# Minimal Signature for Partial Algebra

Grzegorz Bancerek Institute of Mathematics Polish Academy of Sciences

**Summary.** The concept of characterizing of partial algebras by many sorted signature is introduced, i.e. we say that a signature S characterizes a partial algebra A if there is an S-algebra whose sorts form a partition of the carrier of algebra A and operations are formed from operations of A by the partition. The main result is that for any partial algebra there is the minimal many sorted signature which characterizes the algebra. The minimality means that there are signature endomorphisms from any signature which characterizes the algebra A onto the minimal one.

MML Identifier: PUA2MSS1.

The papers [16], [18], [9], [1], [12], [19], [20], [6], [17], [3], [5], [7], [21], [13], [8], [11], [2], [4], [15], [14], and [10] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

#### 1. Preliminary

Let f be a non empty binary relation. Observe that dom f is non empty and rng f is non empty.

Let f be a non-empty function. One can verify that  $\operatorname{rng} f$  has non empty elements.

Let X, Y be non empty sets. One can verify that there exists a partial function from X to Y which is non empty.

Let X be a set with non empty elements. Note that every finite sequence of elements of X is non-empty.

Let A be a non empty set. One can verify that there exists a finite sequence of operational functions of A which is homogeneous quasi total non-empty and non empty.

> C 1996 Warsaw University - Białystok ISSN 1426-2630

Let us observe that every universal algebra structure which is non-empty is also non empty.

Let X be a non empty set with non empty elements. One can verify that every element of X is non empty.

Next we state two propositions:

- (1) For all non-empty functions f, g such that  $\prod f \subseteq \prod g$  holds dom f = dom g and for every set x such that  $x \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $f(x) \subseteq g(x)$ .
- (2) For all non-empty functions f, g such that  $\prod f = \prod g$  holds f = g.

Let A be a non empty set and let f be a finite sequence of operational functions of A. Then rng f is a subset of  $A^* \rightarrow A$ .

Let A, B be non empty sets and let S be a non empty subset of  $A \rightarrow B$ . We see that the element of S is a partial function from A to B.

Let A be a non-empty universal algebra structure. An operation symbol of A is an element of dom (the characteristic of A). An operation of A is an element of rng (the characteristic of A).

Let A be a non-empty universal algebra structure and let o be an operation symbol of A. The functor Den(o, A) yielding an operation of A is defined by: (Def.1) Den(o, A) = (the characteristic of A)(o).

# 2. PARTITIONS

Let X be a set. Note that every partition of X has non empty elements.

Let X be a non empty set. One can verify that every partition of X is non empty.

Let X be a set and let R be an equivalence relation of X. Then Classes R is a partition of X.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (3) Let X be a set, and let P be a partition of X, and let x, a, b be sets. If  $x \in a$  and  $a \in P$  and  $x \in b$  and  $b \in P$ , then a = b.
- (4) Let X, Y be sets. Suppose X is finer than Y. Let p be a finite sequence of elements of X. Then there exists a finite sequence q of elements of Y such that  $\prod p \subseteq \prod q$ .
- (5) Let X be a set, and let P, Q be partitions of X, and let f be a function from P into Q. Suppose that for every set a such that  $a \in P$  holds  $a \subseteq f(a)$ . Let p be a finite sequence of elements of P and let q be a finite sequence of elements of Q. Then  $\prod p \subseteq \prod q$  if and only if  $f \cdot p = q$ .
- (6) For every set P and for every function f such that  $\operatorname{rng} f \subseteq \bigcup P$  there exists a function p such that  $\operatorname{dom} p = \operatorname{dom} f$  and  $\operatorname{rng} p \subseteq P$  and  $f \in \prod p$ .
- (7) Let X be a set, and let P be a partition of X, and let f be a finite sequence of elements of X. Then there exists a finite sequence p of elements of P such that  $f \in \prod p$ .

