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#### Abstract

Summary. In article we define Go-board determined by finite sequence of points from topological space $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}$. A few facts about this notation are proved.


MML Identifier: GOBOARD2.

The papers [17], [10], [2], [6], [3], [8], [15], [16], [1], [18], [13], [5], [12], [11], [4], [7], [9], and [14] provide the notation and terminology for this paper.

## 1. Real Numbers Preliminaries

For simplicity we follow the rules: $p, q$ denote points of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}, f, f_{1}, f_{2}, g$ denote finite sequences of elements of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}, R$ denotes a subset of $\mathbb{R}, r, s$ denote real numbers, $v, v_{1}, v_{2}$ denote finite sequences of elements of $\mathbb{R}, n, m, i, j, k$ denote natural numbers, and $G$ denotes a Go-board. We now state the proposition
(1) If $R$ is finite and $R \neq \emptyset$, then $R$ is upper bounded and $\sup R \in R$ and $R$ is lower bounded and $\inf R \in R$.

## 2. Properties of Finite Sequences of Points from $\mathcal{E}_{\text {T }}^{2}$

One can prove the following propositions:
(2) For every finite sequence $f$ holds $f$ is one-to-one if and only if for all $n$, $m$ such that $n \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $m \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $n \neq m$ holds $f(n) \neq f(m)$.
(3) For every $n$ holds $1 \leq n$ and $n \leq \operatorname{len} f-1$ if and only if $n \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $n+1 \in \operatorname{dom} f$.

[^0](4) For every $n$ holds $1 \leq n$ and $n \leq \operatorname{len} f-2$ if and only if $n \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $n+1 \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $n+2 \in \operatorname{dom} f$.
(5) The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) for all $n, m$ such that $n-m>1$ or $m-n>1$ holds $\mathcal{L}(f, n, n+1) \cap$ $\mathcal{L}(f, m, m+1)=\emptyset$,
(ii) for all $n, m$ such that $n-m>1$ or $m-n>1$ but $n \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $n+1 \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $m \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $m+1 \in \operatorname{dom} f$ holds $\mathcal{L}(f, n, n+1) \cap$ $\mathcal{L}(f, m, m+1)=\emptyset$.
(6) Suppose that
(i) for every $n$ such that $1 \leq n$ and $n \leq \operatorname{len} f-2$ holds $\mathcal{L}(f, n, n+1) \cap$ $\mathcal{L}(f, n+1, n+2)=\{f(n+1)\}$,
(ii) for all $n, m$ such that $n-m>1$ or $m-n>1$ holds $\mathcal{L}(f, n, n+1) \cap$ $\mathcal{L}(f, m, m+1)=\emptyset$,
(iii) $f$ is one-to-one,
(iv) $f(\operatorname{len} f) \in \mathcal{L}(f, i, i+1)$,
(v) $\quad i \in \operatorname{dom} f$,
(vi) $i+1 \in \operatorname{dom} f$.

