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#### Abstract

Summary. In the beginning of this article we define the choice function of a non-empty set family that does not contain $\emptyset$ as introduced in [5, pages $88-89]$. We define order of a set as a relation being reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive in the set, partially ordered set as structure non-emty set and order of the set, chains, lower and upper cone of a subset, initial segments of element and subset of partially ordered set. Some theorems that belong rather to [4] or [9] are proved.
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The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [6], [2], [3], [7], [9], [8], and [1]. We adopt the following convention: $X, Y$ will denote sets, $x, y, y_{1}, y_{2}, z$ will be arbitrary, and $f$ will denote a function. In the article we present several logical schemes. The scheme FuncExS deals with a constant $\mathcal{A}$ that is a set and a binary predicate $\mathcal{P}$ and states that:
there exists $f$ such that $\operatorname{dom} f=\mathcal{A}$ and for every $X$ such that $X \in \mathcal{A}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[X, f(X)]$
provided the parameters satisfy the following conditions:

- for all $X, y_{1}, y_{2}$ such that $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{P}\left[X, y_{1}\right]$ and $\mathcal{P}\left[X, y_{2}\right]$ holds $y_{1}=y_{2}$,
- for every $X$ such that $X \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists $y$ such that $\mathcal{P}[X, y]$.

The scheme LambdaS concerns a constant $\mathcal{A}$ that is a set and a unary functor $\mathcal{F}$ and states that:
there exists $f$ such that $\operatorname{dom} f=\mathcal{A}$ and for every $X$ such that $X \in \mathcal{A}$ holds $f(X)=\mathcal{F}(X)$
for all values of the parameters.
In the sequel $M$ will be a non-empty family of sets and $F$ will be a function from $M$ into $\bigcup M$. Let us consider $M$. Let us assume that $\emptyset \notin M$. The mode choice function of $M$, which widens to the type a function from $M$ into $\cup M$, is defined by:

[^0]for every $X$ such that $X \in M$ holds $\operatorname{it}(X) \in X$.
The following proposition is true
(1) If $\emptyset \notin M$ and for every $X$ such that $X \in M$ holds $F(X) \in X$, then $F$ is a choice function of $M$.
In the sequel $C F$ will denote a choice function of $M$. Next we state a proposition
(2) If $\emptyset \notin M$, then for every $X$ such that $X \in M$ holds $C F(X) \in X$.

In the sequel $D, D_{1}$ will denote non-empty sets. Let us consider $D$. The functor $2_{+}^{D}$ yielding a non-empty family of sets, is defined by:
$2_{+}^{D}=2^{D} \backslash\{\emptyset\}$.
Next we state several propositions:
(3) $2_{+}^{D}=2^{D} \backslash\{\emptyset\}$.
(4) $\emptyset \notin 2_{+}^{D}$.
(5) $D_{1} \subseteq D$ if and only if $D_{1} \in 2_{+}^{D}$.
(6) $\quad D_{1}$ is a subset of $D$ if and only if $D_{1} \in 2_{+}^{D}$.
(7) $D \in 2_{+}^{D}$.

In the sequel $P$ denotes a relation and $R$ denotes a relation on $X$. Let us consider $X$. The mode order in $X$, which widens to the type a relation on $X$, is defined by:
it is reflexive in $X$ and it is antisymmetric in $X$ and it is transitive in $X$.
We now state a proposition
(8) If $R$ is reflexive in $X$ and $R$ is antisymmetric in $X$ and $R$ is transitive in $X$, then $R$ is an order in $X$.
In the sequel $O$ denotes an order in $X$. We now state several propositions:
(9) $\quad O$ is reflexive in $X$.
(10) $O$ is antisymmetric in $X$.
(11) $O$ is transitive in $X$.
(12) If $x \in X$, then $\langle x, x\rangle \in O$.
(13) If $x \in X$ and $y \in X$ and $\langle x, y\rangle \in O$ and $\langle y, x\rangle \in O$, then $x=y$.
(14) If $x \in X$ and $y \in X$ and $z \in X$ and $\langle x, y\rangle \in O$ and $\langle y, z\rangle \in O$, then $\langle x, z\rangle \in O$.
We consider posets which are systems
〈 a carrier, an order〉
where the carrier is a non-empty set and the order is an order in the carrier. In the sequel $A$ will denote a poset. Let us consider $A$. An element of $A$ is an element of the carrier of $A$.