- (8) Let X, Y be non empty sets, and let P be a partition of X, and let Q be a partition of Y. Then  $\{[p, q] : p \text{ ranges over elements of } P, q \text{ ranges over elements of } Q\}$  is a partition of [X, Y].
- (9) For every non empty set X and for every partition P of X holds  $\{\prod p : p \text{ ranges over elements of } P^*\}$  is a partition of  $X^*$ .
- (10) Let X be a non empty set, and let n be a natural number, and let P be a partition of X. Then  $\{\prod p : p \text{ ranges over elements of } P^n\}$  is a partition of  $X^n$ .
- (11) Let X be a non empty set and let Y be a set. Suppose  $Y \subseteq X$ . Let P be a partition of X. Then  $\{a \cap Y : a \text{ ranges over elements of } P, a \text{ meets } Y\}$  is a partition of Y.
- (12) Let f be a non empty function and let P be a partition of dom f. Then  $\{f \upharpoonright a : a \text{ ranges over elements of } P\}$  is a partition of f.

Let X be a set. The functor SmallestPartition(X) yielding a partition of X is defined as follows:

(Def.2) SmallestPartition(X) = Classes( $\triangle_X$ ).

One can prove the following propositions:

- (13) For every non empty set X holds SmallestPartition $(X) = \{\{x\} : x \text{ ranges over elements of } X\}.$
- (14) Let X be a set and let p be a finite sequence of elements of SmallestPartition(X). Then there exists a finite sequence q of elements of X such that  $\prod p = \{q\}$ .

Let X be a set. A function is said to be an indexed partition of X if:

(Def.3) rng it is a partition of X and it is one-to-one.

Let X be a set. Note that every indexed partition of X is one-to-one and non-empty. Let P be an indexed partition of X. Then  $\operatorname{rng} P$  is a partition of X.

Let X be a non empty set. Observe that every indexed partition of X is non empty.

Let X be a set and let P be a partition of X. Then  $\triangle_P$  is an indexed partition of X.

Let X be a set, let P be an indexed partition of X, and let x be a set. Let us assume that  $x \in X$ . The P-index of x is a set and is defined by:

(Def.4) The *P*-index of  $x \in \text{dom } P$  and  $x \in P(\text{the } P\text{-index of } x)$ .

Next we state two propositions:

- (15) Let X be a set and let P be a non-empty function. Suppose  $\bigcup P = X$  and for all sets x, y such that  $x \in \text{dom } P$  and  $y \in \text{dom } P$  and  $x \neq y$  holds P(x) misses P(y). Then P is an indexed partition of X.
- (16) Let X, Y be non empty sets, and let P be a partition of Y, and let f be a function from X into P. If  $P \subseteq \operatorname{rng} f$  and f is one-to-one, then f is an indexed partition of Y.

In this article we present several logical schemes. The scheme RelationEx concerns non empty sets  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  and a binary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states that:

There exists a relation R between  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  such that for every element x of  $\mathcal{A}$  and for every element y of  $\mathcal{B}$  holds  $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$  if and only if  $\mathcal{P}[x, y]$ 

for all values of the parameters.

The scheme *IndRelationEx* concerns non empty sets  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ , a natural number  $\mathcal{C}$ , a relation  $\mathcal{D}$  between  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , and a binary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding a relation between  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , and states that:

There exists a relation R between  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  and there exists a many sorted set F indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$  such that

(i)  $R = F(\mathcal{C}),$ 

(ii)  $F(0) = \mathcal{D}$ , and

(iii) for every natural number *i* and for every relation *R* between  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  such that R = F(i) holds  $F(i+1) = \mathcal{F}(R, i)$ 

for all values of the parameters.

The scheme *RelationUniq* concerns non empty sets  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  and a binary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and states that:

Let  $R_1$ ,  $R_2$  be relations between  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . Suppose that

(i) for every element x of  $\mathcal{A}$  and for every element y of  $\mathcal{B}$  holds

 $\langle x, y \rangle \in R_1$  iff  $\mathcal{P}[x, y]$ , and

(ii) for every element x of  $\mathcal{A}$  and for every element y of  $\mathcal{B}$  holds

 $\langle x, y \rangle \in R_2$  iff  $\mathcal{P}[x, y]$ .

Then  $R_1 = R_2$ 

for all values of the parameters.

The scheme *IndRelationUniq* concerns non empty sets  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ , a natural number  $\mathcal{C}$ , a relation  $\mathcal{D}$  between  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , and a binary functor  $\mathcal{F}$  yielding a relation between  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , and states that:

Let  $R_1, R_2$  be relations between  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . Suppose that

(i) there exists a many sorted set F indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $R_1 = F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $F(0) = \mathcal{D}$  and for every natural number i and for every relation R between  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  such that R = F(i) holds  $F(i+1) = \mathcal{F}(R, i)$ , and

(ii) there exists a many sorted set F indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $R_2 = F(\mathcal{C})$  and  $F(0) = \mathcal{D}$  and for every natural number i and for every relation R between  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  such that R = F(i) holds  $F(i+1) = \mathcal{F}(R, i)$ .

