Probability

Andrzej Nędzusiak¹ Warsaw University Białystok

Summary. Some further theorems concerning probability, among them the equivalent definition of probability are discussed, followed by notions of independence of events and conditional probability and basic theorems on them.

MML Identifier: PROB_2.

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following papers: [8], [2], [4], [3], [6], [5], [9], [7], and [1]. For simplicity we adopt the following convention: *Omega* denotes a non-empty set, f denotes a function, m, n denote natural numbers, r, r_1 , r_2 , r_3 denote real numbers, seq, seq_1 denote sequences of real numbers, Sigma denotes a σ -field of subsets of *Omega*, ASeq, BSeq denote sequences of subsets of Sigma, P, P_1 denote probabilities on Sigma, and A, B, C, A_1 , A_2 , A_3 denote events of Sigma. One can prove the following propositions:

- (1) $(r-r_1) + r_2 = (r+r_2) r_1.$
- (2) $r \leq r_1$ if and only if $r < r_1$ or $r = r_1$.
- (3) For all r, r_1, r_2 such that 0 < r and $r_1 \le r_2$ holds $\frac{r_1}{r} \le \frac{r_2}{r}$.
- (4) For all r, r_1, r_2, r_3 such that $r \neq 0$ and $r_1 \neq 0$ holds $\frac{r_3}{r_1} = \frac{r_2}{r}$ if and only if $r_3 \cdot r = r_2 \cdot r_1$.
- (5) If seq is convergent and for every n holds $seq_1(n) = r seq(n)$, then seq_1 is convergent and $\lim seq_1 = r \lim seq$.
- (6) $A \cap Omega = A$ and $Omega \cap A = A$ and $A \cap \Omega_{Sigma} = A$ and $\Omega_{Sigma} \cap A = A$.
- (7) If B misses C, then $A \cap B$ misses $A \cap C$ and $B \cap A$ misses $C \cap A$. The scheme SeqEx concerns a unary functor \mathcal{F} and states that: there exists f such that dom $f = \mathbb{N}$ and for every n holds $f(n) = \mathcal{F}(n)$

C 1990 Fondation Philippe le Hodey ISSN 0777-4028

¹Supported by RPBP III.24

for all values of the parameter.

Let us consider Omega, Sigma, ASeq, n. Then ASeq(n) is an event of Sigma.

Let us consider *Omega*, *Sigma*, *ASeq*. The functor $\bigcap ASeq$ yielding an event of *Sigma* is defined by:

 $\bigcap ASeq =$ Intersection ASeq.

One can prove the following propositions:

- (8) $\bigcap ASeq = \text{Intersection } ASeq.$
- (9) For every *B*, *ASeq* there exists *BSeq* such that for every *n* holds $BSeq(n) = ASeq(n) \cap B$.
- (10) For all B, ASeq, BSeq such that ASeq is nonincreasing and for every n holds $BSeq(n) = ASeq(n) \cap B$ holds BSeq is nonincreasing.
- (11) For every function f from Sigma into \mathbb{R} and for all ASeq, n holds $(f \cdot ASeq)(n) = f(ASeq(n)).$
- (12) For all ASeq, BSeq, B such that for every n holds $BSeq(n) = ASeq(n) \cap B$ holds (Intersection ASeq) $\cap B$ = Intersection BSeq.
- (13) For all P, P_1 such that for every A holds $P(A) = P_1(A)$ holds $P = P_1$.
- (14) For every *Omega* and for every sequence ASeq of subsets of *Omega* holds ASeq is nonincreasing if and only if for every n holds $ASeq(n+1) \subseteq ASeq(n)$.
- (15) For every sequence ASeq of subsets of Omega holds ASeq is nondecreasing if and only if for every n holds $ASeq(n) \subseteq ASeq(n+1)$.
- (16) For all sequences ASeq, BSeq of subsets of Omega such that for every n holds ASeq(n) = BSeq(n) holds ASeq = BSeq.
- (17) For every sequence ASeq of subsets of Omega holds ASeq is nonincreasing if and only if Complement ASeq is nondecreasing.

Let us consider Omega, Sigma, ASeq. The functor $ASeq^{\mathbf{c}}$ yields a sequence of subsets of Sigma and is defined by:

 $ASeq^{\mathbf{c}} = \text{Complement } ASeq.$

The following proposition is true

(18) $ASeq^{\mathbf{c}} = \text{Complement } ASeq.$

Let us consider *Omega*, *Sigma*, *ASeq*. We say that *ASeq* is pairwise disjoint if and only if:

for all m, n such that $m \neq n$ holds ASeq(m) misses ASeq(n).

