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#### Abstract

Summary. In this text we present unpublished results by Eugeniusz Kusak and Wojciech Leończuk. They contain an axiomatic description of the class of all spaces $\left\langle V ; \perp_{\xi}\right\rangle$, where $V$ is a vector space over a field $\mathrm{F}, \xi: V \times V \rightarrow F$ is a bilinear symmetric form i.e. $\xi(x, y)=\xi(y, x)$ and $x \perp_{\xi} y$ iff $\xi(x, y)=0$ for $x, y \in V$. They also contain an effective construction of bilinear symmetric form $\xi$ for given orthogonal space $\langle V$; $\perp\rangle$ such that $\perp=\perp_{\xi}$. The basic tool used in this method is the notion of orthogonal projection $\mathrm{J}(a, b, x)$ for $a, b, x \in V$. We should stress the fact that axioms of orthogonal and symplectic spaces differ only by one axiom, namely: $x \perp y+\varepsilon z \& y \perp z+\varepsilon x \Rightarrow z \perp x+\varepsilon y$. For $\varepsilon=-1$ we get the axiom on three perpendiculars characterizing orthogonal geometry. For $\varepsilon=+1$ we get the axiom characterizing symplectic geometry - see [1].


MML Identifier: ORTSP_1.

The papers [2], and [3] provide the terminology and notation for this paper. In the sequel $F$ will be a field. We consider orthogonality structures which are systems

〈 scalars, a carrier, an orthogonality $\rangle$
where the scalars is a field, the carrier is a vector space over the scalars, and the orthogonality is a relation on the carrier of the carrier of the carrier. The arguments of the notions defined below are the following: $O$ which is an orthogonality structure; $a, b$ which are elements of the carrier of the carrier of $O$. The predicate $a \perp b$ is defined by:
$\langle a, b\rangle \in$ the orthogonality of $O$.
The following proposition is true
(1) For every $O$ being an orthogonality structure for all elements $a, b$ of the carrier of the carrier of $O$ holds $a \perp b$ if and only if $\langle a, b\rangle \in$ the orthogonality of $O$.

[^0]The mode orthogonality space, which widens to the type an orthogonality structure, is defined by:

Let $a, b, c, d, x$ be elements of the carrier of the carrier of it. Let $l$ be an element of the carrier of the scalars of it . Then
(i) if $a \neq \Theta_{\text {the carrier of it }}$ and $b \neq \Theta_{\text {the carrier of it }}$ and $c \neq \Theta_{\text {the carrier of it }}$ and $d \neq \Theta_{\text {the carrier of it }}$, then there exists $p$ being an element of the carrier of the carrier of it such that $p \not \perp a$ and $p \not \perp b$ and $p \not \perp c$ and $p \not \perp d$,
(ii) if $a \perp b$, then $l \cdot a \perp b$,
(iii) if $b \perp a$ and $c \perp a$, then $b+c \perp a$,
(iv) if $b \not \perp a$, then there exists $k$ being an element of the carrier of the scalars of it such that $x-k \cdot b \perp a$,
(v) if $a \perp b-c$ and $b \perp c-a$, then $c \perp a-b$.

In the sequel $S$ will denote an orthogonality structure. Next we state a proposition
(2) The following conditions are equivalent:
(i) for all elements $a, b, c, d, x$ of the carrier of the carrier of $S$ for every element $l$ of the carrier of the scalars of $S$ holds if $a \neq \Theta_{\text {the carrier of } S}$ and $b \neq \Theta_{\text {the carrier of } S}$ and $c \neq \Theta_{\text {the carrier of } S}$ and $d \neq \Theta_{\text {the carrier of } S}$, then there exists $p$ being an element of the carrier of the carrier of $S$ such that $p \not \perp a$ and $p \not \perp b$ and $p \not \perp c$ and $p \not \perp d$ but if $a \perp b$, then $l \cdot a \perp b$ but if $b \perp a$ and $c \perp a$, then $b+c \perp a$ but if $b \not \perp a$, then there exists $k$ being an element of the carrier of the scalars of $S$ such that $x-k \cdot b \perp a$ but if $a \perp b-c$ and $b \perp c-a$, then $c \perp a-b$,
(ii) $S$ is an orthogonality space.

