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#### Abstract

Summary. A continuation of [5]. We introduce more configurational axioms i.e. orthogonalizations of "scherungssatzes" (direct and indirect), "Scherungssatz" with orthogonal axes, Pappus axiom with orthogonal axes; we also consider the affine Major Pappus Axiom and affine minor Desargues Axiom. We prove a number of implications which hold between the above axioms.


MML Identifier: CONMETR.

The articles [2], [4], [1], [3], and [5] provide the notation and terminology for this paper. We adopt the following rules: $X$ will denote a metric affine plane, $o, a, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, b, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}, c, c_{1}, d$ will denote elements of the points of $X$, and $A, K, M, N$ will denote subsets of the points of $X$. Let us consider $X$. We say that Pappos Axiom with orthogonal axes holds in $X$ if and only if the condition (Def.1) is satisfied.
(Def.1) Given $o, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, M, N$. Suppose that
(i) $o \in M$,
(ii) $a_{1} \in M$,
(iii) $a_{2} \in M$,
(iv) $a_{3} \in M$,
(v) $o \in N$,
(vi) $b_{1} \in N$,
(vii) $b_{2} \in N$,
(viii) $b_{3} \in N$,
(ix) $b_{2} \notin M$,
(x) $a_{3} \notin N$,
(xi) $M \perp N$,
(xii) $o \neq a_{1}$,
(xiii) $\quad o \neq a_{2}$,
(xiv) $o \neq a_{3}$,
(xv) $o \neq b_{1}$,
(xvi) $\quad o \neq b_{2}$,
(xvii) $o \neq b_{3}$,
(xviii) $a_{3}, b_{2} \| a_{2}, b_{1}$,
(xix) $a_{3}, b_{3} \| a_{1}, b_{1}$.

Then $a_{1}, b_{2} \| a_{2}, b_{3}$.
Let us consider $X$. We say that Pappos Axiom holds in $X$ if and only if the condition (Def.2) is satisfied.
(Def.2) Given $o, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, M, N$. Suppose that
(i) $M$ is a line,
(ii) $N$ is a line,
(iii) $o \in M$,
(iv) $a_{1} \in M$,
(v) $a_{2} \in M$,
(vi) $a_{3} \in M$,
(vii) $o \in N$,
(viii) $b_{1} \in N$,
(ix) $b_{2} \in N$,
(x) $\quad b_{3} \in N$,
(xi) $\quad b_{2} \notin M$,
(xii) $a_{3} \notin N$,
(xiii) $\quad o \neq a_{1}$,
(xiv) $\quad o \neq a_{2}$,
(xv) $o \neq a_{3}$,
(xvi) $\quad o \neq b_{1}$,
(xvii) $\quad o \neq b_{2}$,
(xviii) $\quad o \neq b_{3}$,
(xix) $a_{3}, b_{2} \| a_{2}, b_{1}$,
(xx) $a_{3}, b_{3} \| a_{1}, b_{1}$.

Then $a_{1}, b_{2} \| a_{2}, b_{3}$.
Let us consider $X$. We say that MH1 holds in $X$ if and only if the condition (Def.3) is satisfied.
(Def.3) Given $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}, M, N$. Suppose that
(i) $M \perp N$,
(ii) $a_{1} \in M$,
(iii) $a_{3} \in M$,
(iv) $b_{1} \in M$,
(v) $b_{3} \in M$,
(vi) $a_{2} \in N$,
(vii) $a_{4} \in N$,
(viii) $b_{2} \in N$,
(ix) $b_{4} \in N$,
(x) $a_{2} \notin M$,
(xi) $a_{4} \notin M$,
(xii) $a_{1}, a_{2} \perp b_{1}, b_{2}$,
(xiii) $a_{2}, a_{3} \perp b_{2}, b_{3}$,
(xiv) $a_{3}, a_{4} \perp b_{3}, b_{4}$.

Then $a_{1}, a_{4} \perp b_{1}, b_{4}$.
Let us consider $X$. We say that MH2 holds in $X$ if and only if the condition (Def.4) is satisfied.
(Def.4) Given $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}, M, N$. Suppose that
(i) $M \perp N$,
(ii) $a_{1} \in M$,
(iii) $a_{3} \in M$,
(iv) $b_{2} \in M$,
(v) $b_{4} \in M$,
(vi) $a_{2} \in N$,
(vii) $a_{4} \in N$,
(viii) $b_{1} \in N$,
(ix) $b_{3} \in N$,
(x) $a_{2} \notin M$,
(xi) $a_{4} \notin M$,
(xii) $a_{1}, a_{2} \perp b_{1}, b_{2}$,
(xiii) $a_{2}, a_{3} \perp b_{2}, b_{3}$,
(xiv) $a_{3}, a_{4} \perp b_{3}, b_{4}$.

Then $a_{1}, a_{4} \perp b_{1}, b_{4}$.
Let us consider $X$. We say that trapezium variant of Desargues Axiom holds in $X$ if and only if the condition (Def.5) is satisfied.
(Def.5) Given $o, a, a_{1}, b, b_{1}, c, c_{1}$. Suppose that
(i) $o \neq a$,
(ii) $o \neq a_{1}$,
(iii) $o \neq b$,
(iv) $o \neq b_{1}$,
(v) $o \neq c$,
(vi) $o \neq c_{1}$,
(vii) $\operatorname{not} \mathbf{L}\left(b, b_{1}, a\right)$,
(viii) $\operatorname{not} \mathbf{L}\left(b, b_{1}, c\right)$,
(ix) $\mathbf{L}\left(o, a, a_{1}\right)$,
(x) $\mathbf{L}\left(o, b, b_{1}\right)$,
(xi) $\mathbf{L}\left(o, c, c_{1}\right)$,
(xii) $a, b \| a_{1}, b_{1}$,
(xiii) $a, b \| o, c$,
(xiv) $\quad b, c \| b_{1}, c_{1}$.