Then  $R_1 = R_2$ 

for all values of the parameters.

Let A be a partial non-empty universal algebra structure. The functor DomRel(A) yields a binary relation on the carrier of A and is defined by the condition (Def.5).

(Def.5) Let x, y be elements of the carrier of A. Then  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{DomRel}(A)$ if and only if for every operation f of A and for all finite sequences p, qholds  $p \cap \langle x \rangle \cap q \in \text{dom } f$  iff  $p \cap \langle y \rangle \cap q \in \text{dom } f$ .

Let A be a partial non-empty universal algebra structure. Note that DomRel(A) is equivalence relation-like.

Let A be a non-empty partial universal algebra structure and let R be a binary relation on the carrier of A. The functor  $R^A$  yielding a binary relation on the carrier of A is defined by the condition (Def.6).

- (Def.6) Let x, y be elements of the carrier of A. Then  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \mathbb{R}^A$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
  - (i)  $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ , and
  - (ii) for every operation f of A and for all finite sequences p, q such that  $p^{\langle x \rangle}q \in \text{dom } f$  and  $p^{\langle y \rangle}q \in \text{dom } f$  holds  $\langle f(p^{\langle x \rangle}q), f(p^{\langle y \rangle}q) \rangle \in R$ .

Let A be a non-empty partial universal algebra structure, let R be a binary relation on the carrier of A, and let i be a natural number. The functor  $R^{A,i}$  yielding a binary relation on the carrier of A is defined by the condition (Def.7).

- (Def.7) There exists a many sorted set F indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$  such that
  - (i)  $R^{A,i} = F(i),$
  - (ii) F(0) = R, and

(iii) for every natural number i and for every binary relation R on the carrier of A such that R = F(i) holds  $F(i+1) = R^A$ .

Next we state several propositions:

- (17) Let A be a non-empty partial universal algebra structure and let R be a binary relation on the carrier of A. Then  $R^{A,0} = R$  and  $R^{A,1} = R^A$ .
- (18) Let A be a non-empty partial universal algebra structure, and let i be a natural number, and let R be a binary relation on the carrier of A. Then  $R^{A,i+1} = (R^{A,i})^A$ .
- (19) Let A be a non-empty partial universal algebra structure, and let i, j be natural numbers, and let R be a binary relation on the carrier of A. Then  $R^{A,i+j} = (R^{A,i})^{A,j}$ .
- (20) Let A be a non-empty partial universal algebra structure and let R be an equivalence relation of the carrier of A. If  $R \subseteq \text{DomRel}(A)$ , then  $R^A$ is equivalence relation-like.
- (21) Let A be a non-empty partial universal algebra structure and let R be a binary relation on the carrier of A. Then  $R^A \subseteq R$ .
- (22) Let A be a non-empty partial universal algebra structure and let R be an equivalence relation of the carrier of A. Suppose  $R \subseteq \text{DomRel}(A)$ . Let *i* be a natural number. Then  $R^{A,i}$  is equivalence relation-like.

Let A be a non-empty partial universal algebra structure. The functor LimDomRel(A) yields a binary relation on the carrier of A and is defined by the condition (Def.8).

- (Def.8) Let x, y be elements of the carrier of A. Then  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{LimDomRel}(A)$ if and only if for every natural number i holds  $\langle x, y \rangle \in (\text{DomRel}(A))^{A,i}$ . The following proposition is true
  - (23) For every non-empty partial universal algebra structure A holds  $\operatorname{LimDomRel}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{DomRel}(A)$ .

Let A be a non-empty partial universal algebra structure. Note that LimDomRel(A) is equivalence relation-like.

## 4. Partitability

Let X be a non empty set, let f be a partial function from  $X^*$  to X, and let P be a partition of X. We say that f is partitable w.r.t. P if and only if:

(Def.9) For every finite sequence p of elements of P there exists an element a of P such that  $f^{\circ} \prod p \subseteq a$ .

Let X be a non empty set, let f be a partial function from  $X^*$  to X, and let P be a partition of X. We say that f is exactly partitable w.r.t. P if and only if:

(Def.10) f is partitable w.r.t. P and for every finite sequence p of elements of P such that  $\prod p$  meets dom f holds  $\prod p \subseteq \text{dom } f$ .