We adopt the following convention: $S$ denotes an orthogonality space, $a, b, c$, $d, p, q, x, y, z$ denote elements of the carrier of the carrier of $S$, and $k, l$ denote elements of the carrier of the scalars of $S$. Let us consider $S$. The functor $0_{S}$ yielding an element of the carrier of the scalars of $S$, is defined by:
$0_{S}=0_{\text {the scalars of } S}$.
One can prove the following proposition
(3) $0_{S}=0_{\text {the scalars of } S}$.

Let us consider $S$. The functor $\Omega_{S}$ yields an element of the carrier of the scalars of $S$ and is defined by:
$\Omega_{S}=1_{\text {the scalars of } S}$.
The following proposition is true
(4) $\Omega_{S}=1_{\text {the scalars of } S}$.

Let us consider $S$. The functor $\Theta_{S}$ yields an element of the carrier of the carrier of $S$ and is defined by:
$\Theta_{S}=\Theta_{\text {the carrier of } S}$.
One can prove the following propositions:
(5) $\Theta_{S}=\Theta_{\text {the carrier of } S}$.
(6) If $a \neq \Theta_{S}$ and $b \neq \Theta_{S}$ and $c \neq \Theta_{S}$ and $d \neq \Theta_{S}$, then there exists $p$ such that $p \not \perp a$ and $p \not \perp b$ and $p \not \perp c$ and $p \not \perp d$.
(7) If $a \perp b$, then $l \cdot a \perp b$.
(8) If $b \perp a$ and $c \perp a$, then $b+c \perp a$.
(9) If $b \not \perp a$, then there exists $k$ such that $x-k \cdot b \perp a$.
(10) If $a \perp b-c$ and $b \perp c-a$, then $c \perp a-b$.
(11) $\Theta_{S} \perp a$.
(12) If $a \perp b$, then $b \perp a$.
(13) If $a \not \perp b$ and $c+a \perp b$, then $c \not \perp b$.
(14) If $b \not \perp a$ and $c \perp a$, then $b+c \not \perp a$.
(15) If $b \not \perp a$ and $l \neq 0_{S}$, then $l \cdot b \not \perp a$ and $b \not \perp l \cdot a$.
(16) If $a \perp b$, then $-a \perp b$.
(17) If $a+b \perp c$ and $a \perp c$, then $b \perp c$.
(18) If $a+b \perp c$ and $b \perp c$, then $a \perp c$.
(19) If $a-b \perp d$ and $a-c \perp d$, then $b-c \perp d$.
(20) If $b \not \perp a$ and $x-k \cdot b \perp a$ and $x-l \cdot b \perp a$, then $k=l$.
(21) If $a \perp a$ and $b \perp b$, then $a+b \perp a-b$.
(22) If $\Omega_{S}+\Omega_{S} \neq 0_{S}$ and there exists $a$ such that $a \neq \Theta_{S}$, then there exists $b$ such that $b \not 又 b$.
Let us consider $S, a, b, x$. Let us assume that $b \not \perp a$. The functor $J(a, b, x)$ yielding an element of the carrier of the scalars of $S$, is defined by:
for every element $l$ of the carrier of the scalars of $S$ such that $x-l \cdot b \perp a$ holds $J(a, b, x)=l$.