Let us consider $A$. A subset of $A$ is a subset of the carrier of $A$.
In the sequel $a$ is an element of the carrier of $A$ and $S$ is a subset of the carrier of $A$. One can prove the following propositions:
$a$ is an element of $A$.
$S$ is a subset of $A$.
(17) $\quad x \in$ the carrier of $A$ if and only if $x$ is an element of $A$.
(18) $\quad X \subseteq$ the carrier of $A$ if and only if $X$ is a subset of $A$.
(19) If $x \in S$, then $x$ is an element of $A$.

We follow the rules: $a, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, b, c$ denote elements of $A$ and $S, T$ denote subsets of $A$. Let us consider $A, a$. Then $\{a\}$ is a subset of $A$.

Let us consider $A, a_{1}, a_{2}$. Then $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$ is a subset of $A$.
Let us consider $A, S, T$. Then $S \cup T$ is a subset of $A$. Then $S \cap T$ is a subset of $A$. Then $S \backslash T$ is a subset of $A$. Then $S \dot{-} T$ is a subset of $A$.

Let us consider $A$. The functor $\emptyset_{A}$ yielding a subset of $A$, is defined by:
$\emptyset_{A}=\emptyset$.
Let us consider $A$. The functor $\Omega_{A}$ yielding a subset of $A$, is defined by:
$\Omega_{A}=$ the carrier of $A$.
One can prove the following propositions:
(20) $\emptyset_{A}=\emptyset$.
(21) $\Omega_{A}=$ the carrier of $A$.

Let us consider $A, a_{1}, a_{2}$. The predicate $a_{1} \leq a_{2}$ is defined by:
$\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}\right\rangle \in$ the order of $A$.
Let us consider $A, a_{1}, a_{2}$. The predicate $a_{1}<a_{2}$ is defined by:
$a_{1} \leq a_{2}$ and $a_{1} \neq a_{2}$.
One can prove the following propositions:
(22) $\quad a_{1} \leq a_{2}$ if and only if $\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}\right\rangle \in$ the order of $A$.
(23) $\quad a_{1}<a_{2}$ if and only if $a_{1} \leq a_{2}$ and $a_{1} \neq a_{2}$.
(24) $a \leq a$.
(25) If $a_{1} \leq a_{2}$ and $a_{2} \leq a_{1}$, then $a_{1}=a_{2}$.
(26) If $a_{1} \leq a_{2}$ and $a_{2} \leq a_{3}$, then $a_{1} \leq a_{3}$.
(27) $a \nless a$.
(28) this conjunction is not true: $a_{1}<a_{2}$ and $a_{2}<a_{1}$.
(29) If $a_{1}<a_{2}$ and $a_{2}<a_{3}$, then $a_{1}<a_{3}$.
(30) If $a_{1} \leq a_{2}$, then $a_{2} \nless a_{1}$.
(31) If $a_{1}<a_{2}$, then $a_{2} \not \leq a_{1}$.
(32) If $a_{1}<a_{2}$ and $a_{2} \leq a_{3}$ or $a_{1} \leq a_{2}$ and $a_{2}<a_{3}$, then $a_{1}<a_{3}$.

Let us consider $A$. The mode chain of $A$, which widens to the type a subset of $A$, is defined by:
the order of $A$ is strongly connected in it .
One can prove the following proposition
(33) If the order of $A$ is strongly connected in $S$, then $S$ is a chain of $A$.

In the sequel $C$ will denote a chain of $A$. One can prove the following propositions:
(34) the order of $A$ is strongly connected in $C$.
(35) $\quad\{a\}$ is a chain of $A$.
(36) $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$ is a chain of $A$ if and only if $a_{1} \leq a_{2}$ or $a_{2} \leq a_{1}$.

If $S \subseteq C$, then $S$ is a chain of $A$.
(38)

There exists $C$ such that $a_{1} \in C$ and $a_{2} \in C$ if and only if $a_{1} \leq a_{2}$ or $a_{2} \leq a_{1}$.
(39) There exists $C$ such that $a_{1} \in C$ and $a_{2} \in C$ if and only if $a_{1}<a_{2}$ if and only if $a_{2} \not \leq a_{1}$.
(40) If the order of $A$ well orders $T$, then $T$ is a chain of $A$.