We now state a number of propositions:

- (19) ASeq is pairwise disjoint if and only if for all m, n such that $m \neq n$ holds ASeq(m) misses ASeq(n).
- (20) Let P be a function from Sigma into \mathbb{R} . Then P is a probability on Sigma if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
 - (i) for every A holds $0 \le P(A)$,
 - (ii) P(Omega) = 1,
 - (iii) for all A, B such that A misses B holds $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B)$,

- (iv) for every ASeq such that ASeq is nondecreasing holds $P \cdot ASeq$ is convergent and $\lim(P \cdot ASeq) = P(\text{Union } ASeq)$.
- (21) $P((A \cup B) \cup C) = (((P(A) + P(B)) + P(C)) ((P(A \cap B) + P(B \cap C)) + P(A \cap C))) + P((A \cap B) \cap C).$
- (22) $P(A \setminus A \cap B) = P(A) P(A \cap B).$
- (23) For all P, A, B holds $P(A \cap B) \le P(B)$ and $P(A \cap B) \le P(A)$.
- (24) For all P, A, B, C such that $C = B^c$ holds $P(A) = P(A \cap B) + P(A \cap C)$.
- (25) For all P, A, B holds $(P(A) + P(B)) 1 \le P(A \cap B)$.
- (26) For all P, A holds $P(A) = 1 P(\Omega_{Sigma} \setminus A)$.
- (27) For all P, A holds P(A) < 1 if and only if $0 < P(\Omega_{Sigma} \setminus A)$.
- (28) For all P, A holds $P(\Omega_{Sigma} \setminus A) < 1$ if and only if 0 < P(A). We now define two new predicates. Let us consider Omega, Sigma, P, A,
- B. We say that A and B are independent w.r.t P if and only if: $P(A \cap B) = P(A) \cdot P(B).$
- Let us consider C. We say that A, B and C are independent w.r.t P if and only if:
- (i) $P((A \cap B) \cap C) = (P(A) \cdot P(B)) \cdot P(C),$
- (ii) $P(A \cap B) = P(A) \cdot P(B),$
- (iii) $P(A \cap C) = P(A) \cdot P(C),$
- (iv) $P(B \cap C) = P(B) \cdot P(C).$

We now state a number of propositions:

- (29) A and B are independent w.r.t P if and only if $P(A \cap B) = P(A) \cdot P(B)$.
- (30) A, B and C are independent w.r.t P if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
 - (i) $P((A \cap B) \cap C) = (P(A) \cdot P(B)) \cdot P(C),$
 - (ii) $P(A \cap B) = P(A) \cdot P(B),$
 - (iii) $P(A \cap C) = P(A) \cdot P(C),$
 - (iv) $P(B \cap C) = P(B) \cdot P(C).$
- (31) For all A, B, P holds A and B are independent w.r.t P if and only if B and A are independent w.r.t P.
- (32) For all A, B, C, P holds A, B and C are independent w.r.t P if and only if $P((A \cap B) \cap C) = (P(A) \cdot P(B)) \cdot P(C)$ and A and B are independent w.r.t P and B and C are independent w.r.t P and A and C are independent w.r.t P.
- (33) For all A, B, C, P such that A, B and C are independent w.r.t P holds B, A and C are independent w.r.t P.
- (34) For all A, B, C, P such that A, B and C are independent w.r.t P holds A, C and B are independent w.r.t P.
- (35) A and \emptyset_{Sigma} are independent w.r.t P.
- (36) A and Ω_{Sigma} are independent w.r.t P.
- (37) For all A, B, P such that A and B are independent w.r.t P holds A and $\Omega_{Sigma} \setminus B$ are independent w.r.t P.

- For all A, B, P such that A and B are independent w.r.t P holds (38) $\Omega_{Sigma} \setminus A$ and $\Omega_{Sigma} \setminus B$ are independent w.r.t P.
- For all A, B, C, P such that A and B are independent w.r.t P and A(39)and C are independent w.r.t P and B misses C holds A and $B \cup C$ are independent w.r.t P.
- For all P, A, B such that A and B are independent w.r.t P and P(A) < P(A)(40)1 and P(B) < 1 holds $P(A \cup B) < 1$.