We now state the proposition

(24) Let A be a non-empty partial universal algebra structure. Then every operation of A is exactly partitable w.r.t. SmallestPartition(the carrier of A).

The scheme *FiniteTransitivity* concerns finite sequences  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ , a unary predicate  $\mathcal{P}$ , and a binary predicate  $\mathcal{Q}$ , and states that:

provided the following conditions are met:

•  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{A}],$ 

 $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{B}]$ 

- $\operatorname{len} \mathcal{A} = \operatorname{len} \mathcal{B},$
- For all finite sequences p, q and for all sets  $z_1, z_2$  such that  $\mathcal{P}[p \land \langle z_1 \rangle \land q]$  and  $\mathcal{Q}[z_1, z_2]$  holds  $\mathcal{P}[p \land \langle z_2 \rangle \land q]$ ,
- For every natural number i such that  $i \in \text{dom } \mathcal{A} \text{ holds } \mathcal{Q}[\mathcal{A}(i), \mathcal{B}(i)].$

One can prove the following proposition

(25) For every non-empty partial universal algebra structure A holds every operation of A is exactly partitable w.r.t. Classes LimDomRel(A).

Let A be a partial non-empty universal algebra structure. A partition of the carrier of A is said to be a partition of A if:

(Def.11) Every operation of A is exactly partitable w.r.t. it.

Let A be a partial non-empty universal algebra structure. An indexed partition of the carrier of A is called an indexed partition of A if:

(Def.12) rng it is a partition of A.

Let A be a partial non-empty universal algebra structure and let P be an indexed partition of A. Then  $\operatorname{rng} P$  is a partition of A.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (26) For every non-empty partial universal algebra structure A holds Classes LimDomRel(A) is a partition of A.
- (27) Let X be a non empty set, and let P be a partition of X, and let p be a finite sequence of elements of P, and let  $q_1, q_2$  be finite sequences, and let x, y be sets. Suppose  $q_1 \land \langle x \rangle \land q_2 \in \prod p$  and there exists an element a of P such that  $x \in a$  and  $y \in a$ . Then  $q_1 \land \langle y \rangle \land q_2 \in \prod p$ .
- (28) For every partial non-empty universal algebra structure A holds every partition of A is finer than Classes LimDomRel(A).

## 5. Signature Morphisms

Let  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  be many sorted signatures and let f, g be functions. We say that f and g form morphism between  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  if and only if the conditions (Def.13) are satisfied.

- (Def.13) (i) dom f = the carrier of  $S_1$ ,
  - (ii) dom g = the operation symbols of  $S_1$ ,
  - (iii)  $\operatorname{rng} f \subseteq \operatorname{the carrier of} S_2,$
  - (iv)  $\operatorname{rng} g \subseteq \operatorname{the operation symbols of } S_2,$
  - (v)  $f \cdot (\text{the result sort of } S_1) = (\text{the result sort of } S_2) \cdot (g), \text{ and}$
  - (vi) for every set o and for every function p such that  $o \in$  the operation symbols of  $S_1$  and p = (the arity of  $S_1$ )(o) holds  $f \cdot p =$  (the arity of  $S_2$ )(g(o)).

Next we state two propositions:

- (29) Let S be a non void non empty many sorted signature. Then  $id_{(\text{the carrier of }S)}$  and  $id_{(\text{the operation symbols of }S)}$  form morphism between S and S.
- (30) Let  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  be many sorted signatures and let  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$  be functions. Suppose  $f_1$  and  $g_1$  form morphism between  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  and  $f_2$  and  $g_2$  form morphism between  $S_2$  and  $S_3$ . Then  $f_2 \cdot f_1$  and  $g_2 \cdot g_1$  form morphism between  $S_1$  and  $S_3$ .

Let  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  be many sorted signatures. We say that  $S_1$  is rougher than  $S_2$  if and only if the condition (Def.14) is satisfied.

(Def.14) There exist functions f, g such that f and g form morphism between  $S_2$ and  $S_1$  and rng f = the carrier of  $S_1$  and rng g = the operation symbols of  $S_1$ .

Let  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  be non void non empty many sorted signatures. Let us observe that the predicate defined above is reflexive.

