König's Lemma¹

Grzegorz Bancerek Warsaw University Białystok

Summary. A continuation of [5]. The notions of finite-order trees, successors of an element of a tree, and chains, levels and branches of a tree are introduced. Those notions are used to formalize König's Lemma which claims that there is a infinite branch of a finite-order tree if the tree has arbitrary long finite chains. Besides, the concept of decorated trees is introduced and some concepts dealing with trees are applied to decorated trees.

MML Identifier: TREES_2.

The articles [12], [7], [10], [4], [6], [9], [2], [1], [3], [8], [11], [13], and [5] provide the notation and terminology for this paper. For simplicity we adopt the following rules: x, y are arbitrary, W, W_1, W_2 denote trees, w denotes an element of W, X denotes a set, f, f_1, f_2 denote functions, D, D' denote non-empty sets, k, k_1, k_2, m, n denote natural numbers, v, v_1, v_2 denote finite sequences, and p, q, r denote finite sequences of elements of \mathbb{N} . The following propositions are true:

- (1) For all v_1 , v_2 , v such that $v_1 \leq v$ and $v_2 \leq v$ holds v_1 and v_2 are comparable.
- (2) For all v_1 , v_2 , v such that $v_1 \prec v$ and $v_2 \preceq v$ holds v_1 and v_2 are comparable and v_2 and v_1 are comparable.
- (4)² If len $v_1 = k + 1$, then there exist v_2 , x such that $v_1 = v_2 \land \langle x \rangle$ and len $v_2 = k$.
- (5) $(v_1 \cap v_2) \upharpoonright \operatorname{Seg} \operatorname{len} v_1 = v_1.$
- (6) $\operatorname{Seg}_{\prec}(v \cap \langle x \rangle) = \operatorname{Seg}_{\prec}(v) \cup \{v\}.$

The scheme *TreeStruct_Ind* concerns a tree \mathcal{A} , and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

for every element t of \mathcal{A} holds $\mathcal{P}[t]$

397

C 1991 Fondation Philippe le Hodey ISSN 0777-4028

¹Partially supported by RPBP.III-24.C1

²The proposition (3) was either repeated or obvious.

provided the following requirements are met:

- $\mathcal{P}[\varepsilon],$
- for every element t of \mathcal{A} and for every n such that $\mathcal{P}[t]$ and $t^{\uparrow}\langle n \rangle \in \mathcal{A}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[t^{\uparrow}\langle n \rangle]$.

We now state the proposition

(7) If for every p holds $p \in W_1$ if and only if $p \in W_2$, then $W_1 = W_2$.

Let us consider W_1 , W_2 . Let us note that one can characterize the predicate $W_1 = W_2$ by the following (equivalent) condition:

(Def.1) for every p holds $p \in W_1$ if and only if $p \in W_2$.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (8) If $p \in W$, then $W = W(p/(W \upharpoonright p))$.
- (9) If $p \in W$ and $q \in W$ and $p \not\leq q$, then $q \in W(p/W_1)$.
- (10) If $p \in W$ and $q \in W$ and p and q are not comparable, then $W(p/W_1)(q/W_2) = W(q/W_2)(p/W_1).$

A tree is finite-order if:

(Def.2) there exists n such that for every element t of it holds $t \cap \langle n \rangle \notin it$.

We now define three new constructions. Let us consider W. A subset of W is said to be a chain of W if:

(Def.3) for all p, q such that $p \in it$ and $q \in it$ holds p and q are comparable. A subset of W is called a level of W if:

(Def.4) there exists n such that it = {w : len w = n }.

Let us consider w. The functor succ w yielding a subset of W is defined by:

(Def.5) succ
$$w = \{ w \land \langle n \rangle : w \land \langle n \rangle \in W \}.$$

One can prove the following propositions:

- (11) For every level L of W holds L is an antichain of prefixes of W.
- (12) $\operatorname{succ} w$ is an antichain of prefixes of W.
- (13) For every antichain A of prefixes of W and for every chain C of W there exists w such that $A \cap C \subseteq \{w\}$.

Let us consider W, n. The functor n_W yielding a level of W is defined by:

(Def.6) $n_W = \{w : \text{len } w = n\}.$

We now state several propositions:

- (14) $w \cap \langle n \rangle \in \operatorname{succ} w$ if and only if $w \cap \langle n \rangle \in W$.
- (15) If $w = \varepsilon$, then $1_W = \operatorname{succ} w$.
- (16) $W = \bigcup \{n_W\}.$
- (17) For every finite tree W holds $W = \bigcup \{n_W : n \le \text{height } W\}.$
- (18) For every level L of W there exists n such that $L = n_W$.

Now we present three schemes. The scheme AuxSch concerns a tree \mathcal{A} , and a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

 $\{w : \mathcal{P}[w]\}$, where w ranges over elements of \mathcal{A} , is a subset of \mathcal{A} for all values of the parameters.