Let us consider Omega, Sigma, P, B. Let us assume that 0 < P(B). The functor P(P|B) yielding a probability on Sigma is defined by:

for every A holds $(P(P/B))(A) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- For all P, B such that 0 < P(B) for every A holds P(P/B)(A) =(41) $\frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$
- (42)For all P, B, A such that 0 < P(B) holds $P(A \cap B) = P(P/B)(A) \cdot P(B)$.
- (43)For all P, A, B, C such that $0 < P(A \cap B)$ holds $P((A \cap B) \cap C) =$ $(P(A) \cdot P(P/A)(B)) \cdot P(P/(A \cap B))(C).$
- For all P, A, B, C such that $C = B^{c}$ and 0 < P(B) and 0 < P(C)(44)holds $P(A) = P(P/B)(A) \cdot P(B) + P(P/C)(A) \cdot P(C)$.
- Given P, A, A_1, A_2, A_3 . Suppose A_1 misses A_2 and $A_3 = (A_1 \cup A_2)^c$ and (45) $0 < P(A_1)$ and $0 < P(A_2)$ and $0 < P(A_3)$. Then $P(A) = (P(P/A_1)(A) \cdot P(A_2))$ $P(A_1) + P(P/A_2)(A) \cdot P(A_2)) + P(P/A_3)(A) \cdot P(A_3).$
- For all P, A, B such that 0 < P(B) holds P(P/B)(A) = P(A) if and (46)only if A and B are independent w.r.t P.
- (47)For all P, A, B such that 0 < P(B) and P(B) < 1 and P(P/B)(A) = $P(P/(\Omega_{Sigma} \setminus B))(A)$ holds A and B are independent w.r.t P.
- For all P, A, B such that 0 < P(B) holds $\frac{(P(A)+P(B))-1}{P(B)} \leq P(P/B)(A)$. (48)
- For all A, B, P such that 0 < P(A) and 0 < P(B) holds P(P/B)(A) =(49) $P(P/A)(B) \cdot P(A)$ P(B)
- Given B, A_1 , A_2 , P. Suppose 0 < P(B) and $A_2 = A_1^c$ and $0 < P(A_1)$ (50)and $0 < P(A_2)$. Then
 - $P(P/B)(A_1) = \frac{P(P/A_1)(B) \cdot P(A_1)}{P(P/A_1)(B) \cdot P(A_1) + P(P/A_2)(B) \cdot P(A_2)},$ (i)
 - $P(P/B)(A_2) = \frac{P(P/A_2)(B) \cdot P(A_2)}{P(P/A_1)(B) \cdot P(A_1) + P(P/A_2)(B) \cdot P(A_2)}.$ (ii)
- Given B, A_1 , A_2 , A_3 , P. Suppose 0 < P(B) and $0 < P(A_1)$ and (51) $0 < P(A_2)$ and $0 < P(A_3)$ and A_1 misses A_2 and $A_3 = (A_1 \cup A_2)^c$. Then $P(P/A_1)(B) \cdot P(A_1)$
 - $P(P/B)(A_1) = \frac{P(P/A_1)(D) \cdot P(A_1)}{(P(P/A_1)(B) \cdot P(A_1) + P(P/A_2)(B) \cdot P(A_2)) + P(P/A_3)(B) \cdot P(A_3)},$ (i)
 - $P(P/A_2)(B) \cdot P(A_2)$ $P(P/B)(A_2) = \frac{P(P/A_2)(D) \cdot P(A_2)}{(P(P/A_1)(B) \cdot P(A_1) + P(P/A_2)(B) \cdot P(A_2)) + P(P/A_3)(B) \cdot P(A_3)},$ $P(P/B)(A_3) = \frac{P(P/A_3)(B) \cdot P(A_3)}{(P(P/A_1)(B) \cdot P(A_1) + P(P/A_2)(B) \cdot P(A_2)) + P(P/A_3)(B) \cdot P(A_3)}.$ (ii)
 - (iii)
- For all A, B, P such that 0 < P(B) holds $1 \frac{P(\Omega_{Sigma} \setminus A)}{P(B)} \leq P(P/B)(A)$. (52)

References

- Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):41-46, 1990.
- [2] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):55-65, 1990.
- [3] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [4] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):35-40, 1990.
- [5] Jarosław Kotowicz. Convergent sequences and the limit of sequences. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):273-275, 1990.
- [6] Jarosław Kotowicz. Real sequences and basic operations on them. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):269–272, 1990.
- [7] Andrzej Nędzusiak. σ -fields and probability. Formalized Mathematics, 1(2):401–407, 1990.
- [8] Andrzej Trybulec. Tarski Grothendieck set theory. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):9–11, 1990.
- [9] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):67– 71, 1990.

Received June 1, 1990