one holds f and g are fiberwise equipotent.
- (5) Let L be a linear combination of V, and let F, G be finite sequences of elements of the carrier of V, and let P be a permutation of dom F. If $G = F \cdot P$, then $\sum (LF) = \sum (LG)$.
- (6) Let L be a linear combination of V and let F be a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of V. If support L misses rng F, then $\sum (LF) = 0_V$.
- (7) Let F be a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of V. Suppose F is one-to-one. Let L be a linear combination of V. If support $L \subseteq \operatorname{rng} F$, then $\sum (LF) = \sum L$.
- (8) Let L be a linear combination of V and let F be a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of V. Then there exists a linear combination K of V such that support $K = \operatorname{rng} F \cap \operatorname{support} L$ and LF = KF.
- (9) Let *L* be a linear combination of *V*, and let *A* be a subset of *V*, and let *F* be a finite sequence of elements of the carrier of *V*. Suppose rng $F \subseteq$ the carrier of Lin(*A*). Then there exists a linear combination *K* of *A* such that $\sum (L F) = \sum K$.
- (10) Let L be a linear combination of V and let A be a subset of V. Suppose support $L \subseteq$ the carrier of Lin(A). Then there exists a linear combination K of A such that $\sum L = \sum K$.
- (11) Let L be a linear combination of V. Suppose support $L \subseteq$ the carrier of W. Let K be a linear combination of W. If $K = L \upharpoonright$ (the carrier of W), then support L = support K and $\sum L = \sum K$.
- (12) For every linear combination K of W there exists a linear combination L of V such that support K = support L and $\sum K = \sum L$.
- (13) Let L be a linear combination of V. Suppose support $L \subseteq$ the carrier of W. Then there exists a linear combination K of W such that support K = support L and $\sum K = \sum L$.
- (14) For every basis I of V and for every vector v of V holds $v \in \text{Lin}(I)$.
- (15) Let A be a subset of W. Suppose A is linearly independent. Then there exists a subset B of V such that B is linearly independent and B = A.
- (16) Let A be a subset of V. Suppose A is linearly independent and $A \subseteq$ the carrier of W. Then there exists a subset B of W such that B is linearly independent and B = A.
- (17) For every basis A of W there exists a basis B of V such that $A \subseteq B$.
- (18) Let A be a subset of V. Suppose A is linearly independent. Let v be a vector of V. If $v \in A$, then for every subset B of V such that $B = A \setminus \{v\}$

holds $v \notin \operatorname{Lin}(B)$.

- (19) Let I be a basis of V and let A be a non empty subset of V. Suppose A misses I. Let B be a subset of V. If $B = I \cup A$, then B is linearly-dependent.
- (20) For every subset A of V such that $A \subseteq$ the carrier of W holds Lin(A) is a subspace of W.
- (21) For every subset A of V and for every subset B of W such that A = B holds Lin(A) = Lin(B).

2. The Steinitz Theorem

The following two propositions are true:

- (22) Let A, B be finite subsets of V and let v be a vector of V. Suppose $v \in \text{Lin}(A \cup B)$ and $v \notin \text{Lin}(B)$. Then there exists a vector w of V such that $w \in A$ and $w \in \text{Lin}(((A \cup B) \setminus \{w\}) \cup \{v\})$.
- (23) Let A, B be finite subsets of V. Suppose the vector space structure of V = Lin(A) and B is linearly independent. Then $\overline{\overline{B}} \leq \overline{\overline{A}}$ and there exists a finite subset C of V such that $C \subseteq A$ and $\overline{\overline{C}} = \overline{\overline{A}} \overline{\overline{B}}$ and the vector space structure of $V = \text{Lin}(B \cup C)$.
 - 3. FINITE-DIMENSIONAL VECTOR SPACES

Let G_1 be a field and let V be a vector space over G_1 . Let us observe that V is finite dimensional if and only if:

(Def.1) There exists finite subset of V which is a basis of V.

Next we state several propositions:

- (24) If V is finite dimensional, then every basis of V is finite.
- (25) If V is finite dimensional, then for every subset A of V such that A is linearly independent holds A is finite.
- (26) If V is finite dimensional, then for all bases A, B of V holds $\overline{\overline{A}} = \overline{\overline{B}}$.
- (27) $\mathbf{0}_V$ is finite dimensional.
- (28) If V is finite dimensional, then W is finite dimensional.

Let G_1 be a field and let V be a vector space over G_1 . Observe that there exists a subspace of V which is strict and finite dimensional.

Let G_1 be a field and let V be a finite dimensional vector space over G_1 . Note that every subspace of V is finite dimensional.

