## Construction of a bilinear symmetric form in orthogonal vector space <sup>1</sup>

Eugeniusz Kusak Warsaw University Białystok Wojciech Leończuk Warsaw University Białystok Michał Muzalewski Warsaw University Białystok

**Summary.** In this text we present unpublished results by Eugeniusz Kusak and Wojciech Leończuk. They contain an axiomatic description of the class of all spaces  $\langle V; \perp_{\xi} \rangle$ , where V is a vector space over a field F,  $\xi : V \times V \to F$  is a bilinear symmetric form i.e.  $\xi(x,y) = \xi(y,x)$ and  $x \perp_{\xi} y$  iff  $\xi(x,y) = 0$  for  $x, y \in V$ . They also contain an effective construction of bilinear symmetric form  $\xi$  for given orthogonal space  $\langle V; \perp \rangle$ such that  $\perp = \perp_{\xi}$ . The basic tool used in this method is the notion of orthogonal projection J(a, b, x) for  $a, b, x \in V$ . We should stress the fact that axioms of orthogonal and symplectic spaces differ only by one axiom, namely:  $x \perp y + \varepsilon z \& y \perp z + \varepsilon x \Rightarrow z \perp x + \varepsilon y$ . For  $\varepsilon = -1$  we get the axiom on three perpendiculars characterizing orthogonal geometry. For  $\varepsilon = +1$ we get the axiom characterizing symplectic geometry - see [1].

MML Identifier: ORTSP\_1.

The papers [2], and [3] provide the terminology and notation for this paper. In the sequel F will be a field. We consider orthogonality structures which are systems

 $\langle$  scalars, a carrier, an orthogonality  $\rangle$ 

where the scalars is a field, the carrier is a vector space over the scalars, and the orthogonality is a relation on the carrier of the carrier of the carrier. The arguments of the notions defined below are the following: O which is an orthogonality structure; a, b which are elements of the carrier of the carrier of O. The predicate  $a \perp b$  is defined by:

 $\langle a, b \rangle \in$  the orthogonality of O.

The following proposition is true

(1) For every O being an orthogonality structure for all elements a, b of the carrier of the carrier of O holds  $a \perp b$  if and only if  $\langle a, b \rangle \in$  the orthogonality of O.

353

<sup>1</sup>Supported by RPBP.III-24.C6.

C 1990 Fondation Philippe le Hodey ISSN 0777-4028 The mode orthogonality space, which widens to the type an orthogonality structure, is defined by:

Let a, b, c, d, x be elements of the carrier of the carrier of it . Let l be an element of the carrier of the scalars of it . Then

(i) if  $a \neq \Theta_{\text{the carrier of it}}$  and  $b \neq \Theta_{\text{the carrier of it}}$  and  $c \neq \Theta_{\text{the carrier of it}}$  and  $d \neq \Theta_{\text{the carrier of it}}$ , then there exists p being an element of the carrier of the carrier of the carrier of it such that  $p \not\perp a$  and  $p \not\perp b$  and  $p \not\perp c$  and  $p \not\perp d$ ,

(ii) if  $a \perp b$ , then  $l \cdot a \perp b$ ,

(iii) if  $b \perp a$  and  $c \perp a$ , then  $b + c \perp a$ ,

(iv) if  $b \not\perp a$ , then there exists k being an element of the carrier of the scalars of it such that  $x - k \cdot b \perp a$ ,

(v) if  $a \perp b - c$  and  $b \perp c - a$ , then  $c \perp a - b$ .

In the sequel S will denote an orthogonality structure. Next we state a proposition

- (2) The following conditions are equivalent:
  - (i) for all elements a, b, c, d, x of the carrier of the carrier of S for every element l of the carrier of the scalars of S holds if  $a \neq \Theta_{\text{the carrier of } S}$  and  $b \neq \Theta_{\text{the carrier of } S}$  and  $c \neq \Theta_{\text{the carrier of } S}$  and  $d \neq \Theta_{\text{the carrier of } S}$ , then there exists p being an element of the carrier of the carrier of S such that  $p \not\perp a$  and  $p \not\perp b$  and  $p \not\perp c$  and  $p \not\perp d$  but if  $a \perp b$ , then  $l \cdot a \perp b$  but if  $b \perp a$ and  $c \perp a$ , then  $b + c \perp a$  but if  $b \not\perp a$ , then there exists k being an element of the carrier of the scalars of S such that  $x - k \cdot b \perp a$  but if  $a \perp b - c$  and  $b \perp c - a$ , then  $c \perp a - b$ ,
- (ii) S is an orthogonality space.