Then $a, c \| a_{1}, c_{1}$.

Let us consider $X$. We say that Scherungssatz holds in $X$ if and only if the condition (Def.6) is satisfied.
(Def.6) Given $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}, M, N$. Suppose that
(i) $\quad M$ is a line,
(ii) $N$ is a line,
(iii) $a_{1} \in M$,
(iv) $a_{3} \in M$,
(v) $b_{1} \in M$,
(vi) $b_{3} \in M$,
(vii) $a_{2} \in N$,
(viii) $a_{4} \in N$,
(ix) $b_{2} \in N$,
(x) $\quad b_{4} \in N$,
(xi) $\quad a_{4} \notin M$,
(xii) $a_{2} \notin M$,
(xiii) $b_{2} \notin M$,
(xiv) $b_{4} \notin M$,
(xv) $a_{1} \notin N$,
(xvi) $a_{3} \notin N$,
(xvii) $\quad b_{1} \notin N$,
(xviii) $\quad b_{3} \notin N$,
(xix) $\quad a_{3}, a_{2} \| b_{3}, b_{2}$,
(xx) $a_{2}, a_{1} \| b_{2}, b_{1}$,
(xxi) $a_{1}, a_{4} \| b_{1}, b_{4}$.

Then $a_{3}, a_{4} \| b_{3}, b_{4}$.
Let us consider $X$. We say that Scherungssatz with orthogonal axes holds in $X$ if and only if the condition (Def.7) is satisfied.
(Def.7) Given $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}, M, N$. Suppose that
(i) $M \perp N$,
(ii) $a_{1} \in M$,
(iii) $a_{3} \in M$,
(iv) $b_{1} \in M$,
(v) $b_{3} \in M$,
(vi) $a_{2} \in N$,
(vii) $a_{4} \in N$,
(viii) $b_{2} \in N$,
(ix) $b_{4} \in N$,
(x) $a_{4} \notin M$,
(xi) $a_{2} \notin M$,
(xii) $b_{2} \notin M$,
(xiii) $\quad b_{4} \notin M$,
(xiv) $a_{1} \notin N$,
(xv) $a_{3} \notin N$,
(xvi) $\quad b_{1} \notin N$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (xvii) } & b_{3} \notin N, \\
\text { (xviii) } & a_{3}, a_{2} \| b_{3}, b_{2}, \\
\text { (xix) } & a_{2}, a_{1} \| b_{2}, b_{1}, \\
\text { (xx) } & a_{1}, a_{4} \| b_{1}, b_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $a_{3}, a_{4} \| b_{3}, b_{4}$.
Let us consider $X$. We say that minor Desargues Axiom holds in $X$ if and only if:
(Def.8) for all $a, a_{1}, b, b_{1}, c, c_{1}$ such that not $\mathbf{L}\left(a, a_{1}, b\right)$ and $\operatorname{not} \mathbf{L}\left(a, a_{1}, c\right)$ and $a, a_{1} \| b, b_{1}$ and $a, a_{1} \| c, c_{1}$ and $a, b \| a_{1}, b_{1}$ and $a, c \| a_{1}, c_{1}$ holds $b, c \| b_{1}, c_{1}$.

One can prove the following propositions:
(1) There exist $a, b, c$ such that $\mathbf{L}(a, b, c)$ and $a \neq b$ and $b \neq c$ and $c \neq a$.
(2) For all $a, b$ such that $a \neq b$ there exists $c$ such that $\mathbf{L}(a, b, c)$ and $a \neq c$ and $b \neq c$.
(3) For all $A, a$ such that $A$ is a line there exists $K$ such that $a \in K$ and $A \perp K$.
(4) If $A$ is a line and $a \in A$ and $b \in A$ and $c \in A$, then $\mathbf{L}(a, b, c)$.
(5) If $A$ is a line and $M$ is a line and $a \in A$ and $b \in A$ and $a \in M$ and $b \in M$, then $a=b$ or $A=M$.
(6) For all $a, b, c, d, M$ and for every subset $M^{\prime}$ of the points of the affine reduct of $X$
and for all elements $c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}$ of the points of the affine reduct of $X$ such that $c=c^{\prime}$ and $d=d^{\prime}$ and $M=M^{\prime}$ and $a \in M$ and $b \in M$ and $c^{\prime}, d^{\prime} \| M^{\prime}$ holds $c, d \| a, b$.
(7) If trapezium variant of Desargues Axiom holds in $X$, then the affine reduct of $X$ satisfies TDES.
(8) If the affine reduct of $X$ satisfies des, then minor Desargues Axiom holds in $X$.
(9) If MH1 holds in $X$, then Scherungssatz with orthogonal axes holds in $X$.
(10) If MH2 holds in $X$, then Scherungssatz with orthogonal axes holds in $X$.
(11) If AH holds in $X$, then trapezium variant of Desargues Axiom holds in $X$.
(12) If Scherungssatz with orthogonal axes holds in $X$ and trapezium variant of Desargues Axiom holds in $X$, then Scherungssatz holds in $X$.
(13) If Pappos Axiom with orthogonal axes holds in $X$ and Desargues Axiom holds in $X$, then Pappos Axiom holds in $X$.
(14) If MH1 holds in $X$ and MH2 holds in $X$, then Pappos Axiom with orthogonal axes holds in $X$.
(15) If theorem on three perpendiculars holds in $X$, then Pappos Axiom with orthogonal axes holds in $X$.
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