

Groups, Rings, Left- and Right-Modules ¹

Michał Muzalewski
 Warsaw University
 Białystok

Wojciech Skaba
 University of Toruń

Summary. The notion of group was defined as a group structure introduced in the article [6]. The article contains the basic properties of groups, rings, left- and right-modules of an associative ring.

MML Identifier: MOD_1.

The articles [11], [10], [13], [3], [1], [12], [9], [4], [2], [5], [6], [7], and [8] provide the notation and terminology for this paper. A group structure is called a group if:

(Def.1) for all elements x, y, z of it holds $x + y + z = x + (y + z)$ and $x + 0_{it} = x$ and $x + -x = 0_{it}$.

In the sequel G denotes a group structure and x, y denote elements of G . We see that the Abelian group is a group.

Let us consider G, x, y . The functor $x -' y$ yielding an element of G is defined by:

(Def.2) $x -' y = x + -y$.

In the sequel G denotes a group and u, v, w denote elements of G . One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) $(-v) + v = 0_G$.
- (2) $0_G + v = v$.
- (3) $v + w = 0_G$ if and only if $-v = w$.
- (4) $-0_G = 0_G$.
- (5) (i) $-(v + w) = (-w) -' v$,
 (ii) $--v = v$,
 (iii) $-((-v) + w) = (-w) + v$,
 (iv) $-(v -' w) = w -' v$,

¹Supported by RPBPIII-24.C6

- (v) $-((-v) -' w) = w + v,$
- (vi) $u -' (v + w) = u -' w -' v.$
- (6) $0_G -' v = -v$ and $v -' 0_G = v.$

In the sequel G denotes an Abelian group and u, v, w denote elements of G . The following four propositions are true:

- (7) (i) $-(v + w) = (-w) - v,$
- (ii) $-v = v,$
- (iii) $-((-v) + w) = (-w) + v,$
- (iv) $-(v - w) = w - v,$
- (v) $-((-v) - w) = w + v,$
- (vi) $u - (v + w) = u - w - v.$
- (8) $0_G - v = -v$ and $v - 0_G = v.$
- (9) $(-u) - v = (-v) - u$ and $(-u) + v = v - u$ and $u - v = (-v) + u$ and $u - v - w = u - w - v.$
- (10) (i) $-(v + w) = (-v) - w,$
- (ii) $-((-v) + w) = v - w,$
- (iii) $-(v - w) = (-v) + w,$
- (iv) $-((-v) - w) = v + w,$
- (v) $u - (v + w) = u - v - w.$

For simplicity we adopt the following convention: R will denote an associative ring, a, b will denote scalars of R , V will denote a left module over R , and v, w will denote vectors of V . We now state several propositions:

- (11) $-(a - b) = (-a) + b.$
- (12) $a + 0_R = a$ and $0_R + a = a.$
- (13) If $a = 0_R$ or $b = 0_R$, then $a \cdot b = 0_R.$
- (14) $(-1_R) \cdot a = -a$ and $a \cdot -1_R = -a.$
- (15) $a = 0_R$ if and only if $-a = 0_R.$
- (16) $v + -v = \Theta_V$ and $(-v) + v = \Theta_V.$
- (17) $-\Theta_V = \Theta_V.$
- (18) $v + w = \Theta_V$ if and only if $-v = w.$
- (19) $\Theta_V + v = v$ and $v + \Theta_V = v$ and $\Theta_V - v = -v$ and $v - \Theta_V = v.$

In the sequel x, y denote scalars of R . Next we state several propositions:

- (20) $0_R \cdot v = \Theta_V$ and $(-1_R) \cdot v = -v$ and $x \cdot (\Theta_V) = \Theta_V.$
- (21) $-x \cdot v = (-x) \cdot v$ and $w - x \cdot v = w + (-x) \cdot v.$
- (22) $x \cdot -v = -x \cdot v.$
- (23) $x \cdot (v - w) = x \cdot v - x \cdot w.$
- (24) $v - x \cdot (y \cdot w) = v - x \cdot y \cdot w.$

In the sequel F will be a skew field, x will be a scalar of F , V will be a left module over F , and v will be a vector of V . The following two propositions are true:

- (25) $x \cdot v = \Theta_V$ if and only if $x = 0_F$ or $v = \Theta_V.$

(26) If $x \neq 0_F$, then $x^{-1} \cdot (x \cdot v) = v$.