We adopt the following convention: S denotes an orthogonality space, a, b, c, d, p, q, x, y, z denote elements of the carrier of the carrier of S, and k, l denote elements of the carrier of the scalars of S. Let us consider S. The functor  $0_S$  yielding an element of the carrier of the scalars of S, is defined by:

 $0_S = 0_{\text{the scalars of } S}.$ 

One can prove the following proposition

(3)  $0_S = 0_{\text{the scalars of } S}$ .

Let us consider S. The functor  $\Omega_S$  yields an element of the carrier of the scalars of S and is defined by:

 $\Omega_S = 1_{\text{the scalars of } S}$ .

The following proposition is true

(4)  $\Omega_S = 1_{\text{the scalars of } S}$ .

Let us consider S. The functor  $\Theta_S$  yields an element of the carrier of the carrier of S and is defined by:

 $\Theta_S = \Theta_{\text{the carrier of } S}.$ 

One can prove the following propositions:

(5)  $\Theta_S = \Theta_{\text{the carrier of } S}$ .

(6) If  $a \neq \Theta_S$  and  $b \neq \Theta_S$  and  $c \neq \Theta_S$  and  $d \neq \Theta_S$ , then there exists p such that  $p \not\perp a$  and  $p \not\perp b$  and  $p \not\perp c$  and  $p \not\perp d$ .

- (7) If  $a \perp b$ , then  $l \cdot a \perp b$ .
- (8) If  $b \perp a$  and  $c \perp a$ , then  $b + c \perp a$ .
- (9) If  $b \not\perp a$ , then there exists k such that  $x k \cdot b \perp a$ .
- (10) If  $a \perp b c$  and  $b \perp c a$ , then  $c \perp a b$ .
- (11)  $\Theta_S \perp a$ .
- (12) If  $a \perp b$ , then  $b \perp a$ .
- (13) If  $a \not\perp b$  and  $c + a \perp b$ , then  $c \not\perp b$ .
- (14) If  $b \not\perp a$  and  $c \perp a$ , then  $b + c \not\perp a$ .
- (15) If  $b \not\perp a$  and  $l \neq 0_S$ , then  $l \cdot b \not\perp a$  and  $b \not\perp l \cdot a$ .
- (16) If  $a \perp b$ , then  $-a \perp b$ .
- (17) If  $a + b \perp c$  and  $a \perp c$ , then  $b \perp c$ .
- (18) If  $a + b \perp c$  and  $b \perp c$ , then  $a \perp c$ .
- (19) If  $a b \perp d$  and  $a c \perp d$ , then  $b c \perp d$ .
- (20) If  $b \not\perp a$  and  $x k \cdot b \perp a$  and  $x l \cdot b \perp a$ , then k = l.
- (21) If  $a \perp a$  and  $b \perp b$ , then  $a + b \perp a b$ .
- (22) If  $\Omega_S + \Omega_S \neq 0_S$  and there exists a such that  $a \neq \Theta_S$ , then there exists b such that  $b \not\perp b$ .

Let us consider S, a, b, x. Let us assume that  $b \not\perp a$ . The functor J(a, b, x) yielding an element of the carrier of the scalars of S, is defined by:

for every element l of the carrier of the scalars of S such that  $x - l \cdot b \perp a$  holds J(a, b, x) = l.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (23) If  $b \not\perp a$  and  $x l \cdot b \perp a$ , then J(a, b, x) = l.
- (24) If  $b \not\perp a$ , then  $x J(a, b, x) \cdot b \perp a$ .
- (25) If  $b \not\perp a$ , then  $J(a, b, l \cdot x) = l \cdot J(a, b, x)$ .
- (26) If  $b \not\perp a$ , then J(a, b, x + y) = J(a, b, x) + J(a, b, y).
- (27) If  $b \not\perp a$  and  $l \neq 0_S$ , then  $J(a, l \cdot b, x) = l^{-1} \cdot J(a, b, x)$ .
- (28) If  $b \not\perp a$  and  $l \neq 0_S$ , then  $J(l \cdot a, b, x) = J(a, b, x)$ .
- (29) If  $b \not\perp a$  and  $p \perp a$ , then J(a, b + p, c) = J(a, b, c) and J(a, b, c + p) = J(a, b, c).
- (30) If  $b \not\perp a$  and  $p \perp b$  and  $p \perp c$ , then J(a + p, b, c) = J(a, b, c).
- (31) If  $b \not\perp a$  and  $c b \perp a$ , then  $J(a, b, c) = \Omega_S$ .
- (32) If  $b \not\perp a$ , then  $J(a, b, b) = \Omega_S$ .
- (33) If  $b \not\perp a$ , then  $x \perp a$  if and only if  $J(a, b, x) = 0_S$ .
- (34) If  $b \not\perp a$  and  $q \not\perp a$ , then  $J(a, b, p) \cdot J(a, b, q)^{-1} = J(a, q, p)$ .
- (35) If  $b \not\perp a$  and  $c \not\perp a$ , then  $J(a, b, c) = J(a, c, b)^{-1}$ .
- (36) If  $b \not\perp a$  and  $b \perp c + a$ , then J(a, b, c) = -J(c, b, a).
- (37) If  $a \not\perp b$  and  $c \not\perp b$ , then  $J(c, b, a) = J(b, a, c)^{-1} \cdot J(a, b, c)$ .
- (38) If  $p \not\perp a$  and  $p \not\perp x$  and  $q \not\perp a$  and  $q \not\perp x$ , then  $J(a,q,p) \cdot J(p,a,x) = J(q,a,x) \cdot J(x,q,p)$ .

- (39) Suppose  $p \not\perp a$  and  $p \not\perp x$  and  $q \not\perp a$  and  $q \not\perp x$  and  $b \not\perp a$ . Then  $(J(a, b, p) \cdot J(p, a, x)) \cdot J(x, p, y) = (J(a, b, q) \cdot J(q, a, x)) \cdot J(x, q, y).$
- (40) If  $a \not\perp p$  and  $x \not\perp p$  and  $y \not\perp p$ , then  $J(p, a, x) \cdot J(x, p, y) = J(p, a, y) \cdot J(y, p, x)$ .

Let us consider S, x, y, a, b. Let us assume that  $b \not\perp a$ . The functor  $x \cdot_{a,b} y$  yielding an element of the carrier of the scalars of S, is defined by:

for every q such that  $q \not\perp a$  and  $q \not\perp x$  holds  $x \cdot_{a,b} y = (J(a, b, q) \cdot J(q, a, x)) \cdot J(x, q, y)$  if there exists p such that  $p \not\perp a$  and  $p \not\perp x$ ,  $x \cdot_{a,b} y = 0_S$  if for every p holds  $p \perp a$  or  $p \perp x$ .

One can prove the following propositions:

- (41) If  $b \not\perp a$  and  $p \not\perp a$  and  $p \not\perp x$ , then  $x \cdot_{a,b} y = (J(a,b,p) \cdot J(p,a,x)) \cdot J(x,p,y)$ .
- (42) If  $b \not\perp a$  and for every p holds  $p \perp a$  or  $p \perp x$ , then  $x \cdot_{a,b} y = 0_S$ .
- (43) If  $b \not\perp a$  and  $x = \Theta_S$ , then  $x \cdot_{a,b} y = 0_S$ .
- (44) If  $b \not\perp a$ , then  $x \cdot_{a,b} y = 0_S$  if and only if  $y \perp x$ .
- (45) If  $b \not\perp a$ , then  $x \cdot_{a,b} y = y \cdot_{a,b} x$ .
- (46) If  $b \not\perp a$ , then  $x \cdot_{a,b} (l \cdot y) = l \cdot x \cdot_{a,b} y$ .
- (47) If  $b \not\perp a$ , then  $x \cdot_{a,b} (y+z) = x \cdot_{a,b} y + x \cdot_{a,b} z$ .

## References

- Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Construction of a bilinear antisymmetric form in symplectic vector space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):349–352, 1990.
- [2] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. Formalized Mathematics, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [3] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):181–186, 1990.

Received November 23, 1989