The scheme *FraenkelCard* concerns a non-empty set \mathcal{A} , a set \mathcal{B} , and a unary functor \mathcal{F} and states that:

 $\overline{\{\mathcal{F}(w): w \in \mathcal{B}\}} \leq \overline{\mathcal{B}}$, where w ranges over elements of \mathcal{A} for all values of the parameters.

The scheme *FraenkelFinCard* concerns a non-empty set \mathcal{A} , a set \mathcal{B} , and a unary functor \mathcal{F} and states that:

 $\operatorname{card} \{ \mathcal{F}(w) : w \in \mathcal{B} \} \leq \operatorname{card} \mathcal{B}, \text{ where } w \text{ ranges over elements of } \mathcal{A}$ provided the parameters meet the following requirement:

• \mathcal{B} is finite.

The following four propositions are true:

- (19) If W is finite-order, then there exists n such that for every w holds succ w is finite and card succ $w \leq n$.
- (20) If W is finite-order, then succ w is finite.
- (21) \emptyset is a chain of W.
- (22) $\{\varepsilon\}$ is a chain of W.

Let us consider W. A chain of W is said to be a branch of W if:

(Def.7) for every p such that $p \in \text{it holds Seg}_{\preceq}(p) \subseteq \text{it and for no } p$ holds $p \in W$ and for every q such that $q \in \text{it holds } q \prec p$.

Let us consider W. We see that the branch of W is an non-empty chain of W.

In the sequel C will be a chain of W and B will be a branch of W. The following propositions are true:

- (23) If $v_1 \in C$ and $v_2 \in C$, then $v_1 \in \text{Seg}_{\prec}(v_2)$ or $v_2 \preceq v_1$.
- (24) If $v_1 \in C$ and $v_2 \in C$ and $v \leq v_2$, then $v_1 \in \text{Seg}_{\prec}(v)$ or $v \leq v_1$.
- (25) If C is finite and card C > n, then there exists p such that $p \in C$ and $\ln p \ge n$.
- (26) For every C holds $\{w : \bigvee_p [p \in C \land w \preceq p]\}$ is a chain of W.
- (27) If $p \leq q$ and $q \in B$, then $p \in B$.
- (28) $\varepsilon \in B$.
- (29) If $p \in C$ and $q \in C$ and $\operatorname{len} p \leq \operatorname{len} q$, then $p \leq q$.
- (30) There exists B such that $C \subseteq B$.

Now we present two schemes. The scheme FuncExOfMinNat concerns a set \mathcal{A} , and a binary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

there exists f such that dom $f = \mathcal{A}$ and for every x such that $x \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists n such that f(x) = n and $\mathcal{P}[x, n]$ and for every m such that $\mathcal{P}[x, m]$ holds $n \leq m$

provided the following condition is met:

• for every x such that $x \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists n such that $\mathcal{P}[x, n]$.

The scheme InfiniteChain concerns a set \mathcal{A} , a constant \mathcal{B} , a unary predicate \mathcal{P} , and a binary predicate \mathcal{Q} , and states that:

there exists f such that dom $f = \mathbb{N}$ and $\operatorname{rng} f \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $f(0) = \mathcal{B}$ and for every k holds $\mathcal{Q}[f(k), f(k+1)]$ and $\mathcal{P}[f(k)]$ provided the parameters meet the following conditions:

- $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{B}]$,
- for every x such that $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{P}[x]$ there exists y such that $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{Q}[x, y]$ and $\mathcal{P}[y]$.

The following two propositions are true:

- (31) For every tree T such that for every n there exists a chain C of T such that C is finite and card C = n and for every element t of T holds succ t is finite there exists a chain B of T such that B is not finite.
- (32) For every finite-order tree T such that for every n there exists a chain C of T such that C is finite and card C = n there exists a chain B of T such that B is not finite.

A function is said to be a decorated tree if:

(Def.8) domit is a tree.

In the sequel T, T_1, T_2 are decorated trees. Let us consider T. Then dom T is a tree.

Let us consider D. A decorated tree is said to be a tree decorated by D if: (Def.9) rng it $\subseteq D$.

Let D be a non-empty set, and let T be a tree decorated by D, and let t be an element of dom T. Then T(t) is an element of D.

One can prove the following proposition

(33) If dom $T_1 = \text{dom } T_2$ and for every p such that $p \in \text{dom } T_1$ holds $T_1(p) = T_2(p)$, then $T_1 = T_2$.

Now we present two schemes. The scheme DTreeEx concerns a tree \mathcal{A} , and a binary predicate \mathcal{P} , and states that:

there exists T such that dom $T = \mathcal{A}$ and for every p such that $p \in \mathcal{A}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[p, T(p)]$

provided the following condition is satisfied:

• for every p such that $p \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists x such that $\mathcal{P}[p, x]$.

The scheme DTreeLambda deals with a tree \mathcal{A} and a unary functor \mathcal{F} and states that:

there exists T such that dom $T = \mathcal{A}$ and for every p such that $p \in \mathcal{A}$ holds $T(p) = \mathcal{F}(p)$

for all values of the parameters.