Then $i+1=\operatorname{len} f$.
(7) If $k \neq 0$ and len $f=k+1$, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)=\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(f \upharpoonright k) \cup \mathcal{L}(f, k, k+1)$.
(8) Suppose that
(i) $1<k$,
(ii) $\quad \operatorname{len} f=k+1$,
(iii) for every $n$ such that $1 \leq n$ and $n \leq \operatorname{len} f-2$ holds $\mathcal{L}(f, n, n+1) \cap$ $\mathcal{L}(f, n+1, n+2)=\{f(n+1)\}$,
(iv) for all $n, m$ such that $n-m>1$ or $m-n>1$ holds $\mathcal{L}(f, n, n+1) \cap$ $\mathcal{L}(f, m, m+1)=\emptyset$.
Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(f \upharpoonright k) \cap \mathcal{L}(f, k, k+1)=\{f(k)\}$.
(9) If len $f_{1}<n$ and $n \leq \operatorname{len}\left(f_{1} \wedge f_{2}\right)-1$ and $m=n-\operatorname{len} f_{1}$, then $\mathcal{L}\left(f_{1} \wedge\right.$ $\left.f_{2}, n, n+1\right)=\mathcal{L}\left(f_{2}, m, m+1\right)$.
(10) $\quad \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(f) \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(f \wedge g)$.
(11) Suppose for all $n, m$ such that $n-m>1$ or $m-n>1$ holds $\mathcal{L}(f, n, n+$ 1) $\cap \mathcal{L}(f, m, m+1)=\emptyset$. Then for all $n, m$ such that $n-m>1$ or $m-n>1$ holds $\mathcal{L}(f \upharpoonright i, n, n+1) \cap \mathcal{L}(f \upharpoonright i, m, m+1)=\emptyset$.
(12) Suppose that
(i) for all $n, p, q$ such that $1 \leq n$ and $n \leq \operatorname{len} f_{1}-1$ and $f_{1}(n)=p$ and $f_{1}(n+1)=q$ holds $p_{1}=q_{1}$ or $p_{2}=q_{\mathbf{2}}$,
(ii) for all $n, p, q$ such that $1 \leq n$ and $n \leq \operatorname{len} f_{2}-1$ and $f_{2}(n)=p$ and $f_{2}(n+1)=q$ holds $p_{\mathbf{1}}=q_{1}$ or $p_{\mathbf{2}}=q_{\mathbf{2}}$,
(iii) for all $p, q$ such that $f_{1}\left(\operatorname{len} f_{1}\right)=p$ and $f_{2}(1)=q$ holds $p_{\mathbf{1}}=q_{\mathbf{1}}$ or $p_{2}=q_{2}$.
Then for all $n, p, q$ such that $1 \leq n$ and $n \leq \operatorname{len}\left(f_{1} \wedge f_{2}\right)-1$ and $\left(f_{1} \wedge f_{2}\right)(n)=p$ and $\left(f_{1} \wedge f_{2}\right)(n+1)=q$ holds $p_{\mathbf{1}}=q_{\mathbf{1}}$ or $p_{\mathbf{2}}=q_{\mathbf{2}}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { If } f \neq \varepsilon \text {, then } \mathbf{X} \text {-coordinate }(f) \neq \varepsilon \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \neq \varepsilon$, then $\mathbf{Y}$-coordinate $(f) \neq \varepsilon$.
(15) Suppose for all $n, p, q$ such that $n \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $n+1 \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $f(n)=p$ and $f(n+1)=q$ holds $p_{\mathbf{1}}=q_{1}$ or $p_{\mathbf{2}}=q_{\mathbf{2}}$. Given $n$. Suppose $n \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $n+1 \in \operatorname{dom} f$. Then for all $i, j, m, k$ such that $\langle i$, $j\rangle \in$ the indices of $G$ and $\langle m, k\rangle \in$ the indices of $G$ and $f(n)=G_{i, j}$ and $f(n+1)=G_{m, k}$ holds $i=m$ or $k=j$.
(16) Suppose that
(i) for every $n$ such that $n \in \operatorname{dom} f$ there exist $i, j$ such that $\langle i, j\rangle \in$ the indices of $G$ and $f(n)=G_{i, j}$,
(ii) for all $n, p, q$ such that $n \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $n+1 \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $f(n)=p$ and $f(n+1)=q$ holds $p_{\mathbf{1}}=q_{1}$ or $p_{\mathbf{2}}=q_{\mathbf{2}}$,
(iii) for every $n$ such that $n \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $n+1 \in \operatorname{dom} f$ holds $f(n) \neq$ $f(n+1)$.
Then there exists $g$ such that $g$ is a sequence which elements belong to $G$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(f)=\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(g)$ and $g(1)=f(1)$ and $g(\operatorname{len} g)=f($ len $f)$ and len $f \leq \operatorname{len} g$.
(17) If $v$ is increasing, then for all $n, m$ such that $n \in \operatorname{dom} v$ and $m \in \operatorname{dom} v$ and $n \leq m$ and for all $r, s$ such that $r=v(n)$ and $s=v(m)$ holds $r \leq s$.
(18) If $v$ is increasing, then for all $n, m$ such that $n \in \operatorname{dom} v$ and $m \in \operatorname{dom} v$ and $n \neq m$ holds $v(n) \neq v(m)$.
(19) If $v$ is increasing and $v_{1}=v \upharpoonright \operatorname{Seg} n$, then $v_{1}$ is increasing.
(20) For every $v$ there exists $v_{1}$ such that $\operatorname{rng} v_{1}=\operatorname{rng} v$ and len $v_{1}=$ card $\operatorname{rng} v$ and $v_{1}$ is increasing.
(21) For all $v_{1}, v_{2}$ such that len $v_{1}=\operatorname{len} v_{2}$ and $\operatorname{rng} v_{1}=\operatorname{rng} v_{2}$ and $v_{1}$ is increasing and $v_{2}$ is increasing holds $v_{1}=v_{2}$.