Let us consider $A, S$. The functor UpperCone $S$ yields a subset of $A$ and is defined by:

UpperCone $S=\left\{a_{1}: \bigvee_{a_{2}}\left[a_{2} \in S \Rightarrow a_{2}<a_{1}\right]\right\}$.
Let us consider $A, S$. The functor LowerCone $S$ yielding a subset of $A$, is defined by:

LowerCone $S=\left\{a_{1}: \bigvee_{a_{2}}\left[a_{2} \in S \Rightarrow a_{1}<a_{2}\right]\right\}$.
The following propositions are true:
(41) UpperCone $S=\left\{a_{1}: \bigvee_{a_{2}}\left[a_{2} \in S \Rightarrow a_{2}<a_{1}\right]\right\}$.
(42) LowerCone $S=\left\{a_{1}: \bigvee_{a_{2}}\left[a_{2} \in S \Rightarrow a_{1}<a_{2}\right]\right\}$.
(43) UpperCone $\emptyset_{A}=$ the carrier of $A$.
(44) UpperCone $\Omega_{A}=\emptyset$.
(45) LowerCone $\emptyset_{A}=$ the carrier of $A$.
(46) LowerCone $\Omega_{A}=\emptyset$.
(47) If $a \in S$, then $a \notin$ UpperCone $S$.
(48) $a \notin$ UpperCone $\{a\}$.
(49) If $a \in S$, then $a \notin$ LowerCone $S$.
(50) $\quad a \notin$ LowerCone $\{a\}$.
(51) $c<a$ if and only if $a \in \operatorname{UpperCone}\{c\}$.
(52) $a<c$ if and only if $a \in$ LowerCone $\{c\}$.

Let us consider $A, S, a$. The functor $\operatorname{InitSegm}(S, a)$ yields a subset of $A$ and is defined by:
$\operatorname{InitSegm}(S, a)=$ LowerCone $\{a\} \cap S$.
Let us consider $A, S$. The mode initial segment of $S$, which widens to the type a subset of $A$, is defined by:
there exists $a$ such that $a \in S$ and it $=\operatorname{InitSegm}(S, a)$ if $S \neq \emptyset$, it $=\emptyset$, otherwise.

The following propositions are true:
(53) $\operatorname{InitSegm}(S, a)=\operatorname{LowerCone}\{a\} \cap S$.
(54) If $S \neq \emptyset$ and there exists $a$ such that $a \in S$ and $T=\operatorname{InitSegm}(S, a)$, then $T$ is an initial segment of $S$.
(55) If $S=\emptyset$, then $T$ is an initial segment of $S$ if and only if $T=\emptyset$.

In the sequel $I$ will be an initial segment of $S$ and $I_{0}$ will be an initial segment of $\emptyset_{A}$. One can prove the following propositions:
(56) $\quad x \in \operatorname{InitSegm}(S, a)$ if and only if $x \in \operatorname{LowerCone}\{a\}$ and $x \in S$.
$a \in \operatorname{InitSegm}(S, b)$ if and only if $a<b$ and $a \in S$.
(58) If $S \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $a$ such that $a \in S$ and $I=\operatorname{InitSegm}(S, a)$.
(59) If $a \in T$ and $S=\operatorname{InitSegm}(T, a)$, then $S$ is an initial segment of $T$.
(60) $\operatorname{Init} \operatorname{Segm}\left(\emptyset_{A}, a\right)=\emptyset$.
(61) $\operatorname{InitSegm}(S, a) \subseteq S$.
(62) $\quad a \notin \operatorname{InitSegm}(S, a)$.
(63) $\quad a_{1} \in S$ and $a_{1}<a_{2}$ if and only if $a_{1} \in \operatorname{InitSegm}\left(S, a_{2}\right)$.
(64) If $a_{1}<a_{2}$, then $\operatorname{InitSegm}\left(S, a_{1}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{InitSegm}\left(S, a_{2}\right)$.
(65) If $S \subseteq T$, then $\operatorname{InitSegm}(S, a) \subseteq \operatorname{InitSegm}(T, a)$.
(66) $\quad I_{0}=\emptyset$.
(67) $\quad I \subseteq S$.
(68) $\quad S \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $S$ is not an initial segment of $S$.
(69) If $S \neq \emptyset$ or $T \neq \emptyset$ but $S$ is an initial segment of $T$, then $T$ is not an initial segment of $S$.
(70) If $a_{1}<a_{2}$ and $a_{1} \in S$ and $a_{2} \in T$ and $T$ is an initial segment of $S$, then $a_{1} \in T$.
(71) If $a \in S$ and $S$ is an initial segment of $T$, then
$\operatorname{InitSegm}(S, a)=\operatorname{InitSegm}(T, a)$.
(72) If $S \subseteq T$ and the order of $A$ well orders $T$ and for all $a_{1}, a_{2}$ such that $a_{2} \in S$ and $a_{1}<a_{2}$ holds $a_{1} \in S$, then $S=T$ or $S$ is an initial segment of $T$.
(73) If $S \subseteq T$ and the order of $A$ well orders $T$ and for all $a_{1}, a_{2}$ such that $a_{2} \in S$ and $a_{1} \in T$ and $a_{1}<a_{2}$ holds $a_{1} \in S$, then $S=T$ or $S$ is an initial segment of $T$.
In the sequel $f$ will denote a choice function of $2_{+}^{\text {the carrier of } A}$. Let us consider $A, f$. The mode chain of $f$, which widens to the type a chain of $A$, is defined by:
it $\neq \emptyset$ and the order of $A$ well orders it and for every $a$ such that $a \in$ it holds $f(\operatorname{UpperCone} \operatorname{InitSegm}(\mathrm{it}, a))=a$.