Next we state a number of propositions:
(23) If $b \not \perp a$ and $x-l \cdot b \perp a$, then $J(a, b, x)=l$.
(24) If $b \not \perp a$, then $x-J(a, b, x) \cdot b \perp a$.
(25) If $b \not \perp a$, then $J(a, b, l \cdot x)=l \cdot J(a, b, x)$.
(26) If $b \not \perp a$, then $J(a, b, x+y)=J(a, b, x)+J(a, b, y)$.
(27) If $b \not \perp a$ and $l \neq 0_{S}$, then $J(a, l \cdot b, x)=l^{-1} \cdot J(a, b, x)$.
(28) If $b \not \perp a$ and $l \neq 0_{S}$, then $J(l \cdot a, b, x)=J(a, b, x)$.
(29) If $b \not \perp a$ and $p \perp a$, then $J(a, b+p, c)=J(a, b, c)$ and $J(a, b, c+p)=$ $J(a, b, c)$.
(30) If $b \not \perp a$ and $p \perp b$ and $p \perp c$, then $J(a+p, b, c)=J(a, b, c)$.
(31) If $b \not \perp a$ and $c-b \perp a$, then $J(a, b, c)=\Omega_{S}$.
(32) If $b \not \perp a$, then $J(a, b, b)=\Omega_{S}$.
(33) If $b \not \perp a$, then $x \perp a$ if and only if $J(a, b, x)=0_{S}$.
(34) If $b \not \perp a$ and $q \not \perp a$, then $J(a, b, p) \cdot J(a, b, q)^{-1}=J(a, q, p)$.
(35) If $b \not \perp a$ and $c \not \perp a$, then $J(a, b, c)=J(a, c, b)^{-1}$.
(36) If $b \not \perp a$ and $b \perp c+a$, then $J(a, b, c)=-J(c, b, a)$.
(37) If $a \not \perp b$ and $c \not \perp b$, then $J(c, b, a)=J(b, a, c)^{-1} \cdot J(a, b, c)$.
(38) If $p \not \perp a$ and $p \not \perp x$ and $q \not \perp a$ and $q \not \perp x$, then $J(a, q, p) \cdot J(p, a, x)=$ $J(q, a, x) \cdot J(x, q, p)$.
(39) Suppose $p \not \perp a$ and $p \not \perp x$ and $q \not \perp a$ and $q \not \perp x$ and $b \not \perp a$. Then $(J(a, b, p) \cdot J(p, a, x)) \cdot J(x, p, y)=(J(a, b, q) \cdot J(q, a, x)) \cdot J(x, q, y)$.
(40) If $a \not \perp p$ and $x \not \perp p$ and $y \not \perp p$, then $J(p, a, x) \cdot J(x, p, y)=J(p, a, y)$. $J(y, p, x)$.
Let us consider $S, x, y, a, b$. Let us assume that $b \not \perp a$. The functor $x{ }_{a, b} y$ yielding an element of the carrier of the scalars of $S$, is defined by:
for every $q$ such that $q \not \perp a$ and $q \not \perp x$ holds $x \cdot_{a, b} y=(J(a, b, q) \cdot J(q, a, x))$. $J(x, q, y)$ if there exists $p$ such that $p \not \perp a$ and $p \not \perp x, x \cdot_{a, b} y=0_{S}$ if for every $p$ holds $p \perp a$ or $p \perp x$.

One can prove the following propositions:
(41) If $b \not \perp a$ and $p \not \perp a$ and $p \not \perp x$, then $x \cdot_{a, b} y=(J(a, b, p) \cdot J(p, a, x))$. $J(x, p, y)$.
(42) If $b \not \perp a$ and for every $p$ holds $p \perp a$ or $p \perp x$, then $x \cdot a, b y=0_{S}$.
(43) If $b \not \perp a$ and $x=\Theta_{S}$, then $x{ }_{a, b} y=0_{S}$.
(44) If $b \not \perp a$, then $x \cdot_{a, b} y=0_{S}$ if and only if $y \perp x$.
(45) If $b \not \perp a$, then $x \cdot_{a, b} y=y{ }_{a, b} x$.
(46) If $b \not \perp a$, then $x \cdot a, b(l \cdot y)=l \cdot x \cdot a, b y$.
(47) If $b \not \perp a$, then $x \cdot a, b(y+z)=x \cdot a, b y+x \cdot a, b z$.
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