## 3. Go-Board Determined by Finite Sequence

We now define three new functors. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}$ be increasing finite sequences of elements of $\mathbb{R}$. Let us assume that $v_{1} \neq \varepsilon$ and $v_{2} \neq \varepsilon$. The Go-board of $v_{1}, v_{2}$ yields a Go-board and is defined by:
(Def.1) len the Go-board of $v_{1}, v_{2}=\operatorname{len} v_{1}$ and width the Go-board of $v_{1}, v_{2}=$ len $v_{2}$ and for all $n, m$ such that $\langle n, m\rangle \in$ the indices of the Go-board of $v_{1}, v_{2}$ and for all $r, s$ such that $v_{1}(n)=r$ and $v_{2}(m)=s$ holds (the Go-board of $\left.v_{1}, v_{2}\right)_{n, m}=[r, s]$.
Let us consider $v$. The functor $\operatorname{Inc}(v)$ yielding an increasing finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{R}$ is defined by:
(Def.2) $\quad \operatorname{rng} \operatorname{Inc}(v)=\operatorname{rng} v$ and $\operatorname{len} \operatorname{Inc}(v)=\operatorname{card} \operatorname{rng} v$.
Let us consider $f$. Let us assume that $f \neq \varepsilon$. The Go-board of $f$ yielding a Go-board is defined by:
(Def.3) the Go-board of $f=$ the Go-board of $\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbf{X}$-coordinate $(f))$, $\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbf{Y}$-coordinate $(f))$.

One can prove the following propositions:
(22) If $v \neq \varepsilon$, then $\operatorname{Inc}(v) \neq \varepsilon$.

If $f \neq \varepsilon$, then len the Go-board of $f=$ card rng $\mathbf{X}$-coordinate $(f)$ and width the Go-board of $f=\operatorname{card} \operatorname{rng} \mathbf{Y}$-coordinate $(f)$.
(24) If $f \neq \varepsilon$, then for every $n$ such that $n \in \operatorname{dom} f$ there exist $i, j$ such that $\langle i, j\rangle \in$ the indices of the Go-board of $f$ and $f(n)=$ (the Go-board of $f)_{i, j}$.
(25) If $f \neq \varepsilon$ and $n \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $r=(\mathbf{X}$-coordinate $(f))(n)$ and for every $m$ such that $m \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and for every $s$ such that $s=(\mathbf{X}$-coordinate $(f))(m)$ holds $r \leq s$, then $f(n) \in \operatorname{rng}$ Line(the Go-board of $f, 1)$.
(26) If $f \neq \varepsilon$ and $n \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $r=(\mathbf{X}$-coordinate $(f))(n)$ and for every $m$ such that $m \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and for every $s$ such that $s=(\mathbf{X}$-coordinate $(f))(m)$ holds $s \leq r$, then $f(n) \in \operatorname{rng}$ Line(the Go-board of $f$, len the Go-board of f).
(27) If $f \neq \varepsilon$ and $n \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $r=(\mathbf{Y}$-coordinate $(f))(n)$ and for every $m$ such that $m \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and for every $s$ such that $s=(\mathbf{Y}$-coordinate $(f))(m)$ holds $r \leq s$, then $f(n) \in \operatorname{rng}\left((\text { the Go-board of } f)_{\square, 1}\right)$.
(28) If $f \neq \varepsilon$ and $n \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and $r=(\mathbf{Y}$-coordinate $(f))(n)$ and for every $m$ such that $m \in \operatorname{dom} f$ and for every $s$ such that $s=(\mathbf{Y}$-coordinate $(f))(m)$ holds $s \leq r$, then $f(n) \in \operatorname{rng}\left((\text { the Go-board of } f)_{\square, \text { width the Go-board of } f}\right)$.
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