One can prove the following proposition

- (31) For all many sorted signatures  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$  such that  $S_1$  is rougher than  $S_2$  and  $S_2$  is rougher than  $S_3$  holds  $S_1$  is rougher than  $S_3$ .
  - 6. MANY SORTED SIGNATURE OF PARTIAL ALGEBRA

Let A be a partial non-empty universal algebra structure and let P be a partition of A. The functor MSSign(A, P) yields a strict many sorted signature and is defined by the conditions (Def.15).

- (Def.15) (i) The carrier of MSSign(A, P) = P,
  - (ii) the operation symbols of  $MSSign(A, P) = \{ \langle o, p \rangle : o \text{ ranges over operation symbols of } A, p \text{ ranges over elements of } P^*, \prod p \text{ meets dom } Den(o, A) \}, and$
  - (iii) for every operation symbol o of A and for every element p of  $P^*$  such that  $\prod p$  meets dom Den(o, A) holds (the arity of MSSign(A, P))( $\langle o, p \rangle$ ) = p and (Den(o, A))°  $\prod p \subseteq$  (the result sort of MSSign(A, P))( $\langle o, p \rangle$ ).

Let A be a partial non-empty universal algebra structure and let P be a partition of A. One can verify that MSSign(A, P) is non empty and non void.

Let A be a partial non-empty universal algebra structure, let P be a partition of A, and let o be an operation symbol of MSSign(A, P). Then  $o_1$  is an operation symbol of A. Then  $o_2$  is an element of  $P^*$ .

Let A be a partial non-empty universal algebra structure, let S be a non void non empty many sorted signature, let G be an algebra over S, and let P be an indexed partition of the operation symbols of S. We say that A can be characterized by S, G, and P if and only if the conditions (Def.16) are satisfied.

(Def.16) (i) The sorts of G is an indexed partition of A,

- (ii)  $\operatorname{dom} P = \operatorname{dom} (\operatorname{the characteristic of} A), \text{ and }$
- (iii) for every operation symbol o of A holds (the characteristics of  $G) \upharpoonright P(o)$  is an indexed partition of Den(o, A).

Let A be a partial non-empty universal algebra structure and let S be a non void non empty many sorted signature. We say that A can be characterized by S if and only if the condition (Def.17) is satisfied.

(Def.17) There exists an algebra G over S and there exists an indexed partition P of the operation symbols of S such that A can be characterized by S, G, and P.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (32) Let A be a partial non-empty universal algebra structure and let P be a partition of A. Then A can be characterized by MSSign(A, P).
- (33) Let A be a partial non-empty universal algebra structure, and let S be a non void non empty many sorted signature, and let G be an algebra over S, and let Q be an indexed partition of the operation symbols of S. Suppose A can be characterized by S, G, and Q. Let o be an operation symbol of A and let r be a finite sequence of elements of rng (the sorts of

*G*). Suppose  $\prod r \subseteq \text{dom} \text{Den}(o, A)$ . Then there exists an operation symbol s of S such that (the sorts of G)  $\cdot \text{Arity}(s) = r$  and  $s \in Q(o)$ .

(34) Let A be a partial non-empty universal algebra structure and let P be a partition of A. Suppose P = Classes LimDomRel(A). Let S be a non void non empty many sorted signature. If A can be characterized by S, then MSSign(A, P) is rougher than S.

#### Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Professor Andrzej Trybulec for suggesting me the problem solved here.

### References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):589–593, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. On defining functions on trees. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):91–101, 1993.
- Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):529–536, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Partial functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):357–367, 1990.
- [9] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [10] Jarosław Kotowicz, Beata Madras, and Małgorzata Korolkiewicz. Basic notation of universal algebra. Formalized Mathematics, 3(2):251–253, 1992.
- Yatsuka Nakamura and Andrzej Trybulec. A mathematical model of CPU. Formalized Mathematics, 3(2):151–160, 1992.
- [12] Beata Padlewska. Families of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):147–152, 1990.
- [13] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):441–444, 1990.
- [14] Andrzej Trybulec. Many sorted algebras. Formalized Mathematics, 5(1):37–42, 1996.
- [15] Andrzej Trybulec. Many-sorted sets. Formalized Mathematics, 4(1):15–22, 1993.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [18] Zinaida Trybulec and Halina Święczkowska. Boolean properties of sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):17–23, 1990.
- [19] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [20] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

[21] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):85–89, 1990.

Received October 1, 1995