Next we state a proposition
(74) If $C \neq \emptyset$ and the order of $A$ well orders $C$ and for every $a$ such that $a \in C$ holds $f(\operatorname{UpperCone\operatorname {InitSegm}}(C, a))=a$, then $C$ is a chain of $f$.
In the sequel $f C, f C_{1}, f C_{2}$ denote chains of $f$. Next we state a number of propositions:
(75) $\quad f C \neq \emptyset$.
(76) the order of $A$ well orders $f C$.

(78) $\quad\{f($ the carrier of $A)\}$ is a chain of $f$.
(79) $\quad f$ (the carrier of $A) \in f C$.
(80) If $a \in f C$ and $b=f($ the carrier of $A)$, then $b \leq a$.
(81) If $a=f$ (the carrier of $A$ ), then $\operatorname{InitSegm}(f C, a)=\emptyset$.
(82) $\quad f C_{1} \cap f C_{2} \neq \emptyset$.
(83) If $f C_{1} \neq f C_{2}$, then $f C_{1}$ is an initial segment of $f C_{2}$ if and only if $f C_{2}$ is not an initial segment of $f C_{1}$.
(84) $f C_{1} \neq f C_{2}$ and $f C_{1} \subseteq f C_{2}$ if and only if $f C_{1}$ is an initial segment of $\mathrm{fC}_{2}$.
Let us consider $A, f$. The functor Chains $f$ yielding a non-empty set, is defined by:
$x \in$ Chains $f$ if and only if $x$ is a chain of $f$.
One can prove the following propositions:
(85) If for every $x$ holds $x \in D$ if and only if $x$ is a chain of $f$, then $D=$ Chains $f$.
(86) $\quad x \in$ Chains $f$ if and only if $x$ is a chain of $f$.
(87) $\bigcup$ (Chains $f) \neq \emptyset$.
(88) If $f C \neq \bigcup($ Chains $f)$ and $S=\bigcup($ Chains $f)$, then $f C$ is an initial segment of $S$.
(89) $\bigcup($ Chains $f)$ is a chain of $f$.
(90) $x \in X$ if and only if $\{x\} \in 2^{X}$.
(91) There exists $X$ such that $X \neq \emptyset$ and $X \in Y$ if and only if $\cup Y \neq \emptyset$.
(92) $\quad P$ is strongly connected in $X$ if and only if $P$ is reflexive in $X$ and $P$ is connected in $X$.
(93) If $P$ is reflexive in $X$ and $Y \subseteq X$, then $P$ is reflexive in $Y$.
(94) If $P$ is antisymmetric in $X$ and $Y \subseteq X$, then $P$ is antisymmetric in $Y$.
(95) If $P$ is transitive in $X$ and $Y \subseteq X$, then $P$ is transitive in $Y$.
(96) If $P$ is strongly connected in $X$ and $Y \subseteq X$, then $P$ is strongly connected in $Y$.
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