Let G_1 be a field and let V be a finite dimensional vector space over G_1 . One can check that there exists a subspace of V which is strict.

4. The Dimension of a Vector Space

Let G_1 be a field and let V be a vector space over G_1 . Let us assume that V is finite dimensional. The functor $\dim(V)$ yields a natural number and is defined by:

(Def.2) For every basis I of V holds $\dim(V) = \overline{I}$.

We adopt the following rules: V denotes a finite dimensional vector space over G_1, W, W_1, W_2 denote subspaces of V, and u, v denote vectors of V.

The following propositions are true:

- (29) $\dim(W) \le \dim(V).$
- (30) For every subset A of V such that A is linearly independent holds $\overline{\overline{A}} = \dim(\operatorname{Lin}(A)).$
- (31) $\dim(V) = \dim(\Omega_V).$
- (32) $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$ iff $\Omega_V = \Omega_W$.
- (33) $\dim(V) = 0$ iff $\Omega_V = \mathbf{0}_V$.
- (34) $\dim(V) = 1$ iff there exists v such that $v \neq 0_V$ and $\Omega_V = \operatorname{Lin}(\{v\})$.
- (35) $\dim(V) = 2$ iff there exist u, v such that $u \neq v$ and $\{u, v\}$ is linearly independent and $\Omega_V = \operatorname{Lin}(\{u, v\})$.
- (36) $\dim(W_1 + W_2) + \dim(W_1 \cap W_2) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2).$
- (37) $\dim(W_1 \cap W_2) \ge (\dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2)) \dim(V).$
- (38) If V is the direct sum of W_1 and W_2 , then $\dim(V) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2)$.

5. The Fixed-Dimensional Subspace Family and the Pencil of Subspaces

One can prove the following proposition

(39) $n \leq \dim(V)$ iff there exists a strict subspace W of V such that $\dim(W) = n$.

Let G_1 be a field, let V be a finite dimensional vector space over G_1 , and let n be a natural number. The functor $\operatorname{Sub}_n(V)$ yields a set and is defined as follows:

(Def.3) $x \in \text{Sub}_n(V)$ iff there exists a strict subspace W of V such that W = xand $\dim(W) = n$.

We now state three propositions:

- (40) If $n \leq \dim(V)$, then $\operatorname{Sub}_n(V)$ is non empty.
- (41) If $\dim(V) < n$, then $\operatorname{Sub}_n(V) = \emptyset$.
- (42) $\operatorname{Sub}_n(W) \subseteq \operatorname{Sub}_n(V).$

Let G_1 be a field, let V be a finite dimensional vector space over G_1 , let W_2 be a subspace of V, and let W_1 be a strict subspace of W_2 . Let us assume that $\dim(W_2) = \dim(W_1) + 2$. The functor $\mathbf{p}(W_1, W_2)$ yields a non empty set and is defined by:

(Def.4) $x \in \mathbf{p}(W_1, W_2)$ iff there exists a strict subspace W of W_2 such that W = x and $\dim(W) = \dim(W_1) + 1$ and W_1 is a subspace of W.

We now state two propositions:

- (43) Let W_1 be a strict subspace of W_2 . Suppose $\dim(W_2) = \dim(W_1) + 2$. Then $x \in \mathbf{p}(W_1, W_2)$ if and only if there exists a strict subspace W of V such that W = x and $\dim(W) = \dim(W_1) + 1$ and W_1 is a subspace of W and W is a subspace of W_2 .
- (44) For every strict subspace W_1 of W_2 such that $\dim(W_2) = \dim(W_1) + 2$ holds $\mathbf{p}(W_1, W_2) \subseteq \operatorname{Sub}_{\dim(W_1)+1}(V)$.

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55-65, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [7] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [8] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- Jarosław Kotowicz. Functions and finite sequences of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 3(2):275-278, 1992.
- [10] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [11] Robert Milewski. Associated matrix of linear map. Formalized Mathematics, 5(3):339– 345, 1996.
- [12] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [13] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [14] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Basis of vector space. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):883–885, 1990.
- [15] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Linear combinations in real linear space. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):581–588, 1990.
- [16] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Linear combinations in vector space. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):877–882, 1990.
- [17] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Non-contiguous substrings and one-to-one finite sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):569–573, 1990.
- [18] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Operations on subspaces in vector space. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):871–876, 1990.
- [19] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Pigeon hole principle. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):575–579, 1990.
- [20] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Subspaces and cosets of subspaces in vector space. Formalized Mathematics, 1(5):865–870, 1990.

MARIUSZ ŻYNEL

- [21] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):291– 296, 1990.
- [22] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [23] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Święczkowska. Boolean properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [24] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.

Received October 6, 1995