We adopt the following rules: V will denote a left module over R and v, v_1, v_2, u, w will denote vectors of V . The following propositions are true:

(27) $v - v = \Theta_V$.

(28) (i) $--v = v$,

$$(ii) -(v + w) = (-v) + -w,$$

$$(iii) -((-v) + w) = v + -w,$$

$$(iv) -(v + w) = (-v) - w,$$

$$(v) -(v - w) = (-v) + w,$$

$$(vi) -((-v) + w) = v - w,$$

$$(vii) -((-v) - w) = v + w.$$

(29) $(u + v) - w = u + (v - w)$.

(30) $v = v_1 + v_2$ if and only if $v_1 = v - v_2$.

(31) $v - (u - w) = (v - u) + w$.

(32) If $v + u = v$ or $u + v = v$, then $u = \Theta_V$.

In the sequel R denotes an associative ring, V denotes a right module over R , and v, w denote vectors of V . We now state four propositions:

(33) $v + -v = \Theta_V$ and $(-v) + v = \Theta_V$.

(34) $-\Theta_V = \Theta_V$.

(35) $v + w = \Theta_V$ if and only if $-v = w$.

(36) $\Theta_V + v = v$ and $v + \Theta_V = v$ and $\Theta_V - v = -v$ and $v - \Theta_V = v$.

In the sequel x, y are scalars of R . We now state several propositions:

(37) $v \cdot 0_R = \Theta_V$ and $v \cdot -1_R = -v$ and $(\Theta_V) \cdot x = \Theta_V$.

(38) $-v \cdot x = v \cdot -x$ and $w - v \cdot x = w + v \cdot -x$.

(39) $(-v) \cdot x = -v \cdot x$.

(40) $(v - w) \cdot x = v \cdot x - w \cdot x$.

(41) $v - w \cdot y \cdot x = v - w \cdot (y \cdot x)$.

In the sequel F denotes a skew field, x denotes a scalar of F , V denotes a right module over F , and v denotes a vector of V . One can prove the following two propositions:

(42) $v \cdot x = \Theta_V$ if and only if $x = 0_F$ or $v = \Theta_V$.

(43) If $x \neq 0_F$, then $v \cdot x \cdot x^{-1} = v$.

We follow the rules: V will denote a right module over R and v, v_1, v_2, u, w will denote vectors of V . The following propositions are true:

(44) $v - v = \Theta_V$.

(45) (i) $--v = v$,

$$(ii) -(v + w) = (-v) + -w,$$

$$(iii) -((-v) + w) = v + -w,$$

$$(iv) -(v + w) = (-v) - w,$$

$$(v) -(v - w) = (-v) + w,$$

$$(vi) -((-v) + w) = v - w,$$

- (vii) $-((-v) - w) = v + w.$
- (46) $(u + v) - w = u + (v - w).$
- (47) $v = v_1 + v_2$ if and only if $v_1 = v - v_2.$
- (48) $v - (u - w) = (v - u) + w.$
- (49) If $v + u = v$ or $u + v = v$, then $u = \Theta_V.$

References

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):91–96, 1990.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Binary operations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):175–180, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [4] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [5] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [6] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [7] Michał Muzalewski. Construction of rings and left-, right-, and bi-modules over a ring. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):3–11, 1991.
- [8] Michał Muzalewski and Lesław W. Szczerba. Construction of finite sequences over ring and left-, right-, and bi-modules over a ring. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(1):97–104, 1991.
- [9] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [10] Andrzej Trybulec. Enumerated sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):25–34, 1990.
- [11] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [12] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [13] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.

Received October 22, 1990