We now define two new functors. Let us consider T. The functor Leaves T yielding a set is defined by:

(Def.10) Leaves $T = T^{\circ}$ Leaves dom T.

Let us consider p. The functor $T \upharpoonright p$ yielding a decorated tree is defined by:

(Def.11) $\operatorname{dom}(T \upharpoonright p) = \operatorname{dom} T \upharpoonright p$ and for every q such that $q \in \operatorname{dom} T \upharpoonright p$ holds $(T \upharpoonright p)(q) = T(p \cap q).$

The following proposition is true

(34) If $p \in \operatorname{dom} T$, then $\operatorname{rng}(T \upharpoonright p) \subseteq \operatorname{rng} T$.

Let us consider D, and let T be a tree decorated by D. Then Leaves T is a subset of D. Let p be an element of dom T. Then $T \upharpoonright p$ is a tree decorated by D.

Let us consider T, p, T_1 . Let us assume that $p \in \text{dom } T$. The functor $T(p/T_1)$ yielding a decorated tree is defined by the conditions (Def.12).

(Def.12) (i) $\operatorname{dom}(T(p/T_1)) = (\operatorname{dom} T)(p/\operatorname{dom} T_1),$

(ii) for every q such that

 $q \in (\operatorname{dom} T)(p/\operatorname{dom} T_1)$ holds $p \not\leq q$ and $T(p/T_1)(q) = T(q)$ or there exists r such that $r \in \operatorname{dom} T_1$ and $q = p \cap r$ and $T(p/T_1)(q) = T_1(r)$.

Let us consider W, x. Then $W \mapsto x$ is a decorated tree.

Let D be a non-empty set, and let us consider W, and let d be an element of D. Then $W \longmapsto d$ is a tree decorated by D.

Next we state four propositions:

- (35) If for every x such that $x \in D$ holds x is a tree, then $\bigcup D$ is a tree.
- (36) If for every x such that $x \in X$ holds x is a function and for all f_1, f_2 such that $f_1 \in X$ and $f_2 \in X$ holds graph $f_1 \subseteq$ graph f_2 or graph $f_2 \subseteq$ graph f_1 , then $\bigcup X$ is a function.
- (37) If for every x such that $x \in D$ holds x is a decorated tree and for all T_1, T_2 such that $T_1 \in D$ and $T_2 \in D$ holds graph $T_1 \subseteq$ graph T_2 or graph $T_2 \subseteq$ graph T_1 , then $\bigcup D$ is a decorated tree.
- (38) If for every x such that $x \in D'$ holds x is a tree decorated by D and for all T_1, T_2 such that $T_1 \in D'$ and $T_2 \in D'$ holds graph $T_1 \subseteq$ graph T_2 or graph $T_2 \subseteq$ graph T_1 , then $\bigcup D'$ is a tree decorated by D.

Now we present two schemes. The scheme DTreeStructEx deals with a nonempty set \mathcal{A} , an element \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} , a unary functor \mathcal{F} yielding a set, and a function \mathcal{C} from $[:\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{N}]$ into \mathcal{A} and states that:

there exists a tree T decorated by \mathcal{A} such that $T(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{B}$ and for every element t of dom T holds succ $t = \{t \cap \langle k \rangle : k \in \mathcal{F}(T(t))\}$ and for all n, x such that x = T(t) and $n \in \mathcal{F}(x)$ holds $T(t \cap \langle n \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle x, n \rangle)$

provided the following condition is satisfied:

• for every element d of \mathcal{A} and for all k_1, k_2 such that $k_1 \leq k_2$ and $k_2 \in \mathcal{F}(d)$ holds $k_1 \in \mathcal{F}(d)$.

The scheme DTreeStructFinEx deals with a non-empty set \mathcal{A} , an element \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} , a unary functor \mathcal{F} yielding a natural number, and a function \mathcal{C} from $[:\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{N}]$ into \mathcal{A} and states that:

there exists a tree T decorated by \mathcal{A} such that $T(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{B}$ and for every element t of dom T holds succ $t = \{t \cap \langle k \rangle : k < \mathcal{F}(T(t))\}$ and for all n, x such that x = T(t) and $n < \mathcal{F}(x)$ holds $T(t \cap \langle n \rangle) = \mathcal{C}(\langle x, n \rangle)$ for all values of the parameters.

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal arithmetics. Formalized Mathematics, 1(3):543–547, 1990.
- 2] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- Grzegorz Bancerek. Countable sets and Hessenberg's theorem. Formalized Mathematics, 2(1):65–69, 1991.
- Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [5] Grzegorz Bancerek. Introduction to trees. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):421–427, 1990.
- [6] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [8] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [9] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [10] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [11] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):329–334, 1990.
- [12] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [13] Wojciech A. Trybulec and Grzegorz Bancerek. Kuratowski Zorn lemma. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):387–393, 1990.

Received January 10, 1991